

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

NATALIA BRUTON, individually and on behalf)
of all others similarly situated,)
)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
)
GERBER PRODUCTS COMPANY,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

Case No.: 12-CV-02412-LHK
ORDER STRIKING DEFENDANT’S
EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO
REPLY DECLARATION OF DR. ORAL
CAPPS, JR.

Defendant Gerber Products Company (“Defendant”) has filed Evidentiary Objections to the Reply Declaration of Dr. Oral Capps, Jr. (“Objections”), wherein Defendant objects to allegedly new and improper evidence contained in Plaintiff Natalia Bruton’s (“Plaintiff”) Reply in Support of Class Certification. *See* ECF No. 122. Civil Local Rule 7-3(d)(1) governs the submission of objections to evidence contained in a party’s reply brief. This rule requires that any evidentiary objections be filed no more than seven days after the reply brief is filed and states that any evidentiary objections “may not exceed 5 pages of text.” Civ. Local R. 7-3(d)(1).

Defendant’s Objections comply with neither of these requirements. Defendants filed their Objections on June 17, 2014—over two months after Plaintiff filed her reply brief on April 3, 2014. *See* ECF Nos. 110, 122. Furthermore, the Objections are fifteen pages in length. *See* ECF

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

No. 122. Accordingly, the Court STRIKES Defendant's Objections for failure to comply with Civil
Local Rule 7-3(d)(1).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 18, 2014



LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge