

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE, INC., a California corporation,)	Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK
)	
Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,)	ORDER ON APPLE’S OBJECTIONS TO
)	SAMSUNG’S DISCLOSURES
v.)	
)	
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a)	
Korean corporation; SAMSUNG)	
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York)	
corporation; and SAMSUNG)	
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,)	
a Delaware limited liability company,)	
)	
Defendants and Counterclaimants.)	
)	

On April 2, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung’s disclosures ECF No. 1564. On April 2, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1563-3. After reviewing the parties’ briefing, considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple’s objections as follows:

APPLE’S OBJECTION	COURT’S RULING ON OBJECTION
B. James Miller	
DX338	Overruled, subject to Samsung laying foundation.
DX339	Overruled. Samsung has not asserted inequitable conduct as to the ’647 patent. Questions related solely to inequitable conduct will not be permitted pursuant to

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	Fed. R. Evid. 403.
SDX2326	Sustained.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 3, 2014



LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge