1		*E-FILED: July 24, 2013*
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	NOT FOR CITATION	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10	DANIESHA WASHINGTON,	No. C12-01699 HRL
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE MOTIONS IN LIMINE
12	v. COUNTY OF MONTEREY; ET AL.,	[Re: Dkt. Nos. 45, 49, 50]
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	As discussed at the July 23, 2013 Pretrial Conference, the rulings of the court made on the	
16	record at the conference shall constitute the court's Pretrial Order. Additionally, for the reasons	
17	discussed at that conference, the court rules on the parties' respective motions in limine as follows:	
18	Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 1 to Exclude Expert Testimony of Judith Hartman, M.D. is	
19	DENIED.	
20	Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 2 to Exclude Defendant's Expert, Dr. Rael, is GRANTED	
21	IN PART AND DENIED IN PART. Dr. Rael may testify as a medical expert but is precluded from	
22	testifying about plaintiff's credibility or whether it is possible for plaintiff to have suffered mental	
23	trauma from the events that form the basis of the complaint.	
24	Defendants' Motions in Limine Nos. 1-9 are GRANTED.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: July 24, 2013	
27	HOWARD R LLOYD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
28		
	11	

Dockets.Justia.com

1 C 12-01699 HRL Order will be electronically mailed to:

- 2 Adam John Krolikowski akrolikowski@mastagni.com, adam@usethelaw.com,
 - cindi@usethelaw.com, jennifer@usethelaw.com
 - Jennifer Ann Schwarz jaschwarzesq@gmail.com
- 5 W. David Walker Dwalker@ccclawfirm.com
 - Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.