

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MARIANNE PRETSCHER-JOHNSON,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

AURORA BANK FSB, et al,)

Defendants.)

Case No.: 12-cv-5817 PSG

**ORDER RE: STIPULATION TO
EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO
FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

(Re: Docket No. 24)

On March 27, 2013, Defendant Quality Loan Services Corp. (“QLSC”) and Plaintiff Marianne Pretscher-Johnson (“Pretscher-Johnson”) stipulated to extend time to respond to Pretscher-Johnson’s first amended complaint (“FAC”). On March 15, 2013, however, Pretscher-Johnson filed a second amended complaint (“SAC”) on which she intends to proceed in this case.¹ The court currently is considering whether to give her leave to amend to file the SAC.

Because determination of that motion will confirm on which complaint the case will move forward, QLSC should not respond until after the court has made that decision. The court therefore orders QLSC to respond to the operative complaint, as determined by the court in the forthcoming order on Pretscher-Johnson’s motion for leave to amend, no later than fourteen days after the court issues its decision.

¹ See Docket Nos. 18, 23.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 4, 2013



PAUL S. GREWAL
United States Magistrate Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28