1 2 3

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

SY LEE CASTLE,

VS.

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,

M. SEPULVEDA, Defendant.

EXTENSION OF TIME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

(Doc. Nos. 8, 10, 12.)

No. C 12-2193 LHK (PR)

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO

TENSION OF TIME;

DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR

DENYING PLAINTIFF'S FOR

ORDER GRANTING

AMEND: DENYING

Plaintiff, a California state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, against Dr. M. Sepulveda. The Court issued an order of service on August 12, 2012. (Doc. No. 6.) Plaintiff has filed a motion requesting permission to amend the complaint. (Doc. No. 8.) A plaintiff may amend the complaint "once as a matter of course within [] 21 days after serving it." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(A). But, if the complaint requires a responsive pleading, a plaintiff may amend the complaint "21 days after service of a responsive pleading, or 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f), whichever is earlier." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B). In all other cases, a plaintiff must obtain the defendant's consent or leave of Court to amend a complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). Defendant has indicated that he "does not oppose Plaintiff's motion to amend[.]" (Doc. No. 10 at 2.) Thus, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiff leave to amend his complaint. See id. ("The court should freely give leave [to amend] when justice so requires."). Plaintiff shall file an AMENDED COMPLAINT within thirty days from

Order Granting Motion to Amend; Denying Extensions of Time G:\PRO-SE\SJ.LHK\CR.12\Castle193amend-eots.wpd

the date this order is filed. The amended complaint must include the caption and civil case number used in this order (C 12-2193 LHK (PR)) and the words AMENDED COMPLAINT on the first page. Failure to file an amended complaint within thirty days and in accordance with this order will result in the Court proceeding with the cognizable claim found in Plaintiff's original complaint. Plaintiff is advised that an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. "[A] plaintiff waives all causes of action alleged in the original complaint which are not alleged in the amended complaint." *London v. Coopers & Lybrand*, 644 F.2d 811, 814 (9th Cir. 1981). The briefing schedule set forth in the Court's order dated August 12, 2012 is VACATED pending further order of the Court.

Additionally, Plaintiff has filed a motion for extension of time to respond to Defendant's answer to his complaint (Doc. No. 12), and Defendant has filed a motion for extension of time to file a dispositive motion to the complaint. (Doc. No. 10.) Both Plaintiff's and Defendant's motions are **DENIED** as moot.

This order terminates docket numbers 8, 10 and 12.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: <u>11/8/12</u>

United State District Judge

14. Koh