SAN FRANCISCO

1 2 3 4	JOSHUA M. BRIONES (Bar No. 205293) joshua.briones@dlapiper.com DLA PIPER LLP (US) 2000 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 400 North To Los Angeles, California 90067-4704 Tel: 310-595-3000 Fax: 310-595-3300	ower		
. 5 6 7 8 9	ALBERT E. HARTMANN (pro hac vice pen albert.hartmann@dlapiper.com DLA PIPER LLP (US) 203 North LaSalle Street, Ste 1900 Chicago, IL 60601-1293 Tel: 312-368-4000 Fax: 312-236-7516 Attorneys for Defendant and Third-Party Plai REACH MEDIA GROUP, LLC	VISHALI SINGAL (Bar No. 267481) vishali.singal@dlapiper.com DLA PIPER LLP (US) 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94105-2933 Tel: 415.836.2500 Fax: 415.836.2501		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
14	14			
15	DAVID TRINDADE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	CASE NO 5:12-CV-04759 (PSG) CLASS ACTION		
16	Plaintiff,			
17	v.	UNOPPOSED MOTION AND		
18	REACH MEDIA GROUP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO AMEND THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT AND SERVE THIRD-PARTY		
19	Defendant.	DEFENDANT EAGLE WEB ASSETS INC.		
20		[Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 6(b)(1)(A)] Judge: Honorable Paul Singh Grewal Department: Courtroom 5		
21	REACH MEDIA GROUP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,			
22	Third-Party Plaintiff,	Complaint Filed: Sept. 12, 2012 Third-Party Complaint Filed: Nov. 15, 2012		
23	v.	,		
24	RYAN LENAHAN, individually, KYLE			
25	DANNA, individually, and EAGLE WEB ASSETS INC., a corporation,			
26	Third-Party Defendants.			
27				
28				
DLA PIPER LLP (US) SAN FRANCISCO	I INOPPOSED MOT	ION AND PROPOSED ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME		

UNOPPOSED MOTION AND PROPOSED ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME CASE NO. 5:12-CV-04759 (PSG)

Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff Reach Media Group, LLC ("RMG"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, respectfully requests that this Court extend (1) the 14-day deadline set in this Court's July 31, 2013 Order Denying Third-Party Defendants' Motion to Strike and Granting-in-Part Motion to Dismiss ("Motion to Dismiss Order") to file any amended Third-Party Complaint and (2) the 14-day deadline set in this Court's February 7, 2013 Order to Extend Time for Service of any amended Third-Party Complaint on Third-Party Defendant Eagle Web Assets Inc. ("EWA") following entry of the July 31, 2013 Motion to Dismiss Order. Plaintiff David Trindade ("Plaintiff"), and Third-Party Defendants Ryan Lenahan ("Lenahan") and Kyle Danna ("Danna") (collectively, the "Parties"), do not oppose this motion. (See Declaration of Vishali Singal, Esq. in Support of Motion to Extend Time to Amend Third-Party Complaint and Serve Third-Party Defendant Eagle Web Assets Inc. ("Singal Decl."), ¶ 13.)

I. Relevant Statement of Facts

a. <u>Procedural Facts</u>

RMG filed a Third-Party Complaint in this matter on November 15, 2012 against Third-Party Defendants Ryan Lenahan, Kyle Danna, and EWA. (Dkt. #22.) RMG completed timely service of process on Lenahan and Danna but encountered difficulty serving EWA with the Third-Party Complaint. (Singal Decl., ¶ 2.)

During the Initial Case Management Conference ("CMC") in this matter on January 8, 2013, counsel appearing on behalf of RMG, Vishali Singal, Esq., informed this Court that as of January 8, 2013, RMG had encountered difficulty serving EWA with the Third-Party Complaint. (Singal Decl., ¶ 3.) Ms. Singal further informed this Court that RMG likely required an additional month to effectuate service on EWA of the Third-Party Complaint. (Singal Decl., ¶ 3.) Subsequently, during the CMC, this Court set a 30-day deadline for RMG to serve EWA with the Third-Party Complaint, reflected in the Civil Minute Order of the same date. (Dkt. #37.) That deadline corresponded with the date February 7, 2013.

On or about January 10, 2013, Ms. Singal instructed Keith Nesbit, legal assistant at DLA Piper LLP (US), to research addresses to serve process on EWA's Vice President of Accounting or Vice President of Human Resources and once identified, to authorize a process server to

attempt service at the identified address(es). (Singal Decl., ¶ 4.) Ms. Singal learned on or about January 10, 2013 that Mr. Nesbit located an address online that appeared to be associated with Savy Lam, identified on EWA's website on January 10, 2013 as Vice President of Accounting. (Singal Decl., ¶ 4, Dkt. #53-2.) As a result, Ms. Singal instructed Mr. Nesbit to authorize a process server to attempt service of the Third-Party Complaint at that address. (Singal Decl., ¶ 4.)

One day later, on Friday, January 11, 2013, RMG was electronically served through this Court's Electronic Case Filing system with Third-Party Defendants Lehanan's and Danna's Notice of Motion and Motion to Strike And/Or Dismiss Third-Party Complaint and Memorandum of Points and Authorities In Support Thereof ("Motion"). (Dkt. #38.) The Notice of Motion to Strike And/Or Dismiss Third-Party Complaint ("Notice of Motion") specified a hearing date of February 26, 2013. (Dkt. #38.)

Subsequently, on or about January 16, 2013, Ms. Singal learned that the process server had unsuccessfully attempted service of the Third-Party Complaint on January 14, 2013 at the address associated with Ms. Lam. (Singal Decl., ¶ 7.) In the meantime, RMG's counsel had reviewed the Motion, and in light of its content, shifted their focus to preparing an opposition brief to the Motion and considering the implications of the Motion on allegations and counts stated in the Third-Party Complaint as to EWA. (Singal Decl., ¶ 6.) On or about January 22, 2013, Ms. Singal instructed Mr. Nesbit to obtain a background report for Mr. Eagle, to identify additional addresses at which to attempt service of the Third-Party Complaint on EWA, and on or about January 24, 2013, Ms. Singal received that background report. (Singal Decl., ¶ 8.)

On January 25, 2013, this Court reset the February 26, 2013 hearing on the Motion to March 12, 2013. (Dkt. #45.)

On or about February 5, 2013, the Parties stipulated to RMG's requested extension to serve EWA with the Third-Party Complaint or an amended Third-Party Complaint of 14 days from the date this Court issued its order on the Motion, or such other date and time thereafter as the Court ordered, on the grounds that because the Third-Party Complaint asserts common allegations and two causes of action against all three Third-Party Defendants, including EWA, and that those allegations and causes of action were the subject of the Motion, RMG sought to

await the outcome of the Motion in determining how it affected the content of the Third-Party Complaint as to EWA and the decision to proceed against EWA. (Singal Decl., ¶ 9, Dkt. #53-1.)

On February 7, 2013, this Court entered an Order to Extend Time for Service of any amended Third-Party Complaint on Third-Party Defendant EWA in this matter by 14 days following the date this Court issued its order on the Motion ("Motion to Dismiss Order"). (Dkt. # 54.)

On March 12, 2013, this Court heard oral arguments on the Motion. (Dkt. #62.)

On July 31, 2013, this Court issued and entered the Motion to Dismiss Order, in which it required that RMG file any amended Third-Party Complaint within 14 days of the date of the Motion to Dismiss Order, which corresponds with the date August 14, 2013. (Dkt. #64.)

Based on this Court's February 7, 2013 and July 31, 2013 orders, August 14, 2013 is the deadline by which RMG must file any amended Third-Party Complaint and serve Third-Party Defendant EWA with it if EWA is still named as a Third-Party Defendant.

b. <u>RMG's Financial Condition</u>

At the present time, RMG is encountering severe financial difficulties. (Singal Decl., ¶ 12.) As a result of RMG's weak financial condition, RMG is evaluating its available options to address its financing and operating challenges. (Singal Decl., ¶ 12.)

II. Argument

Under FRCP 6, where an act "may or must be done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time [] with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires." FRCP 6(b)(1)(A). Here, RMG respectfully submits a request for a 30-day extension of time to both file an amended Third-Party Complaint and serve EWA with the amended Third-Party Complaint, before the deadline set by this Court of August 14, 2013 to do so.

RMG requests a 30-day extension of time on the grounds that it requires this period of time to fully evaluate its available options to address its financing and operating challenges. This Court's resources, along with both existing and currently absent parties, will be conserved, which otherwise might be expended on additional motion practice in response to an amended Third-

Party Complaint. The conservation of judicial resources and costs on the parties involved is good cause for granting this request.

Accordingly RMG respectfully requests through this motion that this Court extend the deadline by which it must amend and serve the Third-Party Complaint to 30 days from August 14, 2013. The parties appearing in this action will not be prejudiced by this extension of time. Plaintiff already propounded discovery requests on RMG and RMG served responses and objections to these requests. (Singal Decl., ¶ 5, 10.) Further, this Court ruled on the two preanswer motions filed by Third-Party Defendants Lanahan and Danna, one jointly and the other only by Lenahan, on July 31, 2013, and given that RMG has not yet propounded discovery on either of them, Third-Party Defendants Lenahan and Danna currently have no discovery obligations. (Singal Decl., ¶ 11; Dkt. #64.) Further, no trial schedule (or scheduling order) has yet been issued for this case. Accordingly, this requested extension of time, the sixth extension of time in this matter and the fourth request to this Court for an extension of time by RMG (Singal Decl., ¶ 14), would have no impact on any such schedule.

III. Conclusion

For all these reasons, RMG respectfully requests that this Court grant Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff RMG's motion to extend time for amending the Third-Party Complaint and serving EWA to 30 days following August 14, 2013.

19

Dated: August 13, 2013

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

By: /s/ Vishali Singal

Plaintiff

ERIN JANE ILLMAN

Attorneys for Defendant and Third-Party

REACH MEDIA GROUP, LLC

VISHALI SINGAL

21

27

28

-4-

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER				
2	Good cause appearing therefore, Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff Reach Media Group,				
3	LLC's deadline to amend its Third-Party Complaint and serve Third-Party Defendant Eagle Web				
4	Assets Inc. with the amended Third-Party Complaint is extended to xxxxxxdayxxfromxx4xqquxxx44x,				
5	September 13, 2013. 20143.				
6					
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
8					
9	DATED: August 14, 2013				
10	Dr. Pare S. Aure				
11	HONORABLE PAUL SINGH GREWAL				
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

DLA PIPER LLP (US)
SAN FRANCISCO

1	PROOF OF SERVICE					
2	I, Violet Rajkumar, declare:					
3	I am a citizen of the United States and employed in San Francisco County, California. I					
4	am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business					
5	address is DLA Piper LLP (US), 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400, San Francisco, California					
6	94105-2933. On August 13, 2013, I served a copy of the within document(s):					
7	UNOPPOSED MO	TION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	TO EXTEND TIME TO			
8	AMEND THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT AND SERVE THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT					
9	EAGLE WEB ASSETS IN	IC.				
10	DECLARATION (OF VISHALI SINGAL, ESQ., IN SU	PPORT OF UNOPPOSED			
11		TME TO AMEND THIRD-PARTY	COMPLAINT AND SERVE			
	THIRD-PARTY DEFEND	OANT EAGLE WEB ASSETS INC				
12		delivering the document(s) listed above	ve to the person(s) at the			
13	, ,	et forth below.				
14	the persons a	c service. I caused the documents to be the electronic notification addresses be	isted on the below Service List			
15		eive, within a reasonable time after the ther indication that the transmission was				
16						
17	Benjamin H. Richman Rafey S. Balabanian	Sean Patrick Reis Edelson McGuire, LLP	Karl S. Kronenberger Jeffrey M. Rosenfeld			
18	Christopher L. Dore	30021 Tomas Street, Suite 300 Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688	Virginia A. Sanderson 150 Post Street			
19	Edelson McGuire LLC 350 North LaSalle Street,	949-459-2124 Fax: 949-459-2123	Suite 520 San Francisco, CA 94108			
20	Suite 1300	sreis@edelson.com	karl@krinternetlaw.com			
	Chicago, IL 60654 312 589-6370		jeff@krinternetlaw.com ginny@krinternetlaw.com			
21	Fax: 312 589-6378 brichman@edelson.com					
22	rbalabanian@edelson.com					
23	cdore@edelson.com	~				
24	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.					
25						
26	Executed on August 13, 2013, at San Francisco, California.					
27	Violet Rajkning					
28	Violet Rajkumar					

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

SAN FRANCISCO

WEST\240669422.2