1			
2	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT	
3	NORTHERN DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
4			
5			
6 7	STEPHEN MORRIS and KELLY McDANIEL, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	Case No. 12-cv-04964-RMW (HRL) ORDER DENYING MOTION TO	
8	Plaintiffs,	EXCEED APPLICABLE PAGE LIMIT FOR MOTION TO DISMISS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, STAY PROCEEDINGS	
9	V.	AND COMPEL ARBITRATION BRIEFING	
10	ERNST & YOUNG, LLP, and ERNST & YOUNG U.S., LLP,	[Re Dkt. No. 43]	
11	Defendants.		
12			
13			
14	On January 23, 2013, the parties filed a	a proposed order and stipulation to extend the applicable	
15	page limit by ten (10) pages for both the oppos	sition and reply briefing to defendants' motion to dismiss,	
16	or in the alternative, stay proceedings and com	pel arbitration.	
17	Under Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), "unless	the court expressly orders otherwise pursuant to a	
18	party's request made prior to the due date, brie	fs or memoranda filed with opposition papers may not	
19	exceed 25 pages of text and the reply brief or r	nemorandum may not exceed 15 pages of text." Civil	
20	Local Rule 7-11(a), in turn, provides that a mo	tion for an order on a miscellaneous administrative	
21	matter, including a motion to exceed otherwise	e applicable page limitations, "must set for the	
22	specifically the reasons supporting the more	tion" (emphasis added).	
23	The parties fail to provide any explanat	tion in support of the request for ten extra pages for the	
24	opposition and reply briefs. Given that defend	ants' opening brief was well under the 25 page limit	
25	pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-2(b), the court of	does not see why ten extra pages would be necessary for	
26	the opposition and reply briefing. Absent any	reason(s) in support of the motion, the court DENIES	
27	the motion, without prejudice to re-file.		

## 28 || IT IS SO ORDERED.

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO EXCEED APPLICABLE PAGE LIMIT Case No. 12-cv-04964-RMW (HRL)

1	Rendrad
2	Dated: January 24, 2013 United States District Judge
3	United States District Judg
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
27	
28	
	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO EXCEED APPLICABLE PAGE LIMIT Case No. 12-cv-04964-RMW (HRL)