1		
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
4	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
5	ANGELICA GARCIA, CASE NO. 5:12-cv-06491 EJD	
6 7	Plaintiff(s),ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR ORDER FINDING THAT SUMMARY JUDGMENT ORDER IS	
8	FORTIS CAPITAL IV, LLC, et. al., FORTIS CAPITAL IV, LLC, et. al., FOR RECONSIDERATION	
9 10	Defendant(s). [Docket Item No(s). 132, 136]	
11	Presently before the court are two matters: (1) Defendants' request for an order finding that	
12	the summary judgment order is final, and (2) Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a motion for	
13	reconsideration of the same summary judgment order. See Docket Item Nos. 132, 136. As to these	
14	matters, the court rules as follows:	
15	1. Because a partial summary judgment is not considered a final order (see <u>St. Paul Fire</u>	
16	& Marine Ins. Co. v. F.H., 55 F.3d 1420, 1425 (9th Cir. 1995)), Defendants' request	
17	is DENIED. That said, however, the court clarifies that the jury in this action will not	
18	be asked to determine liability or damages for a claim of negligent hiring or negligent	
19	training and supervision. Nor will the issue of punitive damages be submitted to the	
20	jury.	
21	2. Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration is DENIED.	
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED. $-$	
24	Dated: May 12, 2014	
25	EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge	
26		
27		
28	1	
	CASE NO. 5:12-cv-06491 EJD ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR ORDER FINDING THAT SUMMARY JUDGMENT ORDER IS FINAL; DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION	
	Dockets.Justia.co	m