

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**** E-filed June 22, 2012 ****

RECEIVED
2012 JUN -6 A 11:39
RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

E-filing

HRL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and
BELDEN GRANADA, Revenue officer,

Petitioners,

v.

AVINASH KUMAR,

Respondent.

CV 12 29 33

~~[Proposed]~~
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE
ENFORCEMENT OF INTERNAL
REVENUE SERVICE SUMMONS

Good cause having been shown by the petitioner upon its petition filed in the above-entitled proceeding on June 6, 2012, it is hereby:

ORDERED that respondent AVINASH KUMAR appear before this Court on the 7th day of August, 2012, at 10a.m., in Courtroom No. 2, 5th Floor, United States District Court, 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, California, and then and there show cause, if any, why respondent should not be compelled to appear and provide documents and testimony as required by the summons heretofore served upon respondent as alleged and set forth in particular in said ("the return date") petition; and it is further

ORDERED that a copy of this Order to Show Cause, together with a copy of the aforesaid petition, be served upon said respondent in accordance with Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure at least thirty-five (35) days before the return date of this Order above

1 specified; and it is further

2 **ORDERED** that within twenty-one (21) days before the return date of this Order,
3 respondents may file and serve a written response to the petition, supported by appropriate
4 affidavit(s) or declaration(s) in conformance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, as well as any motion
5 respondent desire to make, that the petitioner may file and serve a written reply to such response,
6 if any, within fourteen (14) days before the return date of this Order; that all motions and issues
7 raised by the pleadings will be considered on the return date of this Order, and only those issues
8 raised by motion or brought into controversy by the responsive pleadings and supported by
9 affidavit(s) or declaration(s) will be considered at the return of this Order, and any uncontested
10 allegation in the petition will be considered admitted.

11 **ORDERED** this 22 day of June 2012, at San Jose, California.

12
13 
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lloyd

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28