FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. C 12-1475 LHK (PR) Petitioner, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE v. WARDEN KEVIN CHAPPELL, Respondent.

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, seeks a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging his conviction and sentence. Petitioner has paid the filing fee. The Court orders Respondent to show cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted.

DISCUSSION

A. Standard of Review

This Court may entertain a petition for writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a state court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a); Rose

26

27

28

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Petitioner initially brought this action against the State of California, the Superior Court, and two other individuals. Pursuant to Rule 25(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Kevin Chappell, the current warden of San Quentin State Prison, is hereby substituted as Respondent.

Order to Show Cause G:\PRO-SE\SJ.LHK\HC.12\James475osc.wpd v. Hodges, 423 U.S. 19, 21 (1975).

A district court shall "award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto." 28 U.S.C. § 2243.

B. Petitioner's Claims

As grounds for relief, Petitioner alleges that: (1) his no contest plea was involuntary because he was not informed of the direct consequences when accepting the plea, and (2) the prosecution withheld favorable, exculpatory evidence. Liberally construed, these claims are sufficient to warrant a response.

CONCLUSION

- 1. The Clerk shall serve by mail a copy of this order and the petition (docket no. 1) and all attachments thereto upon the Respondent and the Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this order on Petitioner.
- 2. Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner, within **ninety days** of the date this order is filed, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the underlying state criminal record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

If Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within **thirty days** of the date the answer is filed.

- 3. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases within **ninety days** of the date this order is filed. If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the court and serve on Respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition within **thirty days** of the date the motion is filed, and Respondent **shall** file with the court and serve on Petitioner a reply within **fifteen days** of the date any opposition is filed.
 - 4. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner is reminded that

all communications with the Court must be served on Respondent by mailing a true copy of the document to Respondent's counsel. Petitioner must keep the court and all parties informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper captioned "Notice of Change of Address." He must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: <u>4/30/12</u> United State's District Judge