

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDDIE A. TILLMAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

OFFICER BOSTICK,

Defendant.

No. C 12-2807 LHK (PR)

ORDER GRANTING  
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE  
A DISPOSITIVE MOTION

Plaintiff, a California state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, against officers at the Antioch Police Department. On September 14, 2012, the Court found that Plaintiff stated a cognizable claim for relief against Defendant Bostick, and ordered service upon him. The claim against Defendant Harger was dismissed.<sup>1</sup> (Doc. No. 4.) Defendant Bostick was directed to file an answer or a dispositive motion within 90 days. (*Id.*) On November 16, 2012, Defendant Bostick filed an answer. (Doc. No. 7.) Defendant Bostick now seeks an extension of time in which to file a dispositive motion. (Doc. No. 8.)

Good causing showing, Defendant Bostick's motion for an extension of time is GRANTED. Defendant shall file a dispositive motion **no later than March 13, 2013**. Plaintiff

---

<sup>1</sup>The Clerk of the Court is directed to terminate Defendant Harger on the Court docket pursuant to the Court's order dated September 14, 2012. (Doc. No. 4.)

1 shall file an opposition **within twenty-eight (28) days** of the filing of the dispositive motion.  
2 Any reply by Defendant shall be filed **fourteen (14) days thereafter**.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: 12/17/12

Lucy H. Koh  
LUCY H. KOH  
United States District Judge

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28