

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

IMANT KOTSINSH and DAVID-WYNN MILLER,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FIRST AMERICAN TITLE, a California Corporation; GREENPOINT FUNDING INCORPORATED, a New York Corporation; FINANCIAL TITLE COMPANY, a California Corporation; MARIN CONVEYANCING CORPORATION, "as Trustee"; AURORA LOAN SERVICES, LLC; AURORA BANK; FEDERAL SAVINGS BANK, A COLORADO CORPORATION; MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. "MERS"- A/K/A MERS CORPORATION, INCORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; AKERMAN SENTERFITT, LLP, a California Limited Liability Partnership Company; IMRAN HAYAT, ESQ., an Individual; TAYLOR L. BROADHEAD, ESQ., an Individual; JUSTIN D. BASLER, ESQ., an Individual; TFLG CORPORATION, a California Corporation; ERIC FERNANDEZ, ESQ., an Individual; SEAN BEDROSIAN, ESQ., an Individual; LAURIE HOWELL, ESQ., an Individual; CALWESTERN RECONVEYANCE CORPORATION, "as Trustee",

Defendants.

Case No.: 12-CV-04636-LHK
ORDER DISMISSING ALL
REMAINING DEFENDANTS

1 Plaintiffs filed their Complaint on September 5, 2012. ECF No. 1. Plaintiffs' Complaint
2 appears to consist of miscellaneous words and numbers.

3 On January 3, 2013, this Court dismissed Defendants Greenpoint Mortgage Funding, Inc.,
4 Aurora Bank FSB, Aurora Loan Services, LLC, and Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems,
5 Inc. with prejudice for failure to prosecute because of Plaintiffs' failure to respond to the Court's
6 December 13, 2012 OSC and failure to appear at the January 2, 2013 OSC hearing.

7 On January 31, 2013, this Court dismissed Defendants Akerman Senterfitt, LLP, Taylor L.
8 Broadhead, and Justin D. Balser with prejudice for failure to prosecute because of Plaintiff's failure
9 to respond to the Court's January 3, 2013 OSC and failure to appear at the January 30, 2013 OSC
10 hearing.

11 On January 31, 2013, this Court issued an OSC as to all remaining Defendants because of
12 Plaintiffs' failure to: (1) file a joint case management conference statement in advance of the
13 January 30, 2013 case management conference and, (2) appear at the January 30, 2013 OSC
14 hearing and case management conference. Plaintiffs' deadline to respond to the January 31, 2013
15 OSC was set for February 13, 2013. A hearing on the January 31, 2013 OSC was set for February
16 27, 2013 at 2:00 P.M. The Court advised Plaintiffs that if Plaintiffs failed to respond to the January
17 31, 2013 OSC and to appear at the February 27, 2013 hearing, Plaintiffs' case would be dismissed
18 with prejudice as to all remaining Defendants for failure to prosecute.

19 Plaintiffs failed to respond to the OSC and appear at the February 27, 2013 OSC hearing.
20 Accordingly, Plaintiffs' case is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE as to all remaining Defendants.
21 The Clerk shall close the file.

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23 Dated: March 5, 2013

24 
25 _____
26 LUCY H. KOH
27 United States District Judge
28