1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	IAMES EDWADD WILLIAMS N. C 12 1601 DMW/DD
11	JAMES EDWARD WILLIAMS,) No. C 12-1691 RMW(PR)) Plaintiff,) ORDER DENYING MOTION
12) FOR APPOINTMENT OF
13	v.) COUNSEL) R. PEREZ, et al.,)
14	Defendants.) (Docket No. 59)
15	
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> , filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has filed a motion requesting appointment of counsel. (Docket No. 59.)
18	Plaintiff's request for counsel is DENIED for want of exceptional circumstances. <u>See Rand v.</u>
19	Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997); see also Lassiter v. Dep't of Social Services, 452
20	U.S. 18, 25 (1981) (there is no constitutional right to counsel in a civil case). The issues in this
21	case are not particularly complex and plaintiff has thus far been able to adequately present his
22	claims. This denial is without prejudice to the court's sua sponte appointment of counsel at a
23	future date should the circumstances of this case warrant such appointment.
24	This order terminates docket number 59.
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	DATED: RONALD M. WHYTE
27	United States District Judge
28	
	Order Denying Motion for Appointment of Counsel P:\PRO-SE\RMW\CR.12\Williams691denycounsel.wpd

Williams v. Perez et al

Doc. 62