1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	NORTHERN DIST	ES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JO	SE DIVISION
11	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	
12	Plaintiff,	
13		Case No. 12-cv-00630-LHK
14	VS.	
15	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean business entity; SAMSUNG	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: JOINT NOTICE
16	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; SAMSUNG	
17	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	
18		
19	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27		
20		[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: JOINT NOTICE
		Case No. 12-cv-00630-LHK (PSG)
		Docke

On October 6, 2014, the parties submitted a Joint Notice Regarding Order Re: Various 1 Administrative Motions to Seal (ECF 1981) indicating that certain exhibits that were the subject of the Court's September 18, 2014 Order were withdrawn prior to being considered by the Court 3 or were already filed publicly (in some cases the publicly filed version includes redactions 4 approved by the Court's prior sealing orders). Accordingly, no further action or re-filing is 5 necessary with respect to the following exhibits: 6

8	ECF No.	Document	Status
9 10 11	882-3	Apple's Motion to Strike Arguments from Samsung's Invalidity and Non-Infringement Expert Reports Regarding Apple Patents	Portions withdrawn (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1205.)
12	882-7	" " Ex. 1	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
13 14	882-8	" " Ex. 2	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
14	882-9	" " Ex. 3	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
16 17 18	882-11, 1175- 12	″ ″ Ex. 5	Portions withdrawn (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1205-1)
19	882-12	" " Ex. 6	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
20 21	882-13	″ ″ Ex. 7	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
22	882-14	″″ Ex. 8	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
23	882-15	″″ Ex. 9	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
24 25	882-16	" " Ex. 10	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
26 27	882-17, 1175- 12	″″ Ex. 11	Portions withdrawn (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing (<i>See</i> ECF No.
28			
		L. L.	ED] ORDER RE: JOINT NOTICE Case No. 12-cv-00630-LHK (PSG)

7

882-18 882-19 882-20	" " Ex. 12 " " Ex. 13	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
	" " Ex. 13	
882-20		Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
	" " Ex. 14	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
882-22	" " Ex. 16	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
882-23	" " Ex. 17	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
882-28	" " Ex. 22	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
882-33	" " Ex. 27	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
882-51	" " Ex. 28	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
882-41	" " Ex. 35	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
882-42, 1175- 12	" " Ex. 36	Portions withdrawn (<i>See</i> ECH No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's O regarding sealing (<i>See</i> ECF N 1205-1)
882-43	" " Ex. 37	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
882-47	" " Ex. 41	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
882-48	" " Ex. 42	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
882-49	" " Ex. 43	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
962-4	Samsung's Opposition to Apple's Motion to Strike (Apple Patents)	Portions withdrawn (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's O regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF 1 1210-6.)
962-10	" " Ex. 1	Portions withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's O regarding sealing. (See ECF 1

		1210-7.)
962-10	" " Ex. 2	Portions withdrawn (<i>See</i> ECI No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's C regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF 1210-8.)
962-10	" " Ex. 3	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-10	″ ″ Ex. 4	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-11	" " Ex. 6	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-11	" " Ex. 7	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-11	" " Ex. 9	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-11	" " Ex. 10	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-11	" " Ex. 11	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-11, 1172	" " Ex. 19	Public version is consistent w the Court's Order regarding sealing (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1210-9
962-11	" " Ex. 24	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-11	" " Ex. 28	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-12	" " Ex. 31	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-12	" " Ex. 36	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-12	" " Ex. 37	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-12	" " Ex. 38	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-12	" " Ex. 39	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-12	" " Ex. 40	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
962-12	" " Ex. 42	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19

1 2	962-13	" " Ex. 45	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
2	962-13	" " Ex. 46	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
4	962-13	" " Ex. 47	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
5 6	962-13	" " Ex. 48	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
7	962-13	" " Ex. 53	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
8	962-13	" " Ex. 54	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	963-3, 963-20, 978-2, 1173	Samsung's Opposition to Apple's Motion to Strike Arguments from Samsung's Infringement	Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1217-13). While the Court only sealed the yellow highlighting in Docket No. 1173 and portions indicated in Docket No. 1175 when ruling on Apple's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 1175), the other information that was highlighted in Docket No. 1173 and redacted in the public redacted version (ECF No. 1217- 13) was included in Docket Nos. 1003 and 1008, which the Court also sealed. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1981 at 8.)
19	963-6	" " Ex. 1	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	963-7, 1173-1	″ ″ Ex. 2	Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1217-14). While the Court unsealed the "extra highlighting in Docket No. 1169," all of the information that is redacted in the public redacted version (ECF No. 1217- 14) was included in Docket No. 1003, which the Court sealed. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1981 at 8.)
27	963-8	" " Ex. 3	Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding
28		[PROPOS	ED] ORDER RE: JOINT NOTICE
			Case No. 12-cv-00630-LHK (PSG)

		sealing. (See ECF No. 1217-15.
963-9	" " Ex. 4	Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1217-16.
963-11	" " Ex. 6	Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1217-18.
963-12, 1173- 3	″″ Ex. 7	Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1217-19. Accordingly, Apple will not see file the document "lodged" with the court as indicated by Apple in Docket No. 1003 and will no seek reconsideration.
963-13, 1173- 4	″″ Ex. 8	Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1217-20. Accordingly, Apple will not see file the document "lodged" with the court as indicated by Apple in Docket No. 1003 and will no seek reconsideration.
963-14, 1173- 5, 1218-5	" " Ex. 9	Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1217-21. With respect to the Court's ruling regarding Docket No. 1218, the Corrected Highlighted Version of Exhibit 9 removed highlighting that was erroneously included in Docket No. 1173-5.
963-15	" " Ex. 10	Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1217-22.
963-18, 1173- 6, 1218-6	" " Ex. 13	Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1217-25. With respect to the Court's ruling regarding Docket No. 1218, the Corrected Highlighted Version of Exhibit 13 removed highlighting that was erroneously included in Docket
	[I 5	PROPOSED] ORDER RE: JOINT NOTIC Case No. 12-cv-00630-LHK (PSC

		No. 1173-6.
964-25	Apple's Opposition to Samsung's Motion to Strike Regarding Apple Patents	Portions withdrawn (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's O regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF 1 1208.)
964-4	" " Ex. E	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
964-4	" " Ex. F	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
964-5	" " Ex. G	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
964-6, 989-2	" " Ex. I	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
964-7, 989-3	" " Ex. J	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
964-8	" " Ex. K	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
964-9	" " Ex. L	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 197
964-10	" " Ex. M	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
964-11, 989-4	" " Ex. N	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 19
964-13, 1075- 13	" " Ex. P	Portions withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's O regarding sealing. (See ECF 1 1208-1.)
964-15, 1075- 13	" " Ex. S	Public version is consistent w the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1208-1
964-17	" " Ex. T	Portions withdrawn (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's O regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF 1 1208-2.)
964-19	" " Ex. W	Portions withdrawn (<i>See</i> ECH No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's O regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF 1208-3.)

965-4, 1075-8, Apple's Opposition to Samsung's Motion to 965-4, 1075-8, Apple's Opposition to Samsung's Motion to 1214 Strike Expert Testimony Based on 1214 Undisclosed Theories and Claim Constructions (Samsung Patents) Docket Nos. 1175 what 1175 whe 965-11, 1075-9, ? " Ex. 5 965-20, 985-3, ? " Ex. 11 965-20, 985-3, ? " Ex. 11	964-22	" " Ex. AA	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977
965-11, 1075- 9, 1214-1" " Ex. 5Public version is consistent wit the Court's Order regarding sealing. (See ECF No. 1212-1.)The public version is consistent with the parties' understanding of the Court's Order regarding sealing. (See ECF No. 1212-1.)965-20, 985-3, 1075-9, 1214-" " Ex. 111" " Ex. 111" " Ex. 111" " Ex. 111Ocket No. 1175-9 and 1214-1 and th blue highlighting in Docket No 1189 and 1196 when ruling on Apple's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 1175), the Court also sealed all of the "yellow and pink highlighting in Docket No. 965 20, portions indicated in Docket No. 1000, [and] amendments in Docket No. 985." As Docket No. 965-20 included only blue and pink highlighting, Apple	· · ·	Strike Expert Testimony Based on Undisclosed Theories and Claim	No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's Ord regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No 1212.) While the Court only sealed the yellow highlighting Docket Nos. 1175-8 and 1214 and the portions indicated in Docket No. 1196 and the portions indicated in 1175 whe ruling on Apple's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 1175), the other information th was highlighted in 1175-8 and redacted in the public redacted version (ECF No. 1212) was highlighted in either blue or magenta in Docket No. 965-4, which the Court also sealed.
 with the parties' understanding of the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1212-1.) While the Court only sealed the yellow highlighting in Docket Nos. 1175-9 and 1214-1 and the blue highlighting in Docket Nos. 1175-9, 1214- 1 965-20, 985-3, 1075-9, 1214- 1 965-20, 985-3, 1189 and 1196 when ruling on Apple's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 1175), the Court also sealed all of the "yellow and pink highlighting in Docket No. 965-20, portions indicated in Docket No. 1000, [and] amendments in Docket No. 985." As Docket No. 965-20 included only blue and pink highlighting, Apple 		" " Ex. 5	Public version is consistent wit the Court's Order regarding
		" " Ex. 11	The public version is consisten with the parties' understanding of the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1212-1.) While the Court only sealed the yellow highlighting in Docket Nos. 1175-9 and 1214-1 and th blue highlighting in Docket No 1189 and 1196 when ruling on Apple's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 1175), the Court also sealed all of the "yellow and pink highlighting in Docket No. 965 20, portions indicated in Docket No. 1000, [and] amendments in Docket No. 985." As Docket No. 965-20 included only blue and pink highlighting, Apple

1 2 3 4			information highlighted in blue, and Apple usually supported information highlighted in yellow, the parties understand the Court's Order to have sealed the "blue and pink" highlighting in Docket No. 965-20.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1009-4, 1009- 5, 1174	Samsung's Reply in Support of its Motion to Strike Expert Testimony Based on Undisclosed Theories and Claim Constructions (Samsung Patents)	Portions withdrawn (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1217-26.) With respect to the Court's ruling regarding Docket No. 1218, the Corrected Highlighted Version of Samsung's Reply removed highlighting that was erroneously included in Docket No. 1174.
12 13	1009-8	" " Ex. 2	Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1217-28.)
14 15	1009-9	" " Ex. 3	Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1217-29.)
16 17 18	1011-6	Apple's Reply in Support of Its Motion to Strike Arguments from Samsung's Invalidity and Non-Infringement Expert Reports Regarding Apple Patents	Portions withdrawn (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1206.)
19	1011-7	" " Ex. 48	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
20	1011-7	" " Ex. 50	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
21	1011-7	" " Ex. 51	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977)
 22 23 24 25 26 	1017-3; 1175- 10	Apple's Reply in Support of its Motion to Strike Arguments from Samsung's Infringement Expert Reports Regarding Samsung Patents	Portions withdrawn (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's Order regarding sealing as it includes only the yellow highlighting found in 1175-10. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1213.)
27 28			ED] ORDER RE: JOINT NOTICE Case No. 12-cv-00630-LHK (PSG)

1017-6	" " Ex. 2	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977
1017-7	" " Ex. 3	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977
1017-14	" " Ex. 9	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977
1018-4	Samsung's Reply in Support of its Motion to Strike Expert Testimony Based on Previously Undisclosed Theories (Samsung Patents)	Portions withdrawn (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1977); Public version is consistent with the Court's Ord regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1210-10.)
1018-7	" " Ex. 2	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977
1018-7	" " Ex. 3	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977
1018-7	" " Ex. 4	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977
1018-7	" " Ex. 5	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977
1018-7	" " Ex. 7	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977
1018-7	" " Ex. 9	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977
1018-7	" " Ex. 10	Withdrawn (See ECF No. 1977
1170-5	Exhibit 10 to the Declaration of Todd Briggs in Support of Samsung's Motion to Strike (Samsung Patents)	Public version is consistent wit the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1217-9.) While the Court only sealed the yellow highlighting in ECF No 1170-5 and 1175-14 and stated that the remainder was unseale because no supporting declaration was filed, all information highlighted in blue was also highlighted in yellow.
1170-6	Exhibit 11 to the Declaration of Todd Briggs in Support of Samsung's Motion to Strike (Samsung Patents)	Public version is consistent wit the Court's Order regarding sealing. (See ECF No. 1217-10
1171-1	Exhibit 27 to the Declaration of Michael L. Fazio in Support of Samsung's Motion to Strike (Apple Patents)	Public version is consistent wit the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1210-2.)

I		
1175-5, 1214- 2	Exhibit A to the Declaration of Mark D. Selwyn in Support of Apple's Motion to Strike Arguments from Samsung's Infringement Expert Reports Regarding Samsung Patents	Public version is consistent w the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1211-
1175-7	Exhibit D to the Declaration of Mark D. Selwyn in Support of Apple's Motion to Strike Arguments from Samsung's Infringement Expert Reports Regarding Samsung Patents	Public version is consistent w the Court's Order regarding sealing. (<i>See</i> ECF No. 1211-3
1258-2	Krevitt Letter	Already public. (See ECF No 1390-1.)
1258-3	Lee Letter	Already public. (See ECF No 1390.)
1258-1	Kolovos Declaration	Already public. (See ECF No 1390-2.)
1280-3	Samsung's Opposition to Apple's Motion to Seal	Already public. (See ECF No 1363.)
1280-4	Becher Declaration	Already public. (See ECF No 1363-1.)
1280-5	Exhibit 1 to Becher Declaration	Already public. (<i>See</i> ECF No 1363-2.)
1282-2	Nishino Declaration	Already public. (See ECF No 1401.)
1289-2	Declaration of Jennifer Rho	Already public. (<i>See</i> ECF No 1405-1.)
1289-3	Krevitt Letter	Already public. (<i>See</i> ECF No 1405.)
1320-2	Amended Nishino Declaration	Already public. (<i>See</i> ECF No 1403.)
1322-2	Nishino Declaration	Already public. (<i>See</i> ECF No 1402.)
1323-1	Declaration of Peter J. Kolovos in Support of Apple's and Samsung's Administrative Motions to File Documents Under Seal	Already public. (See ECF No 1391.)
IT IS SO ORDI Dated: <u>October</u>	<u>: 10</u> , 2014 Fore Hon. Paul	S. Aure S. Grewal ates Magistrate Judge