UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| Rick Flores and Tisha Flores | |
|---|---|
| | CASE NO. <u>5:12-cv-01029 LHK</u> |
| Plaintiff(s), | |
| v. Thor Motor Coach, Inc., et al. | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS |
| Defendant(s). | |
| | |
| Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 | and ADR L.R. 3-5: |
| The parties agree to participate in the following A | ADR process: |
| Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.) Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) | |
| (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than a ADR phone conference and may not file this form ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16- | any other form of ADR must participate in an n. They must instead file a Notice of Need for |
| Private Process: ✓ Private ADR (please identify proc | ess and provider) Mediation |
| Michael Ornstil of JAMS | |
| The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deareferring the case to an ADR proc | adline is 90 days from the date of the order ess unless otherwise ordered.) |
| other requested deadline | |
| Dated: 7/9/12 | Attorney for Plaintiff |
| Dated: | Attorney for Defendant |

CONTINUE TO FOLLOWING PAGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| Rick Flores and Tisha Flores | |
|--|--|
| | CASE NO. <u>5:12-cv-01029 LHK</u> |
| Plaintiff(s), | |
| V. Thor Motor Coach, Inc., et al. | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS |
| Defendant(s). | |
| Counsel report that they have met and confi following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 at | erred regarding ADR and have reached the nd ADR L.R. 3-5: |
| The parties agree to participate in the following AI | OR process: |
| Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (AMEDIA) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) | |
| (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than at ADR phone conference and may not file this form. ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-b | ny other form of ADR must participate in an . They must instead file a Notice of Need for |
| Private Process: Private ADR (please identify proce | ss and provider) Mediation |
| Michael Ornstil of JAMS | |
| The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR process.) | dline is 90 days from the date of the order as unless otherwise ordered.) |
| other requested deadline | |
| Dated: 7/9/12 | Attorney for Plaintiff |
| Dated: 7/10/12 | Attorney for Defendant Ford Notor Co. |

CONTINUE TO FOLLOWING PAGE

ر المناه الم

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| Rick Flores and Tisha Flores | |
|---|--|
| | CASE NO. <u>5:12-ev-01029 LHK</u> |
| Plaintiff(s), | |
| Thor Motor Coach, Inc., et al. Defendant(s). | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS |
| Counsel report that they have met and confe following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 as | |
| The parties agree to participate in the following AI | DR process: |
| Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (AMEDIA) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) | |
| (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than at ADR phone conference and may not file this form. ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 | ny other form of ADR must participate in an . They must instead file a Notice of Need for |
| Private Process: ✓ Private ADR (please identify process) | ss and provider) Mediation |
| Michael Ornstil of JAMS | |
| The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR proce | dline is 90 days from the date of the order ass unless otherwise ordered.) |
| other requested deadline | |
| Dated: 7/9/12 | Attorney for Plaintiff |
| Dated: ////Corc | Attorney for Defendant CUMMINS |

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| Rick Flores and Tisha Flores | |
|---|--|
| | CASE NO. <u>5:12-cv-01029 LHK</u> |
| Plaintiff(s), | |
| ν. | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] |
| Thor Motor Coach, Inc., et | ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS |
| al. | |
| Defendant(s). | |
| | |
| Counsel report that they have met and following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 10 | conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 6-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5: |
| The parties agree to participate in the following | ng ADR process: |
| Court Processes: | |
| Non-binding Arbitration (ADF | • |
| Early Neutral Evaluation (ENF | 3) (ADR L.R. 5) |
| Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) | · |
| (Note: Parties who believe that an early settle | ement conference with a Magistrate Judge is |
| | nan any other form of ADR must participate in an |
| | form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for |
| ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule | ? 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5) |
| | |
| Private Process: | process and provider) Mediation |
| Filvate ADR (please taentijy p | process and provider) |
| Michael Omstil of JAMS | |
| | |
| The parties agree to hold the ADR session by | |
| | e deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.) |
| | · |
| other requested deadline | |
| • | |
| Dated: 7/9/12 | |
| 15, | Attorney for Plaintiff |
| - Jalia | Valores & Charala |
| Dated: 17112 | Attorney for Defandant |
| | C -A HOTOEV TOT LIFTKOURD) |

CONTINUE TO FOLLOWING PAGE

[PROPOSED] ORDER



The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED. The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 10, 2012

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."