Treglia v. Kerman et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FOR THE NORTHERN	N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	DANIEL TREGLIA,) No. C 12-2522 LHK (PR)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY
13	v.) TO STATE DISCOVERT
14	SCOTT KERNAN, et al.,) (Docket No. 100.)
15) (Docket No. 100.)
16	Defendants.	_)
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a second amended civil rights	
18	complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On June 2, 2014, defendants filed a motion for	
19	summary judgment on the merits, and based on qualified immunity. On June 9, 2014,	
20	defendants filed a motion to stay discovery pending the disposition of their argument that they	
21	are entitled to qualified immunity.	
22	As a general rule, a district court should stay discovery until the issue of qualified	
23	immunity is resolved. See Crawford-El v. Britton, 523 U.S. 574, 598 (1998); Harlow v.	
24	Fitzgerald, 457 U.S. 800, 818 (1982). Accordingly, defendants' motion to stay discovery until	
25	the court has ruled on the issue of qualified immunity is hereby GRANTED. (Docket No. 100.)	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Grant Kah
27	DATED:	LUCY H. KOH
28		United States District Judge
	Order Granting Motion to Stay Discovery P:\PRO-SE\LHK\CR.12\Treglia522staydisc.wpd	

Doc. 109