

1 John N. Zarian (SBN 145080)  
 2 PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER  
 3 960 Broadway Ave., Ste. 250  
 Boise, Idaho 83706  
 Telephone: (208) 562-4900  
 4 Facsimile: (208) 562-4901  
 5 E-Mail: JZarian@ParsonsBehle.com

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 American Semiconductor, Inc.

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 9  
 10 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 AMERICAN SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., an  
 12 Idaho corporation,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 CALIFORNIA ASSIGNMENTS LLC, a  
 16 California limited liability company;  
 17 DEVELOPMENT SPECIALISTS, INC., an  
 18 Illinois corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,  
 inclusive,

19 Defendants,  
 20

Case No. 5:12-CV-6138-LHK

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
 ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR  
 PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND TO  
 MOTION TO DISMISS**

Hon. Lucy Koh

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, American Semiconductor, Inc. (“Plaintiff”) and California  
2 Assignments LLC and Development Specialists, Inc. (together, “Defendants”), by and through  
3 counsel, hereby stipulate and jointly move the Court for an extension of time for Plaintiff to file its  
4 response to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. #22) from February 7, 2013 to February 21, 2013.

5 (1) This request for an extension is being sought in order to enable Plaintiff additional time to  
6 investigate factual matters and to provide time to adequately address all issues raised in Defendants’  
7 motion to dismiss. The request is not being made for purposes of delay.

8 (2) The parties previously stipulated to and requested that (1) the motion for preliminary  
9 injunction be heard at an earlier date than the originally scheduled April 18, 2013, and (2) Defendants  
10 be given until January 24, 2013 to respond to the Complaint. Dkt. No. 19. The motion to shorten time  
11 was denied due to the Court's busy schedule, but Defendants request to extend the time to respond to  
12 the Complaint was granted. Dkt. No. 20.

13 (3) Defendants moved to dismiss the instant case on January 24, 2013. Dkt. No. 22.  
14 Defendants scheduled the motion hearing for the earliest available date, May 30, 2013. Because the  
15 hearing is more than two months away, the proposed extension of time should have no effect on the  
16 schedule of this case.

17 Counsel for Plaintiff has conferred with counsel for Defendant and Defendants do not oppose  
18 this motion.

19  
20 DATED: February 7, 2013

21 **PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER**

**PEARSON, SIMON WARSHAW & PENNY, LLP**

22  
23 */s/ John N. Zarian*  
24 \_\_\_\_\_  
By: John N. Zarian

*/s/ William Newsom*  
\_\_\_\_\_

25 *Counsel for Plaintiff*

*Counsel for Defendants*

1 ATTESTATION

2 By his electronic signature above, counsel for the Plaintiff attests that he is the ECF user whose  
3 identification and password are being used to file the instant document, and that pursuant to Civil  
4 Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), all counsel whose electronic signatures appear above provided their authority and  
5 concurrence to file this document.  
6  
7  
8

9 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

10  
11 Dated: February 8, 2013



12 Hon. Lucy Koh

13 United States District Judge  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28