Samet v	. Proctor	and Gamble Company	Doc. 1	9
United States District Court For the Northern District of California				
	1			
	2			
	3			
	4			
	5			
	6			
	7			
	8			
	9			
	10	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COLIRT	
	11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	12	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
	13	S/AIV JOSI	Z DI VISIOIV	
	14	SARAH SAMET et al.,) Case No.: 5:12-cv-01891 PSG	
	15	Plaintiffs,	ORDER TO SUBMIT PROPOSED SCHEDULES	
	16	V.) (Re: Docket No. 118)	
	17	PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY, et al.,) (Re. Docket No. 110)	
	18	Defendants.		
	19	As noted at Dooket No. 119 the parties u		
	20	As noted at Docket No. 118, the parties were to submit a proposed briefing schedule for the		
	21	class certification motion and an overall case schedule. To date, they have submitted neither. No		
	22	later than February 20, 2015, the parties shall submit proposals for both schedules.		
	23	SO ORDERED.		
	24	Dated: February 13, 2015		
	25			
	26		Paul S. Alme PAUL S. GREWAL	
	27		United States Magistrate Judge	
	28		1	
		Case No.: 5:12-cv-01891-PSG		
		ORDER TO SUBMIT PROPOSED SCHEDULI	Dockets.Justia.co	m
			I I	