1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Frederic S. Fox (admitted pro hac vice) David A. Straite (admitted pro hac vice) KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP 850 Third Avenue, 14 th Floor New York, NY 10022 Tel.: (212) 687-1980 Fax: (212) 687-7714 dstraite@kaplanfox.com Laurence D. King (206423) Mario Choi (243409) KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP 350 Sansome Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel.: (415) 772-4700 Fax: (415) 772-4707 lking@kaplanfox.com	Stephen G. Grygiel (admitted pro hac vice) SILVERMAN THOMPSON SLUTKIN WHITE LLC 201 N. Charles Street, 26 TH Floor Baltimore, MD 21201 Tel.: (410) 385-2225 Fax: (410) 547-2432 sgrygiel@mdattorney.com
12 13 14 15 16	NORTHERN DI	TES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF CALIFORNIA JOSE DIVISION
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC. INTERNET TRACKING LITIGATION	No. 5:12-md-02314-EJD PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF RECENT DECISION; REQUEST FOR LEAVE N.D. Cal. L.R. 7-3(d)
24 25 26 27 28		

On January 14, 2016, Defendant Facebook, Inc. ("Facebook") filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint ("Motion") [Dkt. 101]. On April 28, 2016, this Court heard argument on the Motion and took the matter under submission [Transcript, Dkt. 123]. On May 20, 2016, the Court granted permission to submit supplemental briefing related to the Supreme Court decision *Spokeo, Inc. v. Robins*, 136 S. Ct. 1540 (2016) [ECF No. 126]. Supplemental *Spokeo* briefing concluded on June 10, 2016.

After the conclusion of briefing and oral argument, the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit issued an opinion addressing the "contemporaneity" aspect of the term "intercept" within the meaning of the Wiretap Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2511. *See generally Luis v. Zang*, No. 14-3601, 2016 WL 4363151 (6th Cir., Aug. 16, 2016). The requirement of "contemporaneity" was addressed by the parties in the briefing. *See* Motion at 12-13; Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to Motion [ECF No. 104-3]; Defendant's Reply in Further Support of Motion [ECF No. 109] at 6-7. "Contemporaneity" was also discussed at the April 28, 2016 oral argument. *See* Transcript at 19-28, 48-52, 78-81, and 103-106.

A copy of *Luis v. Zang* is attached as Exhibit A. Plaintiffs respectfully seek leave to provide the decision as supplemental authority for the Court's consideration when deciding the Motion.

[signatures on following page]

1	Dated: October 4, 2016	Respectfully submitted,
2		
3	KAPLAN, FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP	SILVERMAN, THOMPSON, SLUTKIN & WHITE LLC
4	By: /s/ David A. Straite	By: /s/ Stephen G. Grygiel
5	Frederic S. Fox (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	Stephen G. Grygiel (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) 201 N. Charles St., #2600
6	David A. Straite (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) 850 Third Avenue	Baltimore, MD 21201
7	New York, NY 10022	Telephone (410) 385-2225
8	Telephone: (212) 687-1980 Facsimile: (212) 687-7714	Facsimile: (410) 547-2432 sgrygiel@mdattorney.com
9	dstraite@kaplanfox.com	Interim Co-Lead Counsel
10	Laurence D. King (206423)	Interim Co-Ledd Counsel
11	Mario Choi (243409) 350 Sansome Street, 4th Floor	
12	San Francisco, CA 94104	
13	Tel.: (415) 772-4700 Fax: (415) 772-4707	
14	lking@kaplanfox.com	
15	Interim Co-Lead Counsel	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE		
2	I, David A. Straite, court-appointed interim lead counsel for the proposed Class, am the ECF use		
3	whose ID and password are being used to file the foregoing. In compliance with Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I		
4	hereby attest that Stephen Grygiel has concurred in this filing.		
5			
6	/s/ David A. Straite		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			