

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE INC., a California Corporation,	)	Case No. 5:12-cv-00630-LHK-PSG
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b>ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR</b>
v.	)	<b>RELIEF AND DENYING MOTION</b>
	)	<b>TO STRIKE</b>
	)	
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a	)	<b>(Re: Docket Nos. 1038, 1041)</b>
Korean corporation; SAMSUNG	)	
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York	)	
corporation; and SAMSUNG	)	
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,	)	
a Delaware limited liability company,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

Before the court are Samsung Electronic Co., Ltd.’s Administrative Motion for Relief from Certain Provisions of October 2, 2013 Stipulation re 785 Order<sup>1</sup> and Nokia Corporation’s Administrative Motion to Strike Samsung’s motion.<sup>2</sup> Nokia opposes Samsung’s motion, and vice versa.<sup>3</sup> The dispute is whether Samsung has sufficiently produced a log of all documents located

<sup>1</sup> See Docket No. 1038.

<sup>2</sup> See Docket No. 1038.

<sup>3</sup> See Docket Nos. 1048 and 1055.

1 through the use of the search terms Nokia had negotiated with Samsung or alternatively produced  
2 the documents themselves.

3 The facts of this dispute are well-known.<sup>4</sup> After an April 1, 2014 hearing in the related 11-  
4 1846 case, the court held:


5 Nokia is in the right, but only up to a point. In light of the court's personal involvement in  
6 reviewing documents in this dispute, the undersigned is not persuaded that Samsung need  
7 undertake the burden of logging and producing documents as Nokia suggests. The court  
8 has a different idea. No later than 30 days after the end of trial in the related 12-630 case,  
9 Mr. John Quinn himself shall serve and file a sworn declaration confirming the  
10 representations made in court today by his firm about the status of Nokia confidential  
11 license information. If he can make such representations under penalty of perjury, and as  
12 an officer of this court, Nokia will have all the assurances to which it is entitled. If Mr.  
13 Quinn is unwilling or unable to do so, the court will entertain a renewed Nokia motion.<sup>5</sup>

14 Quinn served and filed this sworn declaration on May 29, 2014.<sup>6</sup>

15 In light of this declaration Samsung has fully complied with its obligation.<sup>7</sup> The court  
16 accordingly GRANTS Samsung's motion and DENIES Nokia's motion.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18 Dated: September 17, 2014

19   
20 PAUL S. GREWAL  
21 United States Magistrate Judge

22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 <sup>4</sup> See Docket No. 34 at 1.

24 <sup>5</sup> Case No. 5:12-cv-01846, Docket No. 3061 at 2.

25 <sup>6</sup> See *id.* at Docket No. 3106.

26 <sup>7</sup> See Docket No. 785.