Williams v. Perez et al 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 JAMES EDWARD WILLIAMS, No. C 12-1691 RMW(PR) 10 Plaintiff, ORDER ADDRESSING 11 PENDING MOTIONS 12 R. PEREZ, et al., 13 (Docket Nos. 7, 8, 19, 22, 25, Defendants. 14 15 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Court issued an order of service for Defendants R. Perez and J. Juarez. 16 17 On June 6 and June 13, 2012, Plaintiff filed two motions to amend his complaint. One of those motions appears to contain an amended complaint, adding four more defendants. On July 18 19 20, 2012, Plaintiff filed another amended complaint, in which he requests the addition of two 20 more defendants, previously dismissed by the court in its order of service, but plaintiff does not 21 acknowledge the four defendants he wanted to add in his motion to amend. 22 A plaintiff may amend the complaint once as a matter of course within 21 days after 23 serving it. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(A). But if the complaint requires a responsive pleading, a 24 plaintiff may amend the complaint 21 days after service of a responsive pleading or 21 days after 25 service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f), whichever is earlier. Id. at (a)(1)(B). In all 26 other cases, a plaintiff must obtain the defendant's consent or leave of court to amend a 27 complaint. Id. at (a)(2). The court will GRANT plaintiff leave to amend his complaint.

However, because of the discrepancies described above, the court cannot determine what

Order Addressing Pending Motions $G:\PRO-SE\SJ.Rmw\CR.12\Williams691amcomp.wpd$

28

Doc. 31

defendants plaintiff would like to include. Plaintiff must file one operative complaint and may not incorporate material, or merely make reference to information, from the prior complaints. Plaintiff must file his SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT within thirty days from the filing date of this order.

Because the court is striking the first amended complaint, plaintiff's motion to serve summons is DENIED as premature. The deadline for defendants to file their dispositive motion is VACATED; the court shall issue a new briefing schedule after plaintiff files his second amended complaint. Defendants' motion for an extension of time to file a dispositive motion is DENIED as moot. Similarly, plaintiff's motion for discovery deposition and interrogatories is DENIED as premature.

Plaintiff shall file a SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT within thirty days from the date this order is filed. The second amended complaint must include the caption and civil case number used in this order (C 12-1691 RMW (PR)) and the words SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT on the first page. If plaintiff files a second amended complaint, he must allege, in good faith, facts - not merely conclusions of law - that demonstrate that he is entitled to relief under the applicable federal statutes. Failure to file a second amended complaint within thirty days and in accordance with this order will result in a finding that further leave to amend would be futile and this action will proceed as stated in the court's July 11, 2012 order of service.

Plaintiff is advised that an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. "[A] plaintiff waives all causes of action alleged in the original complaint which are not alleged in the amended complaint." London v. Coopers & Lybrand, 644 F.2d 811, 814 (9th Cir. 1981). Defendants not named in an amended complaint are no longer defendants. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992).

It is the plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the court informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper with the clerk headed "Notice of Change of Address," and must comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of

1	Civil Procedure 41(b).
2	This order terminates docket numbers 7, 8, 19, 22, 25, and 29.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	DATED: RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge
5	RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES EDWARD WILLIAMS,	Case Number: CV12-01691 RMW	
Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
v.		
R. PEREZ et al,		
Defendant.	_/	

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on October 12, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

James Edward Williams V-54214 Salinas Valley State Prison Housing: A-5-143 P.O. Box 1050 Soledad, CA 93960

Dated: October 12, 2012

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jackie Lynn Garcia, Deputy Clerk