1 2 3 4 5 6	MICHAEL A. LADRA (Bar No. 64307) mike.ladra@lw.com MATTHEW RAWLINSON (Bar No. 231890) matt.rawlinson@lw.com ALLISON S. DAVIDSON (Bar No. 267964) allison.davidson@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 140 Scott Drive Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 328-4600 Facsimile: (650) 463-2600		
7	Attorneys for Defendants Oki Electric Industry Co., Ltd.; Oki Electric America, Inc.,		
8 9	Oki Data Americas, Inc., Rohm Semiconductor USA Lapis Semiconductor Co., Ltd.	A, LLC and	
10	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP	TES DISTRICT	
11	BRETT M. SHUMAN (SBN 189247) bschuman@morganlewis.com		
12	RACHEL M. WALSH (SBN 250568) rwalsh@morganlewis.com One Market, Spear Street Tower		
13	One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1126		
14	San Francisco, CA 94105-1126 Telephone: (415) 442-1000 Facsimile: (415) 442-1001		
15			
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs NavCom Technology, Inc. and Deere & Company 11/2/2012		
17			
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT		
20	SAN JOSE DIV	VISION	
21	NAVCOM TECHNOLOGY, INC.; and DEERE & COMPANY,	Case No. 5:12-cv-04175-EJD	
22	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL	
23	VS.		
24	OKI SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA, INC.; OKI ELECTRIC INDUSTRY CO., LTD.; OKI		
25	DATA AMERICAS, INC.; OKI ELECTRIC AMERICA, INC.; LAPIS		
26	SEMICONDUCTOR CO., LTD.; ROHM SEMICONDUCTOR USA, LLC, and DOES		
27	ONE THROUGH TEN inclusive, Defendants.		
28			
LATHAM&WATKINS Attorneys At Law Silicon Valley		STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL Case No. 5:12-cv-04175-EJD	

1	WHEREAS, on June 22, 2012, Plaintiffs NavCom Technology, Inc. and Deere &		
2	Company ("Plaintiffs") commenced this action in the Superior Court of the State of California in		
3	and for the County of Santa Clara by filing a Complaint for Damages for Breach of Contract		
4	("Complaint");		
5	WHEREAS, on August 8, 2012, Oki Electric Industry Co., Ltd. ("Oki Japan") filed a		
6	Notice of Removal of this case from the Superior Court of Santa Clara County to the United		
7	States District Court for the Northern District of California;		
8	WHEREAS, on September 7, 2012, Plaintiffs moved to remand this case;		
9	WHEREAS, on September 21, 2012, Defendants Oki Data Americas, Inc. ("ODA"), Oki		
10	Electric America, Inc. ("OEA"), Rohm Semiconductor USA, LLC ("RSU") and Lapis		
11	Semiconductor Co., Ltd. ("LSC") moved to dismiss the Complaint against them;		
12	WHEREAS, attached hereto as Exhibit A, Oki Japan has provided to Plaintiffs a		
13	Declaration of Isao Goto, confirming that it is the successor under the contract at issue in the		
14	Complaint, for matters at issue in the above-captioned action;		
15	WHEREAS, all of the defendants presently named in the Complaint hereby agree that		
16	any and all requests for production of documents directed at Oki Japan in the above-captioned		
17	action will be treated as a request for production of documents to all of the defendants presently		
18	named in the Complaint;		
19	WHEREAS, in light of Oki Japan's submission of the aforementioned Declaration		
20	confirming that Oki Japan is the successor to the contract, Plaintiffs have agreed to voluntarily		
21	dismiss the remaining defendants presently named in the Complaint;		
22	WHEREAS, in light of the foregoing, the parties agree that Plaintiffs' pending Motion to		
23	Remand (Dkt. 13) and Defendants ODA, OEA, RSU, and LSC's pending Motion to Dismiss		
24	(Dkt. 33) are hereby moot;		
25	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED among the undersigned parties, that		
26	pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), that the Complaint is dismissed		
27	without prejudice as to Defendants Oki Semiconductor America, Inc., Oki Data Americas, Inc.,		
28	2		

1	Oki Electric America, Inc., Lapis	Semiconductor Co., Ltd., and Rohm Semiconductor USA,
2	LLC.	
3	SO STIPULATED.	
4		
5	Dated: October 31, 2012	LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP
6		
7		By: <u>/s/_Michael A. Ladra</u>
8		Michael A. Ladra
		Attorneys for Defendants Oki Electric Industry Co., Ltd.
9		Oki Electric America, Inc.
10		Rohm Semiconductor USA, LLC
11		Oki Data Americas, Inc. Lapis Semiconductor Co., Ltd.
12		Lapis Semiconductor Co., Ed.
13	Dated: October 31, 2012	MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP
13	Duted. October 51, 2012	
14		By: <u>/s/ Brett M. Schuman</u> Brett M. Schuman
		Attorneys for Plaintiffs NavCom Technology, Inc.
16		and Deere & Company
17		
18	CIVIL L.R. 5-1(i)(3) ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE OF SIGNATORIES	
19	Pursuant to L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that concurrence in the electronic filing of this	
20	document has been obtained from the other signatories.	
21		
22	Dated: October 31, 2012	LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP
23		
24		By:/ <i>s/_Michael A. Ladra</i> Michael A. Ladra
25		
26		Attorneys for Defendants
27		
28		
LATHAM&WATKINS		3 STIPULATION OF DISMISS
ATTORNEYS AT LAW SILICON VALLEY		Case No. 5:12-cv-04175-E

SAL Case No. 5:12-cv-04175-EJD