Patino v. Uni	known I
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SIPRIANO PATINO, ) No. C 12-0957 LHK (PR)
11	
12	)
13	V. )
14	UNKNOWN, ) Defendant. )
15	)
16	On February 27, 2012, Plaintiff, proceeding <i>pro se</i> , filed a letter which commenced this
17	action. The same day, the Clerk notified Plaintiff that he had not paid the filing fee, nor had he
18	filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP"). The Clerk also notified Plaintiff that
19	he failed to submit a complaint. Along with the deficiency notices, Plaintiff was provided with a
20	new IFP application and instructions for completing it. Plaintiff was further cautioned that his
21	failure to either file a completed IFP application or pay the filing fee within thirty days would
22	result in the dismissal of this action. In addition, Plaintiff was advised that his failure to file a
23	complaint within thirty days would result in the dismissal of this action. To date, Plaintiff has
24	not paid his filing fee, filed a completed IFP application, or filed a complaint.
25	Plaintiff filed another letter on March 19, 2012, stating that he has not yet exhausted his
26	administrative remedies and requests a stay of this action in order to do so. Plaintiff's request for
27	a stay is denied. His situation does not warrant an indefinite stay. The instant action is
28	DISMISSED without prejudice. The Clerk shall enter judgment and close the file.
	Order of Dismissal
	G:\PRO-SE\SJ.LHK\CR.12\Patino957disifpcomp.wpd
	DI.

Doc. 6

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: <u>5/1</u>0/12

fucy H. Koh United States District Judge