1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 SY LEE CASTLE, No. C 12-2193 LHK (PR) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF 13 **COUNSEL** VS. 14 M. SEPULVEDA, 15 Defendant. (Doc. No. 18.) 16 17 Plaintiff, a California state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights action under 42 18 U.S.C. § 1983, against Dr. M. Sepulveda. On August 12, 2012, the Court ordered service upon 19 Defendant. (Doc. No. 6.) On November 21, 2012, the Court set a briefing schedule. (Doc. No. 20 16.) Plaintiff has filed a motion for appointment of counsel. (Doc. No. 18.) 21 Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is **DENIED** for want of exceptional 22 circumstances. See Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997); see also Lassiter v. 23 Dep't of Social Services, 452 U.S. 18, 25 (1981) (there is no constitutional right to counsel in a 24 civil case). The issues in this case are not particularly complex, and Plaintiff has thus far been 25 able to adequately present his claims. This denial is without prejudice to the Court's sua sponte 26 appointment of counsel at a future date should the circumstances of this case warrant such 27 appointment. The Court would like to remind Plaintiff that this is not a class action suit. 28 This order terminates docket no. 18. Order Denying Motion for Appointment of Counsel G:\PRO-SE\SJ.LHK\CR.12\Castle193deny-atty.wpd

Castle v. Sepulveda

Doc. 19

LUCY H. KDH United Star's District Judge