UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION APPLE, INC., a California corporation,) Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK) Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS 1) SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES v.) REGARDING SAMSUNG REBUTTAI) WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY) WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY) WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY) WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY) Defendants and Counterclaimants.) Defendants and Counterclaimants.) Defendants and Counterclaimants.) Defendants and Counterclaimants.) Defendants and Counterclaimants.] Con April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows:			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION APPLE, INC., a California corporation,) Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK) Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS T) SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES . v.) REGARDING SAMSUNG REBUTTAI) WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a) MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND Korean corporation; SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) corporation; and SAMSUNG) Ordenature limited liability company,)) Defendants and Counterclaimants.))) Defendants and Counterclaimants.)))) On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: Apple OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION APPLE, INC., a California corporation,) Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK) Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS T) SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES . v.) REGARDING SAMSUNG REBUTTAI) WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a) MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND Korean corporation; SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) corporation; and SAMSUNG) Ordenature limited liability company,)) Defendants and Counterclaimants.))) Defendants and Counterclaimants.)))) On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: Apple OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION APPLE, INC., a California corporation,) Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK) Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS T) SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES . v.) REGARDING SAMSUNG REBUTTAI) WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a) MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND Korean corporation; SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) corporation; and SAMSUNG) Ordenature limited liability company,)) Defendants and Counterclaimants.))) Defendants and Counterclaimants.)))) On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: Apple OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION APPLE, INC., a California corporation,) Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK) Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS T) SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES) SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES v.) REGARDING SAMSUNG REBUTTAI) WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a) MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND Korean corporation; SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) orporation; and SAMSUNG) orporation; and SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a Delaware limited liability company,)) Defendants and Counterclaimants.))) On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: Apple OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION APPLE, INC., a California corporation, Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS T Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS T SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES N V. REGARDING SAMSUNG REBUTTAI WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND Korean corporation; SAMSUNG VELLTURO ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York Oroporation; and SAMSUNG corporation; and SAMSUNG UELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a Delaware limited liability company,) Defendants and Counterclaimants.) On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: Apple OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses Witnesses Witnesses objection			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION APPLE, INC., a California corporation,) Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK) Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS T) SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES . v.) REGARDING SAMSUNG REBUTTAI) WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a) MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND Korean corporation; SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) corporation; and SAMSUNG) Ordenation and SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a Delaware limited liability company,			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION APPLE, INC., a California corporation,) Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK) Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS T) SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES) SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES v.) REGARDING SAMSUNG REBUTTAI) WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a) MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND Korean corporation; SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) corporation; and SAMSUNG orporation; and SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a Delaware limited liability company,)) Defendants and Counterclaimants.))) On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: Apple OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
SAN JOSE DIVISION APPLE, INC., a California corporation,) Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS T Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS T N SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES > v.) REGARDING SAMSUNG REBUTTAI WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a > MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND Korean corporation; SAMSUNG > VELLTURO ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) > > corporation; and SAMSUNG > > TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a Delaware limited liability company,, > Defendants and Counterclaimants.) > > On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: APPLE OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnessees Witnesses objection Overruled.		UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
SAN JOSE DIVISION APPLE, INC., a California corporation,) Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS T Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS T N SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES > v.) REGARDING SAMSUNG REBUTTAI WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a > MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND Korean corporation; SAMSUNG > VELLTURO ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) > corporation; and SAMSUNG > TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a Delaware limited liability company,,,,,,,, .	Ν	ORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
APPLE, INC., a California corporation,) Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS T V.) SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES v.) REGARDING SAMSUNG REBUTTAI) WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a) MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND Korean corporation; SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) oroporation; and SAMSUNG) Corporation; and SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a Delaware limited liability company,)) Defendants and Counterclaimants.))) On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule or Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: APPLE OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses Witness objection Overruled.			
Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) ORDER ON APPLE'S OBJECTIONS T SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES) SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES v.) REGARDING SAMSUNG REBUTTAI) WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a) MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND Korean corporation; SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) oroporation; and SAMSUNG) corporation; and SAMSUNG) TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a Delaware limited liability company,))) Defendants and Counterclaimants.))) On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule or Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows:			
v.) SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES v.) REGARDING SAMSUNG REBUTTAL) WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a) MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND Korean corporation; SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) corporation; and SAMSUNG) corporation; and SAMSUNG)) TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a) a Delaware limited liability company,))) Defendants and Counterclaimants.))) On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: APPLE OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses Witness objection Overruled.	APPLE, INC., a California co	orporation,) Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK
) WITNESSES, GREENBERG, JEFFAY SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a) MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND Korean corporation; SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) corporation; and SAMSUNG) TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a Delaware limited liability company,) Defendants and Counterclaimants.) On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule o Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: APPLE OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses Witness objection Overruled. Saul Greenberg	Plaintiff and Cou	unterdefendant,) SAMSUNG'S DISCLOSURES
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a) MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND Korean corporation; SAMSUNG) VELLTURO ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) corporation; and SAMSUNG) TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a Delaware limited liability company,) Defendants and Counterclaimants.)) On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: $\boxed{ \begin{tabular} APPLE OBJECTION & COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION & Samsung Rebuttal & Witnesses & Witness objection & Overruled. & Saul Greenberg & Verruled. & Saul Greenberg & Verruled. & VerruleA & Ve$	V.		/
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York) corporation; and SAMSUNG) TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a Delaware limited liability company,) Defendants and Counterclaimants.) On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: APPLE OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses Witness objection Overruled.) MOWRY, RINARD, WIGDOR, AND
corporation; and SAMSUNG) TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a Delaware limited liability company,) Defendants and Counterclaimants.)) On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule or Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: APPLE OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses Witness objection Overruled. Saul Greenberg Image: Comparison of the case is comparis	· ·		,
a Delaware limited liability company, Defendants and Counterclaimants. On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: APPLE OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses Witness objection Overruled. Saul Greenberg Overruled.	corporation; and SAMSUNG	ŕ)
Defendants and Counterclaimants.) On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. O April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: APPLE OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses Witness objection Overruled. Saul Greenberg Image: Court rule of the case of the ca			,)
On April 20, 2014, Apple filed objections to Samsung's disclosures. ECF No. 1740. C April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: APPLE OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses Witness objection Overruled.	-)
April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: APPLE OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses Witness objection Overruled. Saul Greenberg Image: Court of the case of th	Defendants and	Counterclaimants	
April 20, 2014, Samsung filed a response. ECF No. 1741-3. After reviewing the parties' brief considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: APPLE OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses Witness objection Overruled. Saul Greenberg Image: Court of the case of th	On April 20, 2014, A	nnle filed objection	/
considering the record in the case, and balancing the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: APPLE OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses Witness objection Overruled. Saul Greenberg Image: Court of the case			
Evidence 403, the Court rules on Apple's objections as follows: APPLE OBJECTION COURT'S RULING ON OBJECTION Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses Witness objection Overruled. Saul Greenberg Image: Court of the second secon	April 20, 2014, Samsung file	d a response. ECF	No. 1/41-3. After reviewing the parties' brief
APPLE OBJECTIONCOURT'S RULING ON OBJECTIONSamsung RebuttalWitnessesWitness objectionOverruled.Saul GreenbergImage: Court of the second	considering the record in the	case, and balancin	g the considerations set forth in Federal Rule of
Samsung Rebuttal Witnesses Witness objection Overruled. Saul Greenberg	Evidence 403, the Court rule	s on Apple's objec	tions as follows:
Witnesses Witness objection Overruled. Saul Greenberg	APPLE OBJECTION	COURT'S RU	LING ON OBJECTION
Witness objection Overruled. Saul Greenberg	8		
Saul Greenberg		Overruled	
		overruled.	
	Testimony Beyond the	Sustained.	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

Scope of Recall of Witness		
Kevin Jeffay		
DX339 (1991 conference,	Overruled. Samsung has not asserted inequitable conduct as to	
Embedded Buttons)	the '647 patent. Questions related solely to inequitable conductive will not be permitted pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 403. <i>See</i> ECF No. 1573.	
Todd Mowry		
SDX4032 (comparison of	Overruled.	
Mowry opinions)		
Collaborative,	Overruled. This document may be used solely to refresh	
Programmable Intelligent	recollection and will not be admitted in evidence.	
Agents		
Martin Rinard		
JX54 (U.S. Patent No.	Sustained.	
5,926,808 to Evans)		
DX306 ("Information	Sustained.	
Retrieval")		
Daniel Wigdor		
Testimony Beyond the	Sustained.	
Scope of Recall of Witness		
Christopher Vellturo		
VirnetX Deposition	Sustained.	
Testimony		
DX462 (summary of news	Sustained.	
articles on supply shortages)		

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 21, 2014

Jucy H. Koh

LUCY H. KOH United States District Judge