United States District Court For the Northern District of California

Dockets.Justia.com

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10	APPLE, INC., a California corporation,) Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK	
11) Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,) ORDER RE: VERDICT FORM	
12) [TENTATIVE]	
13	ý í	
14	Korean corporation; SAMSUNG)	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION APPLE, INC., a California corporation, Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, ORDER RE: VERDICT FORM (TENTATIVE) v.) SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a) Korean corporation; SAMSUNG) ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York)) corporation; and SAMSUNG) TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,) a Delaware limited liability company,) Defendants and Counterclaimants.)) The parties shall each file a statement of a maximum of two pages commenting Verdict Form [Tentative], ECF No. 1779, by noon on April 24, 2014. The Court under: Apple is alleging that all operating software versions and subversions and all JX subpa accused products infringe. Nonetheless, the Court asks for clarification as to why all JX were not admitted into evidence and as to why the parties differ in which JX subparts to among the "Accused Versions" or "Representative Exhibits" for the accused products. IT IS SO ORDERED. Jucy ICKOH Dated: April 23, 2014 Jucy ICKOH United States District Judge 1	
16		
17 18) Defendants and Counterclaimants.)	
19		
20	The parties shall each file a statement of a maximum of two pages commenting on the	
21	Verdict Form [Tentative], ECF No. 1779, by noon on April 24, 2014. The Court understands the	
22	Apple is alleging that all operating software versions and subversions and all JX subparts of the	
23	accused products infringe. Nonetheless, the Court asks for clarification as to why all JX subpar	ts
24	were not admitted into evidence and as to why the parties differ in which JX subparts they list	
25		
26	Taxa L Kala	
27		
28	United States District Judge	