Doc. 530

VERDICT FORM

When answering the following questions and filling out this verdict form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the jury instructions. Please refer to the jury instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

Part A. GOOD TECHNOLOGY'S FALSE ADVERTISING CLAIM

	MobileIron made a fa statement about the na	Has Good Technology proven by a preponderance of the evidence that MobileIron made a false or misleading statement of fact in a commercial statement about the nature or quality of Good Technology's products, services, commercial activities?		
	Yes	No		
		y proven by a preponderance of the e false or misleading statement to e		
	Yes	No		
•	_	2 is "Yes," then please proceed to or proceed directly to Part B.	Question 3. If your	
	3. Has Good Technolog statement was deliber	y proven by a preponderance of the ately false?	e evidence that any such	
	Yes	No		
	to Question 3 is "Yes," to No," proceed directly to	hen please proceed to Question 4. Question 5.	If your answer to	
	a substantial segment	on there is evidence that the false state of MobileIron or Good Technology the false statement did not likely in sumers?	y's consumers and that	
	Yes	No		
•	to Question 4 is "Yes," to 'No," proceed directly to	hen please proceed to Question 5. Question 7.	If your answer to	

1	5. Has Good Technology proven by a prepond false or misleading statement actually decei	
2	substantial sagment of MahilaIron or Good	Technology's consumers and that the
3	- II	1 0
4		
5	5 Yes No	
6	Question 5 is "No," proceed directly to Part B.	Question 6. If your answer to
7	6. Has Good Technology proven by a prepond	erance of the evidence that the false
8	or misleading statement caused Good Techrof sales or loss of goodwill?	nology to be damaged by a diversion
9	9	
10	${\text{Yes}}$ No	
11	1 If your answer to Question 6 is "Yes," then please proceed to	Question 7. If your answer to
12	Question 6 is "No," proceed directly to Part B.	•
13		
14		
15	Total Damages: \$	
16	8. Has MobileIron proven by a preponderance Technology made false or misleading representations.	
17	of its false advertising claim to an extent that	
18	Technology to enforce its claim?	
19	${\text{Yes}}$ No	
20	Please proceed to Part B.	
21	11 -	
22	2	
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	
26	6	
27	7	

INFRINGEMENT OF GOOD TECHNOLOGY'S PATENTS Part B.

Direct Infringement

9. Has Good Technology proven by a preponderance of the evidence that MobileIron's use or sale directly infringes the asserted claims of Good Technology's patents?

For each claim and accused product, please place a check under either 'YES' (in favor of Good Technology) or 'NO' (in favor of MobileIron).

Asserted Claims	MobileIi (VS	ron Core SP)		on Cloud ware)
'219 patent	YES	NO	YES	NO
Claim 9				
Claim 14				
Claim 18				
Claim 23*				
'322 patent	YES	NO	YES	NO
Claim 1				
Claim 12				
'386 patent	YES	NO	YES	NO
Claim 8				
Claim 9				

Note: For the above table, you can only find claim 23 of the '219 patent infringed if you already found claim 18 infringed.

If you have found that MobileIron infringed a valid claim of Good Technology's '386 patent, proceed to Question 10. Otherwise, please proceed directly to Part C.

Special Findings on Infringement Relating to Good Technology's '386 Patent

10. If you have found that Mobilelron infringed a valid claim of Good	
Technology's '386 patent, upon what feature or functionality did yo	u base your
finding? [Check all that apply.]	
Post Detection (Android)	

Root Detection (Android)
 Out of Contact VSP (Android/iOS)
Out of Contact Anyware (iOS)

Please proceed to Part C.

Part C. INVALIDITY OF GOOD TECHNOLOGY'S PATENTS

Invalidity Based On Prior Art

11. Has MobileIron proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following asserted claims of Good Technology's patents are invalid as anticipated?

For each claim, please place a check next to either 'YES' (in favor of MobileIron) or 'NO' (in favor of Good Technology).

Asserted Claims		
'219 patent	YES	NO
Claim 9		
Claim 14		
Claim 18*		
Claim 23		
'322 patent	YES	NO
Claim 1		
Claim 12		
'386 patent	YES	NO
Claim 8		
Claim 9		

Note: For the above table, if you find claim 23 of the '219 patent invalid, you must also find claim 18 invalid.

12. Has MobileIron proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following asserted claims of Good Technology's patents are invalid as obvious?

For each claim, please place a check next to either 'YES' (in favor of MobileIron) or 'NO' (in favor of Good Technology).

Asserted Claims		
'219 patent	YES	NO
Claim 9		
Claim 14		
Claim 18*		
Claim 23		
'322 patent	YES	NO
Claim 1		
Claim 12		
'386 patent	YES	NO
Claim 8		
Claim 9		

* **Note**: For the above table, if you find claim 23 of the '219 patent invalid, you must also find claim 18 invalid.

Invalidity Based On Written Description

13. Has MobileIron proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following asserted claims of Good Technology's patents are invalid for lacking an adequate written description of the claimed invention?

For each claim, please place a check next to either 'YES' (in favor of MobileIron) or 'NO' (in favor of Good Technology).

Asserted Claims		
'386 patent	YES	NO
Claim 8		
Claim 9		

If your answer to Question 9 is "No" as to <u>all</u> claims, please proceed to Part E. If <u>all</u> claims are invalidated by your answers to Questions 11, 12 or 13 (or any combination thereof), please proceed to Part E. Otherwise, please proceed to Part D.

	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
rnia	11
alifo	12
t of C	13
istric	14
ın D	15
orthe	16
the N	17
For	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26

28

1

2

3

4

DAMAGES ON GOOD TECHNOLOGY'S PATENTS Part D.

14. What has Good Technology proven it is entitled to as any infringement of Good Technology's patents?	a reasonable royalty for
Total Royalty: \$	
15. What type of royalty has Good Technology proven the for any infringement of Good Technology's patents?	at it is entitled to, if any,
One-time payment (lump sum)	
Running royalty	
Please proceed to Part E.	

INFRINGEMENT OF MOBILEIRON'S '016 PATENT Part E.

Direct Infringement

16. Has MobileIron proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Good Technology's use or sale directly infringe the asserted claims of the MobileIron patent?

For each claim, please place a check under either 'YES' (in favor of MobileIron) or 'NO' (in favor of Good Technology).

Asserted Claims		
'016 patent	YES	NO
Claim 1		
Claim 3*		
Claim 4*		
Claim 15		
Claim 16*		
Claim 18*		

Note: For the above table, you can only find claims 3 and 4 infringed if you already found claim 1 infringed. Similarly, you can only find claims 16 and 18 infringed if you already found claim 15 infringed.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Induced Infringement

- 17. Has MobileIron proven by a preponderance of the evidence that each of the following requirements is met and that each occurred after March 1, 2013:
 - a) acts are actually carried out by Good Technology's customers that directly infringe one or more claims of MobileIron's patent;
 - b) Good Technology knowingly took action specifically intending to cause the infringing acts by its customers;
 - c) Good Technology was aware of the MobileIron patent; and
 - d) Good Technology knew that the acts, if taken, would constitute infringement of that patent, or Good Technology believed there was a high probability that the acts, if taken, would constitute infringement of the MobileIron patent but deliberately avoided confirming that belief?

For each claim, please place a check under either 'YES' (in favor of MobileIron) or 'NO' (in favor of Good Technology).

Asserted Claims		
'016 patent	YES	NO
Claim 1		
Claim 3*		
Claim 4*		
Claim 15		
Claim 16*		
Claim 18*		

Note: For the above table, you can only find claims 3 and 4 infringed if you already found claim 1 infringed. Similarly, you can only find claims 16 and 18 infringed if you already found claim 15 infringed.

Contributory Infringement

- 18. Has MobileIron proven by a preponderance of the evidence that each of the following requirements is met and that each occurred after March 1, 2013:
 - a) direct infringement of MobileIron's patent by a Good Technology customer;
 - b) Good Technology sells, offers to sell, or imports within the United States a component that is used to practice an asserted claim;
 - c) the component constitutes a material part of the claimed invention;
 - d) the component has no substantial, noninfringing use; and
 - e) Good Technology was aware of the patent and knew that there was no substantial, noninfringing use for the component?

For each claim, please place a check under either 'YES' (in favor of MobileIron) or 'NO' (in favor of Good Technology).

Asserted Claims		
'016 patent	YES	NO
Claim 1		
Claim 3*		
Claim 4*		
Claim 15		
Claim 16*		
Claim 18*		

Note: For the above table, you can only find claims 3 and 4 infringed if you already found claim 1 infringed. Similarly, you can only find claims 16 and 18 infringed if you already found claim 15 infringed.

Please proceed to Part F.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Part F. **INVALIDITY OF MOBILEIRON'S '016 PATENT**

Invalidity Based On Prior Art

19. Has Good Technology proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following asserted claims of MobileIron's patent are invalid as anticipated?

For each claim, please place a check next to either 'YES' (in favor of Good Technology) or 'NO' (in favor of MobileIron).

Asserted Claims		
'016 patent	YES	NO
Claim 1*		
Claim 3		
Claim 4		
Claim 15*		
Claim 16		
Claim 18		

- **Note**: For the above table, if you find claim 3 or 4 invalid, you must also find claim 1 invalid. Similarly, if you find claim 16 or 18 invalid, you must also find claim 15 invalid.
 - 20. Has Good Technology proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following asserted claims of MobileIron's patent are invalid as obvious?

For each claim, please place a check next to either 'YES' (in favor of Good Technology) or 'NO' (in favor of MobileIron).

Asserted Claims		
'016 patent	YES	NO
Claim 1*		
Claim 3		
Claim 4		
Claim 15*		
Claim 16		
Claim 18		

Note: For the above table, if you find claim 3 or 4 invalid, you must also find claim 1 invalid. Similarly, if you find claim 16 or 18 invalid, you must also find claim 15 invalid.

Invalidity Based On Written Description

21. Has Good Technology proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following asserted claims of MobileIron's patent are invalid for lacking an adequate written description of the claimed invention?

For each claim, please place a check next to either 'YES' (in favor of Good Technology) or 'NO' (in favor of MobileIron).

Asserted Claims		
'016 patent	YES	NO
Claim 1		
Claim 15		

Invalidity Based On Lack of Enablement

22. Has Good Technology proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following asserted claims of MobileIron's patent are invalid for lacking an enabling disclosure of the claimed invention?

For each claim, please place a check next to either 'YES' (in favor of Good Technology) or 'NO' (in favor of MobileIron).

Asserted Claims		
'016 patent	YES	NO
Claim 1		
Claim 15		

If your answer to Questions 16, 17 and 18 is "No" as to all claims, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the foreperson sign and date this form. If all claims are invalidated by your answers to Questions 19, 20, 21 or 22 (or any combination thereof), stop here, answer no further questions, and have the foreperson sign and date this form. Otherwise, please proceed to Part G.

1	
2	Part G. DAMAGES ON MOBILEIRON'S '016 PATENT
3	23. What has MobileIron proven it is entitled to as a reasonable royalty for any infringement of MobileIron's patent?
4	Total Royalty: \$
5	24. What type of royalty has MobileIron proven that it is entitled to, if any, for any
6	infringement of MobileIron's patents?
7	One-time payment (lump sum)
8 9	Running royalty
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Dated:
19	PRESIDING JUROR
20	After this verdict form has been signed and dated, notify the court that you are ready to present
21	your verdict in the courtroom.
22	
23	
24	SO ORDERED.
25	Dated: July 30, 2015
26	Pare S. Aune
27	United States Magistrate Judge
28	