

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OSBALDO PARRA,
 Plaintiff,

 vs.

E. BRIDGNELL, et al.,
 Defendants.

No. C 12-04312 EJD (PR)

ORDER OF SERVICE; DIRECTING
DEFENDANTS TO FILE
DISPOSITIVE MOTION OR
NOTICE REGARDING SUCH
MOTION; INSTRUCTIONS TO
CLERK

Plaintiff has filed a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, against medical officials at Salinas Valley State Prison (“SVSP”). The prior complaint was dismissed with leave to amend and Plaintiff has filed a third amended complaint (“TAC”). (Docket No. 19.)

DISCUSSION

A. Standard of Review

A federal court must conduct a preliminary screening in any case in which a prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the court must identify any cognizable claims and dismiss any claims that are frivolous, malicious,

1 fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or seek monetary relief from a
2 defendant who is immune from such relief. See id. § 1915A(b)(1),(2). Pro se
3 pleadings must, however, be liberally construed. See Balistreri v. Pacifica Police
4 Dep't, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

5 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential
6 elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States
7 was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting
8 under the color of state law. See West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

9 **B. Plaintiff's Claims**

10 Plaintiff claims that Defendants Nurses Lissamma Villiaparampil, Martha
11 Jimenez, and P. A. Chalich acted with deliberate indifference to his serious medical
12 needs when they failed to take the necessary steps to refer him to see a doctor for the
13 lump in his leg which was causing him severe pain and eventually required surgery.
14 Plaintiff claims that Defendant Doctor E. Bridgnell acted with deliberate
15 indifference when he failed to process paperwork and require emergent care for
16 Plaintiff's leg, allowing the infection to get worse and spread for weeks which lead
17 to the need for surgery. Plaintiff also claims that Defendants Dr. Fernando Tuvera,
18 Health Care Appeals Officer E. Talanoa, Gerald Ellis and L. D. Zamora violated his
19 Eighth Amendment right against cruel and unusual punishment in denying his
20 appeals with regards to the use of a cane after his leg surgery. Liberally construed,
21 Plaintiff's claims are cognizable.

22
23 **CONCLUSION**

24 For the reasons stated above, the Court orders as follows:

25 1. The Clerk of the Court shall mail a Notice of Lawsuit and Request for
26 Waiver of Service of Summons, two copies of the Waiver of Service of Summons, a
27 copy of the third amended complaint, (Docket No. 19), all attachments thereto, and a
28 copy of this order upon **Defendants Dr. Eric Bridgnell, Dr. Fernando Tuvera,**

1 **Nurse Martha Jimenez, Nurse P. A. Chalich, Nurse Lissamma Villiaparampil,**
2 **Health Care Appeal’s Officer E. Talanoa, Gerald Ellis and L. D. Zamora at**
3 **Salinas Valley State Prison (P.O. Box 1020, Soledad, CA 93960-1020).** The Clerk
4 shall also mail a copy of this Order to Plaintiff.

5 Plaintiff has named the CDCR as a defendant in this action, but has made no
6 factual allegations against the CDCR. Accordingly, CDCR is DISMISSED from
7 this action.

8 2. Defendants are cautioned that Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil
9 Procedure requires them to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the
10 summons and the third amended complaint. Pursuant to Rule 4, if Defendants, after
11 being notified of this action and asked by the Court, on behalf of Plaintiff, to waive
12 service of the summons, fail to do so, they will be required to bear the cost of such
13 service unless good cause shown for their failure to sign and return the waiver form.
14 If service is waived, this action will proceed as if Defendants had been served on the
15 date that the waiver is filed, except that pursuant to Rule 12(a)(1)(B), Defendants
16 will not be required to serve and file an answer before **fifty-six (56) days** from the
17 day on which the request for waiver was sent. (This allows a longer time to respond
18 than would be required if formal service of summons is necessary.) Defendants are
19 asked to read the statement set forth at the foot of the waiver form that more
20 completely describes the duties of the parties with regard to waiver of service of the
21 summons. If service is waived after the date provided in the Notice but before
22 Defendants have been personally served, the Answer shall be due **fifty-six (56) days**
23 from the date on which the request for waiver was sent or **twenty-one (21) days**
24 from the date the waiver form is filed, whichever is later.

25 3. No later than **fifty-six (56) days** from the date of this order,
26 Defendants shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion
27 with respect to the claims in the third amended complaint found to be cognizable
28 above.

1 a. If Defendants elect to file a motion to dismiss on the grounds
2 Plaintiff failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42
3 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), Defendants shall do so in an unenumerated Rule 12(b) motion
4 pursuant to Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1119-20 (9th Cir. 2003), cert. denied
5 Alameida v. Terhune, 540 U.S. 810 (2003). **The Ninth Circuit has held that**
6 **Plaintiff must be provided with the appropriate warning and notice under**
7 **Wyatt concurrently with Defendants' motion to dismiss. See Woods v. Carey,**
8 **Nos. 09-15548 & 09-16113, slip op. 7871, 7874 (9th Cir. July 6, 2012).**

9 b. Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by
10 adequate factual documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the
11 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Defendants are advised that summary judgment
12 cannot be granted, nor qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If
13 any Defendant is of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary
14 judgment, he shall so inform the Court prior to the date the summary judgment
15 motion is due.

16 4. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the
17 Court and served on Defendants no later than **twenty-eight (28) days** from the date
18 Defendants' motion is filed.

19 a. **In the event Defendants file a motion for summary**
20 **judgment, the Ninth Circuit has held that Plaintiff must be concurrently**
21 **provided the appropriate warnings under Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 963**
22 **(9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). See Woods, Nos. 09-15548 & 09-16113, slip op. at**
23 **7874.**

24 Plaintiff is also advised to read Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil
25 Procedure and Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317 (1986) (holding party
26 opposing summary judgment must come forward with evidence showing triable
27 issues of material fact on every essential element of his claim). Plaintiff is cautioned
28 that failure to file an opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment may

1 be deemed to be a consent by Plaintiff to the granting of the motion, and granting of
2 judgment against Plaintiff without a trial. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54
3 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam); Brydges v. Lewis, 18 F.3d 651, 653 (9th Cir. 1994).

4 5. Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than **fourteen (14) days**
5 after Plaintiff's opposition is filed.

6 6. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is
7 due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date.

8 7. All communications by the Plaintiff with the Court must be served on
9 Defendants, or Defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a
10 true copy of the document to Defendants or Defendants' counsel.

11 8. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil
12 Procedure. No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or
13 Local Rule 16-1 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

14 9. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must
15 keep the court informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's
16 orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action
17 for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

18 10. Extensions of time must be filed no later than the deadline sought to be
19 extended and must be accompanied by a showing of good cause.

20
21 DATED: 4/18/2013


EDWARD J. DAVILA
United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OSBALDO PARRA,
Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV12-04312 EJD

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

v.

E. BRIDGNELL, et al.,
Defendants.

_____/

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on 4/19/2013, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Osbaldo Parra K-63368
CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON, SACRAMENTO (290066)
P.O. BOX 290066
REPRESA, CA 95671-0066

Dated: 4/19/2013

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
/s/By: Elizabeth Garcia, Deputy Clerk