D.S. et al v. Santa Cruz City School District et al

Doc. 56

1	With respect to Defendant Santa Cruz City School District, the Settlement Agreement is	
2	subject to review and final approval of its Governing Board. The Settlement Agreement will be	
3	considered by the Governing Board at its meeting on the August 21, 2013.	
4	Further, in accordance with the aforementioned Order, D.S., M.S., and J.S. hereby stipulate	
5	to dismissal with prejudice of the above-referenced action as to Santa Cruz County Office of	
6	Education.	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11	Dated: June 28, 2013	/VJM/
12	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Valerie Mulhollen, Attorney for Plaintiffs
13	Dated: June 28, 2013	
14		SCHOOL AND COLLEGE LEGAL SERVICES OF CALIFORNIA
15		/NLK/
16		
17		By: Nancy L. Klein
18		Attorneys for Defendant Santa Cruz County Office of Education
19		
20	Dated: June 28, 2013	LOZANO SMITH
21		/DAO/
22		
23		By: Daniel A. Osher Attorneys for Defendant Santa Cruz City School
24		District
25		
26		
27		
		2

28

PROPOSED ORDER The above-referenced action is dismissed with prejudice as to Defendant Santa Cruz County Office of Education. IT IS SO ORDERED. Lucy H. Koh Dated: July 2, 2013 United States District Court Judge