

1 COOLEY LLP
 MICHAEL G. RHODES (116127) (rhodesmg@cooley.com)
 2 WHITTY SOMVICHIAN (194463) (wsomvichian@cooley.com)
 MACO STEWART (*pro hac vice*) (maco.stewart@cooley.com)
 3 101 California Street, 5th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94111-5800
 4 Telephone: (415) 693-2000
 Facsimile: (415) 693-2222

5 Attorneys for Defendant
 6 GOOGLE INC.

7
 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION

11 KEITH DUNBAR,
 12 Plaintiff,
 13 v.
 14 GOOGLE INC.,
 15 Defendant.

Case No. 12-CV-03305-LHK (PSG)

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
 TO EXTEND PAGE LIMITS OF GOOGLE
 INC.'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S
 MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION**

Date: April 18, 2013
 Time: 1:30 p.m.
 Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh
 Location: Courtroom 8, 4th Floor

1 This Stipulation is entered into by and among plaintiff Keith Dunbar (“Plaintiff”) and
2 defendant Google Inc. (“Google”) (collectively “the Parties”), by and through their respective
3 counsel.

4 WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Class Certification (“Motion”) on January 28,
5 2013 (ECF No. 249);

6 WHEREAS, pursuant to this Court’s Order (ECF No. 242), the deadline for Google to
7 file its Opposition to the Motion is March 7, 2013;

8 WHEREAS, Plaintiff’s Motion is scheduled for hearing on April 18, 2013;

9 WHEREAS, under the Civil Local Rules, an opposition brief may not exceed 25 pages
10 and a reply brief may not exceed 15 pages unless the Court orders otherwise;

11 WHEREAS, under Civil Local Rule 7-11, parties may request permission to file briefs in
12 excess of the normal page limits by way of administrative motion;

13 WHEREAS, the pending Motion involves complex issues and an extensive factual record
14 related to Plaintiff’s motion to certify a nationwide class as set forth in the accompanying
15 administrative motion;

16 WHEREAS, for these reasons, Google is seeking permission to extend the page limits for
17 its Opposition by five pages (to 30 pages) and Plaintiff has agreed subject to a corresponding
18 extension of the page limit for Plaintiff’s reply brief (to 20 pages);

19 WHEREAS, extending the page limits in this manner will not alter the date of any event
20 or deadline already fixed by Court order;

21 NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree to extend the page limits (1)
22 for Google’s Opposition by five pages (to a total of 30 pages) and (2) for Plaintiff’s reply brief
23 by five pages (to 20 pages)

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CORY WATSON CROWDER & DEGARIS, P.C.
F. Jerome Tapley (*pro hac vice*)
jtapley@cwcd.com
Hirlye R. Lutz, III (*pro hac vice*)
rlutz@cwcd.com
2131 Magnolia Avenue
Birmingham, AL 35205
Telephone: (205) 328-2200
Facsimile: (205) 324-7896

Attorneys for Plaintiff KEITH DUNBAR

Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, Whitty Somvichian hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS ORDERED THAT:

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, the page limitation for Google’s Opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion for Class Certification shall be extended by five pages (to a total of 30 pages) and the page limitation for Plaintiff’s Reply Brief shall be extended by five pages (to a total of 20 pages).

Dated: February 26, 2013



THE HONORABLE LUCY H. KOH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1306836/SF