Exhibit 2

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION	
3		
4	IN RE:	
5	FACEBOOK INTERNET TRACKING CASE NO. 12-MD-02314-EJD LITIGATION.	
6	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA	
7	JULY 28, 2017	
8	PAGES 1 - 28	
9		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12	A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S	
13		
14	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: KAPLAN, FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP BY: DAVID A. STRAITE	
15	850 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022	
16		
17	FOR THE DEFENDANTS: COOLEY LLP BY: MATTHEW D. BROWN	
18	101 CALIFORNIA STREET, 5TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111	
19		
20	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: IRENE L. RODRIGUEZ, CSR, RMR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074	
21		
22	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY, TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTER.	
23		
24		
25		

	1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA	JULY 28, 2017
	2	PROCEEDINGS	
09:59AM	3	(COURT CONVENED AT 9:59 A.M.)	
09:59AM	4	THE COURT: LET'S CALL OUR MORNING	CASE 12-MD-2314.
09:59AM	5	IF I COULD HAVE THE APPEARANCES.	
09:59AM	6	MR. STRAITE: THIS IS DAVID STRAIT	E FROM KAPLAN, FOX
09:59AM	7	& KILSHEIMER NEW YORK FOR THE PLAINTIFFS.	
09:59AM	8	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNI	NG.
09:59AM	9	MR. BROWN: AND MATTHEW BROWN FOR	FACEBOOK.
09:59AM	10	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. NICE TO	SEE YOU BOTH.
09:59AM	11	WE'RE ON FOR A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. Y	OU CAN COME
09:59AM	12	FORWARD. THANK YOU. I DID RECEIVE OH, YO	U CAN BE SEATED.
09:59AM	13	THANK YOU FOR YOUR COURTESY. I DID RECEIVE Y	OUR STATEMENT.
10:00AM	14	SURE, YOU CAN BOTH COME UP. THANK YOU.	SO WHAT I INTEND
10:00AM	15	TO DO IS TO GIVE YOU SOME DATES TODAY FOR FII	ING AND HEARING
10:00AM	16	AND THOSE TYPES OF THINGS.	
10:00AM	17	I ALSO WANTED TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT	IT SEEMS LIKE
10:00AM	18	THERE'S AN ISSUE ABOUT DISCOVERY AND WHETHER	OR NOT FACEBOOK
10:00AM	19	SHOULD PROVIDE ADDITIONAL REQUESTED ADDITIONAL	L DISCOVERY AS TO
10:00AM	20	NOW SOME DISCRETE ISSUE IT SOUNDS LIKE.	
10:00AM	21	CAN YOU JUST TELL ME, WHAT IS IT THAT YO	U THINK YOU NEED
10:00AM	22	THAT YOU DON'T ALREADY HAVE.	
10:00AM	23	MR. STRAITE: THANK YOU, YOUR HONO	З.
10:00AM	24	THE COURT: AND HOW VOLUMINOUS AND	BURDENSOME WILL
10:00AM	25	THIS BE FOR FACEBOOK TO PRODUCE?	

1 10:00AM 2 10:00AM 3 10:00AM 10:00AM 4 10:01AM 10:01AM 6 10:01AM 7 10:01AM 8 10:01AM 9 10:01AM 10 10:01AM 11 10:01AM 12 10:01AM 13 10:01AM 14 10:01AM 15 10:01AM 16 10:01AM 17 10:01AM 18 10:01AM 19 10:01AM 20 10:01AM 21 10:01AM 22 10:01AM 23 10:01AM 24 10:01AM 25

MR. STRAITE: OKAY. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE FIRST CATEGORY OF DOCUMENTS THAT WE WOULD LIKE WOULD BE COPIES OF THE HELP CENTER PAGES THAT WE'VE ALLEGED INFORM THE MEANING OF THE PRIVACY POLICY AND THE SRR, THAT'S THE STATEMENT OF RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES. GENERALLY THAT'S THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

THE COURT: SO CAN YOU TELL ME THOSE WOULD BE SOMETHING ADDITIONAL THAN WHAT APPEARS ON A PUBLIC WEB PAGE?

MR. STRAITE: NO, YOUR HONOR. TO OUR KNOWLEDGE EVERY SINGLE HELP CENTER PAGE THAT'S RELEVANT FOR OUR CLAIM DID APPEAR DURING THE CLASS PERIOD.

AND ONE THING THAT WE'VE BEEN DOING FOR THE PAST MONTH IS
THAT WE HAVE BEEN WORKING VERY HARD WITH OUR OUTSIDE

INVESTIGATORS AND INSIDE INVESTIGATORS. WE MAY HAVE -- AND
THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WE'VE LEARNED IN THE LAST FEW DAYS SO
IT'S NOT IN THE CMC STATEMENT. I JUST TOLD MR. BROWN THIS THIS
MORNING THAT WE MAY HAVE AN ALTERNATIVE SOLUTION THAT YOUR
HONOR MAY LIKE, AND I JUST RAN IT THROUGH HIM BECAUSE IT WAS A
BRAINSTORM.

WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO UNCOVER, WE BELIEVE, IT WOULD BE ALL OF THE RELEVANT LANGUAGE OF THE HISTORICAL HELP CENTER PAGES THAT WE THINK ARE PART OF THE CONTRACT OR AT LEAST HELP DEFINE THE CONTRACT.

THE COURT: OH.

MR. STRAITE: THEY'RE FROM OLD NOTES AND OUTSIDE

1 10:01AM 2 10:01AM 3 10:02AM 10:02AM 4 10:02AM 10:02AM 6 10:02AM 7 10:02AM 8 10:02AM 9 10:02AM 10 10:02AM 11 10:02AM 12 10:02AM 13 10:02AM 14 10:02AM 15 10:02AM 16 17 10:02AM 10:02AM 18 10:02AM 19 10:02AM 20 10:02AM 21 10:02AM 22 10:02AM 23 10:02AM 24

10:03AM 25

SOURCES AND THEY'RE FROM WAFE ACT MACHINE AND FOR ALL SORTS OF DIFFERENT SOURCES IDENTIFIED HERE IN COURT, BUT WE THINK WE HAVE ALL OF THE RELEVANT LANGUAGE THAT WE CAN ALLEGE IN GOOD FAITH IN THE COMPLAINT.

THE COURT: I SEE.

MR. STRAITE: THAT MAY BE THE CASE. TWO THINGS

ABOUT THAT. ONE IS THAT WE CAN'T CONFIRM THAT WE HAVE

EVERYTHING. WE'RE STILL LOOKING, AND WE'VE BEEN WORKING VERY

HARD OVER THE PAST MONTH. AND, NUMBER TWO, THERE MAY BE

ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS THAT WE'RE NOT AWARE OF, AND WE DON'T KNOW

IF WE HAVE A COMPLETE SET.

SO WE BELIEVE IT'S HELPFUL TO HAVE ALL OF THE RELEVANT
HISTORICAL HELP CENTER PAGES FROM THE CLASS PERIOD PRODUCED
PRIOR TO THE DRAFTING OF THE THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT, BUT AS AN
ALTERNATIVE, YOUR HONOR, IN THE INTEREST OF EFFICIENCY AND
GETTING THIS DONE, WE WOULD BE WILLING TO HAVE A STIPULATION
HERE THAT WE BE LIMITED TO WHAT OUR INVESTIGATORS FOUND PUT
THAT IN THE COMPLAINT SO LONG AS IN OPPOSITION FACEBOOK THEN
DOES NOT PROVIDE ADDITIONAL HELP CENTER PAGES OR LANGUAGE THAT
WEREN'T PRODUCED TO US BECAUSE THAT WOULD BE UNFAIR.

THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO PLAY WITH THE CARDS THAT ARE ON THE TABLE?

MR. BROWN: WELL, LET ME FIRST START BY SAYING THAT

IT SEEMS UNUSUAL TO SAY THE LEAST AND MAYBE BORDERING ON ABSURD

THAT WE HAVE A CASE THAT'S NOW BOILED DOWN TO TWO CLAIMS, TWO

1 10:03AM 2 10:03AM 3 10:03AM 10:03AM 4 10:03AM 10:03AM 10:03AM 8 10:03AM 10:03AM 9 10:03AM 10 10:03AM 11 10:03AM 12 10:03AM 13 10:03AM 14 10:03AM 15 10:03AM 16 17 10:03AM 18 10:03AM 10:03AM 19 20 10:03AM 21 10:04AM 10:04AM 22 10:04AM 23 10:04AM 24 10:04AM 25

CONTRACT RELATED CLAIMS, A BREACH OF CONTRACT CLAIM AND A
BREACH OF THE IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING,
AND AT THIS JUNCTURE OF THE CASE WHAT THE PLAINTIFFS ARE
ESSENTIALLY SAYING IS THAT WE'RE NOT REALLY SURE WHAT THE
CONTRACT IS. WE'RE ALLEGING THAT WE HAD A CONTRACT WITH YOU,
FACEBOOK. WE'RE ALLEGING THAT YOU BREACHED THE CONTRACT, BUT
WE'RE NOT REALLY SURE WHAT IT IS, AND WE NEED DISCOVERY AND ALL
OF THE HELP CENTER PAGES ON THE SITE THAT EXISTED BACK IN 2011
SO THAT WE CAN THEN LOOK AT ALL OF THEM AND SEE IF WE CAN
SCROUNGE UP SOME PROVISION THAT WE ARE THEN GOING TO ALLEGE
THAT WAS PART OF THE CONTRACT.

THE COURT: HELP US FILE OUR LAWSUIT.

MR. BROWN: YES. AND THAT DOESN'T SEEM TO BE THE
WAY THAT THIS OUGHT TO PROCEED. IF THEY BELIEVE THAT THEY HAVE
A CONTRACT, THEY OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO ALLEGE WHAT THE CONTRACT
IS.

TYPICALLY WHEN YOU HAVE A PLAINTIFF FILING A BREACH OF

CONTRACT CASE, THEY CAN COME TO THE COURT AND SAY HERE'S THE

CONTRACT, ALL OF IT, AND HERE ARE THE THREE PROVISIONS IN THE

CONTRACT THAT WE BELIEVE THE OTHER SIDE BREACHED. THAT'S

PRETTY BASIC.

SO I DON'T THINK THAT THEY'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO

SUCCESSFULLY AMEND THE COMPLAINT TO SUCCESSFULLY ALLEGE EITHER

OF THESE TWO CLAIMS AND IN PART FOR THAT REASON, BUT THE IDEA

THAT WE SHOULD HAVE TO DO SOME ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY IN ORDER

1 10:04AM 2 10:04AM 10:04AM 10:04AM 4 10:04AM 10:04AM 6 10:04AM 10:04AM 8 10:04AM 9 10:04AM 10 10:04AM 11 10:04AM 12 10:04AM 13 10:04AM 14 10:05AM 15 10:05AM 16 10:05AM 17 10:05AM 18 10:05AM 19 10:05AM 20 10:05AM 21 10:05AM 22 10:05AM 23 10:05AM 24 10:05AM 25

TO -- SO THAT THEY CAN SCROUNGE UP SOME ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE IN A HELP CENTER DOESN'T SEEM APPROPRIATE TO ME.

THE COURT: WELL, I THOUGHT -- WHAT I HEARD YOU SAY

IS THAT WE'RE WILLING TO FOREGO THAT IF EVERYBODY AGREES THAT

OUR LAWSUIT CAN BE PLED AND GO FORWARD AND INCLUDING MOTIONS TO

DISMISS, ET CETERA, WITH WHAT YOU HAVE NOW.

MR. STRAITE: YES. AND IN ALL FAIRNESS, I DID

SPRING THIS ON MR. BROWN THIS MORNING. HE HASN'T HAD TIME TO

THINK ABOUT IT.

THE COURT: OH, HE'S PRETTY SMART. HE CAN RACHET UP AN ANSWER HERE PRETTY QUICKLY.

MR. STRAITE: AND FOR THE RECORD, I'LL CONFIRM HE'S SMARTER THAN ME SO EVEN BETTER.

TO ADDRESS MR. BROWN'S FIRST POINT ABOUT SCROUNGING UP NEW LANGUAGE, THE IMPORTANT POINT TO REMEMBER IS THAT OUR SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT DID, IN FACT, QUOTE LANGUAGE FROM ONE OF THE HELP CENTER PAGES.

WE ALLEGE IT WAS A PART OF THE CONTRACT AND ALLEGED IT WAS LINKED TO THE PRIVACY POLICY IN THE CONTRACT DRAMATICALLY,
THEMATICALLY AND THROUGH HYPERLINKS, NOT DIRECTLY BUT THROUGH
THE HELP CENTER GENERALLY. SO THE LANGUAGE WAS QUOTED VERBATIM
IN OUR CONTRACT REPEATEDLY.

AND THEN IN RESPONSE FACEBOOK ARGUED THAT BECAUSE WE DIDN'T ATTACH AN ACTUAL PRINTOUT OF THE HELP CENTER PAGE THAT WE WERE NOT ABLE TO PLEAD OUR CLAIM. SO IT'S NOT NEW LANGUAGE

1 10:05AM 2 10:05AM 3 10:05AM 10:05AM 4 10:05AM 10:05AM 10:05AM 10:05AM 8 10:05AM 9 10:06AM 10 10:06AM 11 10:06AM 12 10:06AM 13 10:06AM 14 10:06AM 15 10:06AM 16 10:06AM 17 10:06AM 18 10:06AM 19 10:06AM 20 10:06AM 21 10:06AM 22 10:06AM 23 10:06AM 24 10:06AM 25

THAT WE WOULD BE ADDING. IT'S THE DOCUMENTATION, MAYBE THE SCREEN SHOT OR OTHER DOCUMENTATION THAT WOULD PROVE THE LANGUAGE IS CORRECT.

SINCE THEN WE HAVE SCROUNGED UP ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE THAT
WE WILL BE ADDING TO THE THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT AND A LOT OF
LANGUAGE, IN FACT, FROM VARIOUS OTHER HELP CENTER PAGES. WE,
AGAIN, WE DO NOT BELIEVE THAT MR. BROWN IS CORRECT THAT WE HAVE
TO SHOW THE SCREEN SHOTS OF WHAT THOSE PAGES LOOK LIKE SIX
YEARS AGO. I DON'T HAVE A TIME MACHINE, BUT I DO HAVE THE
ACTUAL LANGUAGE.

SO WE WOULD BE HAPPY WITH EITHER LANGUAGE. EITHER

FACEBOOK PRODUCES THEIR INTERNAL DOCUMENTS AS TO WHAT THE HELP

CENTER PAGES ACTUALLY LOOKED LIKE DURING THE CLASS PERIOD OR

YOU ACCEPT OUR ALLEGATIONS AS TRUE FOR PURPOSES OF THE

COMPLAINT, WHICH ORDINARILY HAPPENS AND TO PROCEED, AND THEY

DON'T PUT IN ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE IN OPPOSITION TO OUR COMPLAINT

THAT WAS WITHHELD FROM US FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS.

THE COURT: SO THAT SOUNDS IMMINENTLY REASONABLE,
DOESN'T IT?

MR. BROWN: IT'S NOT REASONABLE, AND I CAN'T AGREE TO THAT.

SO IN ANY CASE WHERE THE PLAINTIFF IS ALLEGING A BREACH OF CONTRACT AND THEY COME FORWARD AND SAY HERE'S WHAT WE'RE ALLEGING THE CONTRACT IS, YOU ALWAYS, AS THE DEFENDANT, HAVE THE ABILITY ON A MOTION TO DISMISS TO SAY TO THE COURT, WAIT A

1 10:06AM 10:06AM 2 3 10:06AM 10:06AM 4 10:06AM 10:07AM 6 10:07AM 7 10:07AM 8 10:07AM 9 10:07AM 10 10:07AM 11 10:07AM 12 10:07AM 13 10:07AM 14 10:07AM 15

10:07AM 16
10:07AM 17

10:07AM 18
10:07AM 19

10:07AM 20 10:07AM 21

10:07AM 22

10:08AM 23

10:08AM 24

10:08AM 25

MINUTE, IF THEY'RE CONTENDING THIS IS WHAT THE CONTRACT IS,
THEY'RE ACTUALLY NOT SHOWING YOU ALL OF IT.

AND I THINK IT'S COMPLETELY CONSISTENT, AND I'M NOT GOING TO CONCEDE RIGHT NOW THAT THE HELP CENTER PAGES WERE, IN FACT, PART OF THE CONTRACT, BUT IF THE PLAINTIFFS ARE GOING TO COME IN A COMPLAINT AND ALLEGE THAT THEY ARE BUT SELECTIVELY SHOW HELP CENTER PAGES WHEN THERE MAY BE OTHER HELP CENTER PAGES THAT BEAR ON THE INTERPRETATION OF THOSE OR CONTRADICTED OR SUPPLEMENTED IN SOME MEANINGFUL WAY, WE WOULD RESERVE THE RIGHT TO COME TO THE COURT AND SEEK JUDICIAL NOTICE OR INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE UNDER THAT DOCTRINE WHICH IS APPROVED BY THE NINTH CIRCUIT.

SO I CAN'T BE IN THE POSITION OF SAYING THAT WE WILL
HIGHER ON THE HAND ON A MOTION TO DISMISS, AND WE CAN ONLY HAVE
A DEBATE ABOUT THE HELP CENTER PAGES THAT THEY CHOOSE TO PUT
FORWARD.

THE COURT: SURE, I CAN APPRECIATE THAT. BUT WOULD

IT REALLY BE THAT DIFFICULT TO OBTAIN THOSE HISTORIC PAGES

DURING THE CLASS PERIOD IF THAT'S REALLY THE FOCUS OF THEIR

DISCOVERY, IF YOU WILL, REQUEST?

MR. BROWN: IT MAY VERY WELL BE HARDER THAN YOU IMAGINE. I MEAN, THE HELP CENTER IS A PRETTY BIG AND VAST SET OF WEB PAGES, AND IT'S NOT AS THOUGH YOU CAN, WHEN YOU'RE GOING BACK AND PULLING THINGS FROM THE 2010 OR 2011 PERIOD, IT'S NOT AS THOUGH WE CAN JUST GO AND THOSE ARE SITTING IN A LITTLE

1 10:08AM 2 10:08AM 3 10:08AM 10:08AM 4 10:08AM 10:08AM 10:08AM 8 10:08AM 10:08AM 9 10:08AM 10 10:08AM 11 10:08AM 12 10:08AM 13 10:09AM 14 10:09AM 15 10:09AM 16 17 10:09AM 18 10:09AM 10:09AM 19 10:09AM 20 21 10:09AM 10:09AM 22 10:09AM 23 10:09AM 24 10:09AM 25

VAULT SOMEWHERE AND WE CAN JUST, YOU KNOW, TAKE A QUICK COPY OF THEM. IT'S A LITTLE BIT MORE COMPLICATED THAN THAT TO GO BACK AND PULL HISTORICAL VERSIONS OF WEB PAGES THAT ARE PROBABLY NO LONGER THERE THIS MANY YEARS LATER.

AND SO WHAT WE HAVE ALWAYS OFFERED, AND I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD BE RELITIGATING A MOTION TO COMPEL WHICH WAS TERMINATED AND SUPPOSED TO BE RE-FILED, BUT WHAT WE OFFERED DURING THE MEET AND CONFER PERIOD WAY BACK WHEN IS TO SAY IF YOU CAN POINT TO PARTICULAR HELP CENTER PAGES THAT YOU CONTEND ARE RELEVANT AND WE'LL RESERVE OUR RIGHTS TO SAY THAT THEY ARE NOT RELEVANT, BUT IF YOU CAN POINT TO CERTAIN ONES THEN, SURE, WE'LL GO GET THEM FOR YOU.

BUT INSTEAD, THEY STUCK TO THE POSITION THAT WE SHOULD

JUST PRODUCE EVERY SINGLE PAGE IN THE HELP CENTER, AND IT'S A

HELP CENTER. THEY'RE ALL MANNER OF INFORMATION IN THERE THAT

HAS NO BEARING WHATSOEVER ON THEIR CLAIM WHICH IS THAT WE

IMPROPERLY COLLECTED INTERNET BROWSING HISTORY OF THESE NAMED

PLAINTIFFS.

SO I DO HAVE A PROBLEM WITH GOING AND JUST SORT OF

GENERALLY COLLECTING HELP CENTER PAGES WHEN WE KNOW VERY WELL

THAT THE VAST MAJORITY OF THEM DON'T HAVE ANY BEARING AT ALL ON

THEIR ALLEGATIONS.

THE COURT: WELL, IT SOUNDS LIKE YOUR SEARCH WOULD BE MUCH MORE DISCRETE, THOUGH?

MR. STRAITE: I BELIEVE THAT'S THE CASE, YOUR HONOR.

1 10:09AM 2 10:09AM 3 10:09AM 10:09AM 4 10:09AM 10:09AM 10:09AM 7 10:09AM 8 10:09AM 9 10:10AM 10 10:10AM 11 10:10AM 12 10:10AM 13 10:10AM 14 10:10AM 15 10:10AM 16 17 10:10AM 10:10AM 18 10:10AM 19 10:10AM 20 10:10AM 21 10:10AM 22 10:10AM 23 10:10AM 24 10:10AM 25

AND, IN FACT, IF IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO REDUCE THE BURDEN ON DEFENDANT, WE COULD IDENTIFY THE LANGUAGE THAT WE'LL BE PUTTING INTO THE THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT, INCLUDING THE OLD DEAD URL'S THAT WERE USED BACK IN 2011. WE SUSPECT IT WILL BE FEWER THAN TEN HELP CENTER PAGES.

SPEAKING TO THE EFFICIENCY, WE TALKED ABOUT THE UNFAIRNESS

JUST A WHILE AGO. WE'RE NOT DISCUSSING THIS IN A VACUUM.

SEVERAL YEARS AGO IN 2011 JUDGE KOH DECIDED A VERY SIMILAR

QUESTION IN THE CASE OF FRALEY VERSUS FACEBOOK. THE CITATION

IS 830 F. SUPP. 2D 785. THIS IS FROM 2011. THE PLAINTIFFS

QUOTED -- I'M SORRY, A FACEBOOK HELP CENTER PAGE. IN RESPONSE

FACEBOOK PUT ADDITIONAL HELP CENTER PAGES ATTACHED TO A REQUEST

FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO DISMISS.

ADDITIONAL HELP CENTER PAGES SUDDENLY BECAME RELEVANT IN OPPOSITION TO THE COMPLAINT AND IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO DISMISS.

AND JUDGE KOH HAD TO GO THROUGH A LENGTHY OPINION ABOUT STANDARDS FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE. IT WAS INCREDIBLY INEFFICIENT TO HAVE TO HAVE ALL OF THIS ARGUMENT. SHE EVENTUALLY RULED THAT MANY OF THE HELP CENTER PAGES SHE WOULD NOT JUDICIALLY NOTICE. SOME CAME IN AND SOME DID NOT. THERE WAS A BRIEFING ON THE QUESTION OF JUDICIAL NOTICE. IT WOULD BE FAR MORE EFFICIENT SIMPLY TO RESOLVE IT HERE WE WOULD ARGUE.

THE COURT: THANK YOU. IF YOU CAN IDENTIFY,

MR. BROWN, A CERTAIN PAGES' LANGUAGE AND PAGES, IT SEEMS TO ME

1 10:10AM 2 10:11AM 3 10:11AM 10:11AM 4 10:11AM 10:11AM 6 10:11AM 7 10:11AM 8 10:11AM 9 10:11AM 10 10:11AM 11 10:11AM 12 10:11AM 13 10:11AM 14 10:11AM 15 10:11AM 16 10:11AM 17 10:12AM 18 10:12AM 19 10:12AM 20 10:12AM 21

10:12AM 22

10:12AM 23

10:12AM 24

10:12AM 25

THAT THAT WOULD ASSIST YOUR CLIENT AT LEAST IN LOCATING THESE.

WHAT I'M TRYING TO DO HERE, AND I THINK -- I HOPE IT'S

APPARENT, IS THAT I'M TRYING TO FASHION BEARING F.R.C.P. 1 IN

MIND ABOUT THE EFFICIENT MANAGEMENT AND PROGRESS OF THE CASE

AND A CASE THAT IS FOUR OR FIVE YEARS OLD AND MAYBE THE WORD

"EFFICIENT" SHOULDN'T BE IN THE SAME SENTENCE, BUT I THINK

WE'RE AT A PLACE NOW WHERE THERE IS -- THIS CASE HAS BEEN

REFINED. IT'S GONE THROUGH MOTION PRACTICE. SO NOW THERE'S -
AS YOU POINT OUT, MR. BROWN, IT'S JUST A VERY, VERY FINITE,

DISCRETE QUESTION THAT'S HERE. IT'S A BREACH OF CONTRACT

QUESTION OF SORTS.

I'D LIKE TO ASSIST YOU IN GETTING YOU WHERE YOU BOTH NEED
TO BE SUCH THAT YOU CAN GET RESOLUTION ONE WAY OR THE OTHER AND
GET SOME ADDITIONAL FOCUS.

IT SEEMS TO ME THAT WHAT I WANT TO DO IS TO GIVE YOU A

SCHEDULE FOR FILING, AS I TOLD YOU, AND I'D LIKE TO SEE IF YOU

CAN ACCOMPLISH THIS DISCOVERY ISSUE. IT SOUNDS LIKE FROM WHERE

I SIT AND, PARDON ME, I'M NOT ENGULFED IN THE LITIGATION LIKE

YOU ARE, BUT IT SEEMS LIKE IF YOU WERE TO IDENTIFY THESE

DISCRETE PAGES LANGUAGE THAT THE DIFFICULTY THAT FACEBOOK WOULD

HAVE IN LOCATING THAT DISCRETE INFORMATION WOULD PROBABLY BE

LESS TIME THAN IT WOULD TAKE TO FILE MOTIONS IN FRONT OF GOOD

JUDGE COUSINS AND ARGUE THOSE MOTIONS AND BE FAR MORE COST

EFFECTIVE IF WE CAN JUST NARROW THAT DOWN HERE. THAT'S KIND OF

WHAT I'M LOOKING AT.

1 10:12AM 2 10:12AM 3 10:12AM 10:12AM 4 10:12AM 10:12AM 10:13AM 8 10:13AM 10:13AM 9 10:13AM 10 10:13AM 11 10:13AM 12 10:13AM 13 10:13AM 14 10:13AM 15 10:13AM 16 17 10:13AM 18 10:13AM 10:13AM 19 20 10:14AM 21 10:14AM 10:14AM 22 10:14AM 23 10:14AM 24 10:14AM 25

WHILE YOU GET YOUR PENCILS OUT, I'LL TELL YOU THE DATES

THAT I AM THINKING ABOUT SUCH THAT IT WILL GUIDE YOUR THOUGHTS

ABOUT THIS DISCOVERY ISSUE.

WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS TO HAVE THE THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT FILED ON NO LATER THAN FRIDAY, AUGUST 25TH; ANY MOTION TO DISMISS WOULD THEN BE FILED ON FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 8TH; OPPOSITION TO THAT WOULD BE FILED FRIDAY, OCTOBER 13TH; A REPLY WOULD BE FILED FRIDAY, OCTOBER 27TH, AND I'LL RESERVE A MOTION DATE, A HEARING DATE, EXCUSE ME, FOR THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 16TH, THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 16TH AT 9:00 A.M.

THAT'S THE SCHEDULE THAT I'D LIKE TO IMPOSE ON THE CASE NOW, AND I'M HOPEFUL THAT THIS ISSUE REGARDING PAGES THAT YOU NEED AND YOUR REQUEST FROM FACEBOOK CAN BE RESOLVED SUCH THAT YOU CAN MEET THIS DEADLINE.

MR. STRAITE: I'M CONFIDENT WE CAN. MATT IS A VERY REASONABLE PERSON, AND HE'S A SKILLED LAWYER, AND HE'LL DO HIS BEST, OF COURSE, TO PROTECT HIS CLIENT, BUT I BELIEVE WE CAN GET THIS DONE IN GOOD FAITH.

MR. BROWN: SO IF I'M LOOKING AT THE DATES, I DON'T HAVE MY CALENDAR IN FRONT OF ME. SO YOU'RE SAYING THAT THE COMPLAINT WOULD BE FILED AUGUST 25TH AND THEN THE MOTION WOULD BE DUE IS THAT --

THE COURT: THE COMPLAINT FILED AUGUST 25TH, THAT'S RIGHT.

MR. BROWN: AND THEN WE WOULD HAVE ABOUT, WHAT,

14 DAYS TO MOVE TO DISMISS?

THE COURT: RIGHT.

MR. BROWN: OKAY. I GUESS THE -- YOU KNOW, THE ONE THING THAT I WOULD WANT TO BRING UP WITH THE COURT IF THAT'S WHERE THE COURT WAS LEANING AT, YOU KNOW, ON THE DISCOVERY OF THE HELP CENTER PAGES. YOU KNOW, ONE THING THAT IS OF VERY SERIOUS CONCERN TO FACEBOOK IS A BROADER DISCOVERY INITIATIVE. I KNOW THAT WE HAVE THESE EXISTING ISSUES THAT WERE TEED UP IN THE MOTION TO COMPEL AND YOU RULED THAT THOSE NEED TO BE REFILED WITH JUDGE COUSINS, BUT DURING OUR MEET AND CONFER LAST WEEK AND IN PREPARATION FOR THE CMC I HEARD SUGGESTIONS THAT THERE COULD BE, YOU KNOW, ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY NOW WAGED INCLUDING NOTICING DEPOSITIONS OF FACEBOOK EMPLOYEES AND THE LIKE, AND THAT JUST DOESN'T SEEM RIGHT IN THE POSTURE WE ARE IN.

THE COURT: AND LET ME -- I THOUGHT ABOUT THAT. MY
THOUGHT INITIALLY WAS WE DON'T NEED ANY DEPOSITIONS. I DON'T
THINK YOU NEED ANY DEPOSITION TO PROCEED ON THIS SCHEDULE AND
MAYBE YOU SHOULD TELL ME IF I'M WRONG.

MR. STRAITE: NO, YOUR HONOR, YOU'RE EXACTLY RIGHT.

WE'RE NOT PREPARED TO TAKE DEPOSITIONS FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS

FOR THE NEXT COUPLE OF MONTHS.

THE MOST IMPORTANT REASON, WHICH WE'LL ADDRESS WITH

JUDGE COUSINS, IS THAT 99.9 PERCENT OF THE DOCUMENT PRODUCTION

IS DESIGNATED ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY. AND UNDER THE COURT'S

10:15AM	1
10:15AM	2
10:15AM	3
10:15AM	4
10:15AM	5
10:15AM	6
10:15AM	7
10:15AM	8
10:15AM	9
10:16AM	10
10:16AM	11
10:16AM	12
10:16AM	13
10:16AM	14
10:16AM	15
10:16AM	16
10:16AM	17
10:16AM	18
10:16AM	19
10:16AM	20
10:16AM	21
10:16AM	22
10:16AM	23
10:16AM	24
10:16AM	25

CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER I'M NOT ALLOWED TO SHOW THOSE DOCUMENTS

TO ANY DEPONENT UNLESS THE DEPONENT WROTE THE DOCUMENT OR

OTHERWISE HAS KNOWLEDGE OF IT. THAT MEANS THAT I CAN'T TAKE

DEPOSITIONS PERIOD AS A PRACTICAL MATTER. SO UNTIL

JUDGE COUSINS RESOLVES THAT -- WE CAN'T DO DEPOSITIONS.

AND, SECOND OF ALL, BECAUSE WE ONLY HAVE DOCUMENT
DISCOVERY FROM THREE INDIVIDUALS AND WE'RE PROPOSING MORE
DOCUMENTS FROM ANOTHER 20 INDIVIDUALS WHICH WERE SUGGESTED BY
THE CURRENT DOCUMENT PRODUCTION, WE BELIEVE IT WOULD BE UNWISE
TO START DEPOSITIONS UNTIL WE GET ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS ANYWAY.
I WOULD BE SHOCKED IF WE WERE IN A POSITION TO TAKE THE FIRST
DEPOSITION BEFORE ORAL ARGUMENT ON AUGUST 16TH. SO I THINK
YOUR CONCERNS AND THE PRACTICAL POSTURE OF THE CASE COINCIDE.

THE COURT: I DIDN'T ANTICIPATE THERE WOULD BE ANY DEPOSITION PRACTICE AT ALL.

MR. BROWN: I DIDN'T EITHER UNTIL THE MEET AND CONFER LAST WEEK.

BUT I GUESS BEYOND THAT, AND I UNDERSTAND THAT YOUR HONOR HAS A GENERAL PHILOSOPHY, I THINK, THAT DISCOVERY SHOULDN'T BE STAYED FOR LONG PERIODS OF TIME.

BUT, YOU KNOW, GIVEN THE POSTURE THAT WE'RE IN HERE, WE'VE HAD TWO COMPLAINTS DISMISSED, AND WE HAVE 9 OF THE 11 CLAIMS DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE, AND WE'RE DOWN TO TWO CLAIMS, AND I'M NOT SURE THAT THEY'LL BE ABLE TO REPLEAD THEM SUCCESSFULLY.

I WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO AT LEAST HIT THE PAUSE BUTTON

10:16AM	1	ON BRIEFING ON THE MOTION TO COMPEL AND DOCUMENT DISCOVERY AS
10:16AM	2	WELL, AND WE'RE ONLY TALKING ABOUT NOVEMBER 16TH FOR THE
10:16AM	3	HEARING, AND YOU'RE OBVIOUSLY SETTING THIS FOR A MORE EXPEDITED
10:17AM	4	SCHEDULE THAN IS OFTEN THE CASE.
10:17AM	5	SO I ASK THAT WITH HUMILITY BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND YOUR
10:17AM	6	GENERAL PHILOSOPHY, BUT IT JUST SEEMS TO BE APPROPRIATE IN THE
10:17AM	7	PARTICULAR PROCEDURAL POSTURE THAT WE'RE IN NOW.
10:17AM	8	MR. STRAITE: YOUR HONOR, OF COURSE GIVEN THE
10:17AM	9	PROCEDURAL POSTURE IT'S IMPORTANT FOR US TO BE REASONABLE AND
10:17AM	10	IF IT WOULD HELP, YOUR HONOR, WE CAN AGREE NO REQUEST FOR
10:17AM	11	ADMISSIONS, NO INTERROGATORIES, NO SCHEDULED DEPOSITIONS, NO
10:17AM	12	NOTICES FOR DEPOSITION UNTIL AFTER NOVEMBER 16TH. IF WE JUST
10:17AM	13	FOCUS ON DOCUMENTS BETWEEN NOW AND THEN, THAT'S A COMPROMISE
10:17AM	14	THAT WE'RE WILLING TO OFFER.
10:17AM	15	MR. BROWN: WELL
10:17AM	16	THE COURT: THAT SOUNDS PRETTY GENEROUS.
10:17AM	17	MR. BROWN: WELL, IF, IF THEY CAN'T, IF THEY
10:17AM	18	CAN'T
10:17AM	19	THE COURT: YOU'RE OVERWHELMED BY THE GENEROSITY.
10:17AM	20	MR. BROWN: REALLY, I'M ALMOST LEFT SPEECHLESS
10:17AM	21	BECAUSE OF THE 20 EXTRA CUSTODIANS THAT THEY WANT US TO COLLECT
10:17AM	22	DOCUMENTS FROM.
10:17AM	23	I MEAN, THAT'S THE REALITY HERE. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT
10:17AM	24	ATTORNEY'S FEES THAT COULD BE IN UPWARDS OF SIX FIGURES, IF
10:17AM	25	THEY REALLY FOLLOW THROUGH ON THEIR REQUEST FOR US TO COLLECT

10:18AM	1
10:18AM	2
10:18AM	3
10:18AM	4
10:18AM	5
10:18AM	6
10:18AM	7
10:18AM	8
10:18AM	9
10:18AM	10
10:18AM	11
10:18AM	12
10:18AM	13
10:18AM	14
10:18AM	15
10:18AM	16
10:18AM	17
10:18AM	18
10:18AM	19
10:19AM	20
10:19AM	21
10:19AM	22
10:19AM	23
10:19AM	24
10:19AM	25

DOCUMENTS, AND MAYBE I'M GETTING THE NUMBER 20 WRONG, I CAN'T REMEMBER WHAT IT IS ANYMORE, BUT IT'S A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL CUSTODIANS, AND WHEN YOU'RE IN A SITUATION LIKE THIS WHEN IT'S VERY, VERY POSSIBLE THAT THE CASE MAY NOT BE GOING FORWARD BEYOND THE END OF THIS YEAR, IT JUST SEEMS TO ME THAT IT MAKES SENSE AT THIS JUNCTURE TO HIT THE PAUSE BUTTON.

IF IT TURNS OUT THAT THEY'RE ABLE TO PLEAD ONE OF THESE
TWO CLAIMS SUCCESSFULLY AND IT GETS BEYOND OUR MOTION TO
DISMISS, THEN THAT'S A DIFFERENT STORY AT THAT POINT.

MR. STRAITE: IT SOUNDS LIKE COUNSEL IS ARGUING

AGAIN FOR A THIRD TIME FOR A MOTION TO STAY PENDING A RULING ON

A MOTION TO DISMISS OR I RECALL OBVIOUSLY JUST BEING DENIED I

WOULD SIMPLY SAY EVERYTHING WE SAID THERE WE SAY AGAIN, AND

GIVEN OUR NEW OFFER TO HAVE LIMITED DISCOVERY JUST OF

DOCUMENTS, AND WE CAN EVEN HAVE DISCUSSIONS WITH JUDGE COUSINS

ABOUT NARROWING THE SCOPE OF CUSTODIANS EVEN FURTHER THAN THE

20 OR SO THAT WE IDENTIFIED AS RELEVANT, THAT'S SOMETHING THAT

JUDGE COUSINS WILL DECIDE IF IT'S APPROPRIATE. BUT WE, OF

COURSE, WOULD OPPOSE THIS FOURTH REQUESTED STAY OF DISCOVERY

PENDING THE RULING ON THE MOTION TO DISMISS.

THE COURT: WELL, I APPRECIATE MR. BROWN EXPRESSING
THE CONCERNS THAT HIS CLIENT AND THE COSTS --

MR. STRAITE: YES.

THE COURT: -- THAT HIS CLIENTS ARE FACING. AND IN
HIS LENS THIS CASE IS COMING TO AT LEAST A CLOSURE AT SOME

1 10:19AM 2 10:19AM 3 10:19AM 10:19AM 10:19AM 10:19AM 10:19AM 10:19AM 8 10:19AM 9 10:19AM 10 10:19AM 11 10:19AM 12 10:19AM 13 10:19AM 14 10:19AM 15 10:20AM 16 17 10:20AM 18 10:20AM 10:20AM 19 10:20AM 20 2.1 10:20AM 10:20AM 22 10:20AM 23 10:20AM 24

10:20AM 25

POINT IN TIME. THAT'S HIS VIEW OF IT.

MR. STRAITE: AND I WOULD SAY EXACTLY THE OPPOSITE THAT NOT ONLY --

THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.

MR. STRAITE: -- BECAUSE NOW WE HAVE FOCUS BECAUSE
WE HAVE ADDITIONAL HELP CENTER PAGES THAT WE'LL BE DISCUSSING.
THE REAL SALIENT FACT NOW IS THE DATE THAT THIS CASE WAS FILED,
THE CLASS PERIOD THAT WE HAVE ALLEGED. WE'RE GOING BACK NOW
FIVE, SIX, SOMETIMES SEVEN YEARS BEFORE FOR THESE DOCUMENTS.
FURTHER DELAY IS INCREDIBLY PREJUDICIAL. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT
HAPPENS TO DOCUMENTS AND IF THEY GO STALE, AND WE DON'T KNOW IF
STANDARDS CHANGE.

AND REMEMBER IN THE OLD DAYS WE HAD DOCUMENTS ON FLOPPY
DISKS, AND WE HAVE TO CONVERT ON FLOPPY DISKS. THE FURTHER
DELAY ACTUALLY INCREASES THE COST OF DISCOVERY, THE HARDER IT
BECOMES TO FIND THE DOCUMENTS AND PRODUCE THEM AND IN
APPROPRIATE FORMAT, WE BELIEVE THAT THE AGE OF THE CASE
ACTUALLY MITIGATES IN FAVOR OF MORE OF A RAPID PRODUCTION AND
NOT A LESS RAPID PRODUCTION.

THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. WHICH IS TO SAY THAT
THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE A DIFFERENT VIEW OF THE CASE THAN THE
DEFENDANTS, OF COURSE, AND THEY FEEL IT'S A ROBUST CASE AND
NOTWITHSTANDING THE SIZE OF THE CASE NOW AND HOW IT APPEARS
TRIMMED DOWN.

I JUST DON'T THINK THAT IT'S APPROPRIATE TO HIT THE PAUSE

1 10:20AM 2 10:20AM 10:20AM 10:20AM 4 10:20AM 10:20AM 6 10:20AM 7 10:21AM 8 10:21AM 9 10:21AM 10 10:21AM 11 10:21AM 12 10:21AM 13 10:21AM 14 10:21AM 15 10:21AM 16 10:21AM 17 10:21AM 18 10:21AM 19 10:21AM 20 10:21AM 21 10:21AM 22 10:22AM 23 10:22AM 24 10:22AM 25

BUTTON, PARDON ME, MR. BROWN. I'D LIKE YOU -- I HEAR THE

SPIRIT OF COOPERATION HERE THAT THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE SAID, YES,

OUR CASE IS REDUCED. WE HAVE THIS DISCRETE ISSUE ABOUT BREACH

AND ACCORDINGLY OUR REQUEST FOR THE HELP CENTER LANGUAGE THAT

MIGHT HELP IN SOME WAY THE PLAINTIFFS IDENTIFY A BREACH IS

IMPORTANT TO THEIR CASE AND WITH THE LIMITATIONS EXPRESSED HERE

RECOGNIZING THAT I DON'T WANT THIS TO INVOLVE INTERROGATORIES,

DEPOSITIONS, AND PRODUCTIONS.

IF YOU CAN JUST GET AND IDENTIFY THOSE PAGES RECOGNIZING
THAT THEY MIGHT ASSIST WHAT YOU ALREADY HAVE, AND IT SOUNDS
LIKE YOU HAVE, WHAT I'VE HEARD YOU SAY, WE PROBABLY HAVE ENOUGH
TODAY TO GO FORWARD WITH THE COMPLAINT.

MR. STRAITE: YES, YOUR HONOR. YES.

THE COURT: BUT YOU WANT TO CONFIRM IT FOR YOUR CLIENT'S SAKE. I APPRECIATE THAT.

SO I'M NOT GOING TO HIT THE PAUSE BUTTON RIGHT NOW. I
THINK WE'RE PERILOUSLY CLOSE TO GETTING SOME TYPE OF FINALITY
ON THE CASE ONE WAY OR ANOTHER, THAT IS, DEPENDING ON HOW THE
MOTIONS GO AND IT WILL EITHER THRIVE OR IT WON'T, I SUPPOSE,
AND WE'RE CLOSE TO THAT DATE.

SO I'D LIKE TO KEEP THESE DATES THEN. LET ME ASK YOU, YOU TALK ABOUT ADR, AND THIS IS ON ECF PAGE 3 AT LINE 1. SHOULD THAT BE ENGAGED AT ALL NOW? WHAT IS YOUR STATUS ON THAT, YOUR COMMENTS ON THAT?

MR. STRAITE: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. WE HAVE NEVER

1 10:22AM 2 10:22AM 3 10:22AM 10:22AM 4 10:22AM 10:22AM 6 10:22AM 10:22AM 8 10:22AM 9 10:22AM 10 10:22AM 11 10:22AM 12 10:22AM 13 10:22AM 14 10:22AM 15 10:22AM 16 17 10:23AM 10:23AM 18 10:23AM 19 10:23AM 20 10:23AM 21 10:23AM 22 10:23AM 23

10:23AM 24

10:23AM 25

HAD A MEDIATION. WE'VE NEVER HAD ANY ASSISTED DISCUSSIONS, NO NEUTRALS, NO NOTHING.

WE BELIEVE NOW THAT WE'RE ON THE THIRD AND FINAL AMENDED COMPLAINT, ONE OF TWO THINGS WILL HAPPEN. EITHER WE WILL SUCCEED ON ONE OR TWO OF THE CLAIMS AND WE'LL GO TO TRIAL, OR WE WON'T SUCCEED AND WE GO TO THE NINTH CIRCUIT.

GIVEN THAT POSTURE, WE BELIEVE NOW MAY BE AN APPROPRIATE

TIME TO HAVE A FORMAL MEDIATION, AND WE WOULD BE WILLING TO

SPLIT THE COST 50/50. IF IN GOOD FAITH FACEBOOK BELIEVES IT'S

A COMPLETE WASTE OF TIME, WE WILL ACCEPT THAT JUDGMENT, BUT WE

PUT FORWARD HERE FORMALLY WE THINK IT'S A GOOD IDEA.

THE COURT: MR. BROWN.

MR. BROWN: RIGHT. WELL, AS WE SAID IN THE CASE

MANAGEMENT STATEMENT, BUT TO GIVE A LITTLE MORE COLOR TO IT,

WE'RE AT A POINT WHERE WE REALLY DON'T THINK THAT THEY'RE GOING

TO BE ABLE TO REPLEAD.

WE'VE NEVER THOUGHT THAT THE COMPLAINTS THAT THEY PUT

FORWARD HAD SUFFICIENT FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS TO SUPPORT THE LEGAL

THEORIES THAT THEY WERE FORWARDING, AND IT'S NOW BEEN WHITTLED

DOWN TO THESE TWO CLAIMS. AND, FRANKLY, GIVEN THE REASONING OF

THE LAST ORDER, OBVIOUSLY THEY'VE BEEN GIVEN LEAVE TO AMEND, I

JUST DON'T THINK THAT THEY'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO IT.

THEY'RE STILL APPARENTLY RELYING ON THIS KIND OF LAYERED

APPROACH AS TO WHAT THEY CALL IT TO WHAT THE CONTRACT CONSISTS

OF.

1 10:23AM 2 10:23AM 3 10:23AM 10:23AM 4 10:23AM 10:23AM 10:23AM 10:23AM 8 10:23AM 9 10:23AM 10 10:23AM 11 10:23AM 12 10:24AM 13 10:24AM 14 10:24AM 15 10:24AM 16 17 10:24AM 18 10:24AM 10:24AM 19 10:24AM 20 21 10:24AM 10:24AM 22 10:24AM 23 10:24AM 24 10:24AM 25

AND SO IN LIGHT OF THAT, WE JUST DON'T THINK THAT IT'S

WORTH THE TIME AND EXPENSE RIGHT NOW TO ENGAGE IN ADR, AND

WE'RE GOING TO BE DOING BRIEFING ON A MOTION TO DISMISS AND THE

CASE MAY NOT LIVE ON PAST NOVEMBER.

MR. STRAITE: AND TO FURTHER PUT MORE COLOR ONTO THE COLOR, THE LAYERED APPROACH TO THE FACEBOOK CONTRACT, THE TERMS OF USE AND THE PRIVACY POLICY AND THE HELP CENTER PAGES, THAT TERM "LAYERED APPROACH" IS NOT SOMETHING THAT WE'VE INVENTED. FACEBOOK DESCRIBED ITS CONTRACT THAT WAY TO CONGRESS AND TO THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION.

GRANTED THAT PHRASE WAS USED AFTER OUR CLASS PERIOD BUT USED TO DESCRIBE THE APPROACH THAT WAS IN EFFECT DURING THE CLASS PERIOD, LAYERED APPROACH IS WHAT FACEBOOK TOLD THE GOVERNMENT THAT THEY USED.

THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, THANK YOU. IT SOUNDS LIKE PER YOUR OBSERVATION, MR. STRAITE, FACEBOOK IS NOT AT THIS POINT INTERESTED IN PURSUING FORMAL ADR. RATHER, IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU WOULD RATHER FOCUS, MR. BROWN, YOUR EFFORTS ON THIS MOTION PRACTICE AND ACCORDING TO THE SCHEDULE THAT I'VE JUST PROVIDED YOU.

MR. BROWN: THAT'S RIGHT.

THE COURT: SO THAT'S WHAT IT WILL BE. OKAY. I'VE
GIVEN YOU THE DATES. I'VE TALKED TO YOU ABOUT AT LEAST MY
VIEWS ABOUT THE -- THIS DISCOVERY ISSUE AND MY SENSE IS THAT -AND I APPRECIATE YOUR -- PLAINTIFFS' WILLINGNESS TO WORK WITH

10:24AM	1
10:24AM	2
10:24AM	3
10:24AM	4
10:25AM	5
10:25AM	6
10:25AM	7
10:25AM	8
10:25AM	9
10:25AM	10
10:25AM	11
10:25AM	12
10:25AM	13
10:25AM	14
10:25AM	15
10:25AM	16
10:25AM	17
10:25AM	18
10:25AM	19
10:25AM	20
10:25AM	21
10:25AM	22
10:26AM	23
10:26AM	24
10.267M	25

FACEBOOK ON LIMITING YOUR REQUESTS AND SCOPE, AND I HOPE THAT
YOUR CLIENTS -- I HOPE THAT YOU CAN MEET AND CONFER SUCH THAT,
MR. BROWN, YOU CAN TALK TO YOUR CLIENTS ABOUT PRODUCING THESE,
WHATEVER IT IS, THE PAGES AND THAT NEED TO BE DONE. AND I'M
HOPEFUL THAT IT'S NOT VOLUMINOUS AND IT'S NOT GOING TO REQUIRE
HUNDREDS OF HOURS OF ATTORNEY TIME.

MR. BROWN: JUST TO CLARIFY THAT, AND I MAY HAVE
MISSPOKEN WHEN I THOUGHT ABOUT HITTING THE PAUSE BUTTON. I HAD
ALREADY ASSUMED THAT YOU HAD RULED ON THE HELP CENTER PAGE
ISSUE.

SO MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THEY WILL VERY QUICKLY GET US LANGUAGE FROM THE HELP CENTER PAGES THAT THEY WANT TO INCLUDE IN THEIR COMPLAINT, AND WE WILL SEARCH FOR HISTORICAL VERSIONS OF THOSE PAGES.

I GUESS WHAT I WAS TRYING TO ADDRESS IS JUST, YOU KNOW,
THE DISCOVERY BURDENS BEYOND THAT. ARE WE -- YOU KNOW, ARE WE
LITERALLY GOING TO GET NEW REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION PROPOUNDED
TO US? ARE WE REALLY GOING THROUGH A MOTION TO COMPEL PRACTICE
BEFORE JUDGE COUSINS BETWEEN NOW AND NOVEMBER 16TH WHEN IT
SEEMS LIKE IT'S REALLY NOT THAT MUCH OF AN IMPOSITION ON
PLAINTIFFS UNTIL WE HOLD OFF --

THE COURT: MR. STRAITE, DO YOU WANT TO CLARIFY.

MR. STRAITE: AS WE DISCUSSED EARLIER, WE'RE
CERTAINLY WILLING NOT TO PROPOUND ANY INTERROGATORIES, NOT A
SINGLE ONE. WE CAN ADDRESS THE HELP CENTER PAGES IN ADVANCE OF

1 10:26AM 2 10:26AM 3 10:26AM 10:26AM 4 10:26AM 10:26AM 10:26AM 7 10:26AM 8 10:26AM 9 10:26AM 10 10:26AM 11 10:26AM 12 10:26AM 13 10:26AM 14 10:26AM 15 10:26AM 16 17 10:26AM 18 10:27AM 10:27AM 19 10:27AM 20 10:27AM 21 10:27AM 22 10:27AM 23 10:27AM 24 10:27AM 25

THE THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT IN TELEPHONE CALLS AND LETTERS. WE DON'T NEED DISCOVERY, FORMAL DISCOVERY THERE. WE WILL ALSO NOT SERVE ANY REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS, AND WE ALSO WILL NOT NOTICE ANY DEPOSITIONS UNTIL AFTER THE HEARING ON THE 16TH.

WHETHER WE'LL PROPOUND ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS REQUESTS

REMAINS TO BE SEEN. WE'RE WILLING TO HOLD THOSE OFF IF WE HAVE

AN UNDERSTANDING THAT THE CURRENT DOCUMENT REQUESTS ARE

INTERPRETED A CERTAIN WAY OR THERE'S SOME AMBIGUITIES BECAUSE

OF MY INARTFUL DRAFTING OF YEARS AGO THAT WE WOULD LIKE SOME

UNDERSTANDINGS ON HOW SOME WORDS ARE INTERPRETED. WE PROBABLY

COULD PROBABLY AVOID A SECOND ROUND OF DOCUMENT REQUESTS UNTIL

NOVEMBER 16TH IF WE CAN HAVE THOSE CONVERSATIONS, BUT I THINK

IT'S LIKELY THAT WE'LL BE ABLE TO AVOID A MOTION TO COMPEL

PRIOR TO NOVEMBER 16TH SIMPLY BECAUSE ONLY THREE CUSTODIANS

HAVE BEEN SEARCHED.

IN THOSE DOCUMENTS THERE ARE PEOPLE THERE WHO HAVE SAID -IT WILL SAY JOHN SMITH JUST BY WAY OF ILLUSTRATION. JOHN SMITH
IS A PERSON WHO KNOWS ABOUT THESE DOCUMENTS BEST, BUT THEN JOHN
SMITH'S DOCUMENTS WEREN'T SEARCHED.

GIVEN A MOTION TO COMPEL SIMILAR TO THE ONE WE HAD FILED LAST YEAR, BUT, OF COURSE, CRAFTING IT AROUND THE SURVIVING CLAIMS AND CRAFTING IT AROUND JUDGE COUSINS'S PRACTICES, WE WILL PROPOSE GETTING THAT ON FILE SOONER THAN LATER SIMPLY BECAUSE THERE ARE SO MANY IMPORTANT ISSUES, AND WE WOULD ALSO LIKE TO HAVE A RULING, FOR EXAMPLE, ON THE CONFIDENTIALITY

1 10:27AM 2 10:27AM 3 10:27AM 10:27AM 4 10:27AM 10:27AM 6 10:27AM 10:27AM 8 10:27AM 9 10:27AM 10 10:27AM 11 10:27AM 12 10:27AM 13 10:27AM 14 10:27AM 15 10:28AM 16 17 10:28AM 10:28AM 18 10:28AM 19 10:28AM 20 10:28AM 21 10:28AM 22 10:28AM 23 10:28AM 24 10:28AM 25

DESIGNATIONS SO THAT IF AND WHEN WE GET PAST THE MOTION TO
DISMISS WE'RE ABLE TO AT THAT POINT IMMEDIATELY NOTICE
DEPOSITIONS IF WE HAVE FURTHER DOCUMENTS.

HOLDING UP THE PROCESS BETWEEN NOW AND NOVEMBER DOES NOT

MEAN WE CAN THEN HIT THE GROUND RUNNING ON NOVEMBER 17TH, THE

DAY AFTER THE HEARING, BECAUSE THAT WOULD MEAN WE WOULD THEN

HAVE TO FILE OUR MOTION ON NOVEMBER 17TH AND THERE WOULD BE

MOTION PRACTICE AND KNOW WHEN JUDGE COUSINS IS AVAILABLE. THAT

MAY PUSH OFF DISCOVERY YET ANOTHER SIX MONTHS AND EVEN AFTER

YOUR HONOR RULES. SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO GET THAT MOTION

PRACTICE STARTED NOW EVEN THOUGH WE'RE NOT WILLING TO NOT DO

DEPOSITIONS AND NOT DO INTERROGATORIES AND NOT DO RFA'S IN THE

INTERIM.

THE COURT: WELL, WHAT DOES THAT -- THANK YOU. WHAT DO YOU SEE THAT COMPRISING? I MEAN, TO MR. BROWN'S POINT, SHOULD THEY BE BURDENED WITH A WHOLE HOST OF OTHER DISCOVERY MOTIONS NOTWITHSTANDING YOUR REQUEST FOR JUST THESE DISCRETE HELP PAGE INFORMATIONS? WHAT DOES THAT -- IS THIS OPENING A PANDORA'S BOX HERE?

MR. STRAITE: I DON'T THINK SO, YOUR HONOR. WE'RE REALLY ASKING THAT FACEBOOK ACTUALLY RESPOND TO THE DOCUMENTS THAT WE ASKED FOR FIVE YEARS AGO AND THAT WE HAVE HAD MEET AND CONFERS WITH THREE YEARS AGO.

FACEBOOK HAS NOT PRODUCED A SINGLE DOCUMENT IN MORE THAN A YEAR AND A HALF. THEY HAVE SIMPLY REFUSED TO PARTICIPATE IN

10:	:28AM	1
10:	:28AM	2
10:	:28AM	3
10:	:28AM	4
10:	:28AM	5
10:	:28AM	6
10:	:28AM	7
10:	:28AM	8
10:	:28AM	9
10:	:28AM	10
10:	:29AM	11
10:	:29AM	12
10:	:29AM	13
10:	:29AM	14
10:	:29AM	15
10:	:29AM	16
10:	:29AM	17
10:	:29AM	18
10:	:29AM	19
10:	:29AM	20
10:	:29AM	21
10:	:29AM	22
10:	:29AM	23
10:	:29AM	24
10:	:29AM	25

DISCOVERY DURING THE PENDENCY OF A MOTION TO STAY WHICH WAS
EVENTUALLY DENIED. WE CAN'T FIND A SINGLE CASE THAT GIVES A
PARTY TO UNILATERALLY GRANT ITSELF A STAY WHILE THEIR MOTION TO
STAY IS PENDING.

THE COURT: SO THE INFORMATION YOU NEED NOW WOULD BE RELATED, AGAIN, TO THE HELP PAGES AND THE CONTRACT ISSUE, NOT THE BROAD ISSUES THAT PREVIOUSLY WERE IN THE CASE?

MR. STRAITE: YES AND NO, YOUR HONOR. CERTAINLY WE WOULD NOT -- NO LONGER SEEK DISCOVERY RELATED TO ANY DISMISSED CLAIM, SOLELY RELATED TO THAT DISMISSED CLAIM.

HOWEVER, THE QUESTION IN THE CONTRACT CLAIM IS DID

FACEBOOK PROMISE THAT THEY WOULD NOT MONITOR POST-BROWSING

LOGOUT AND DID THEY IN FACT BREACH THAT CONTRACT OR BREACH THAT

IMPLIED TERM OR BREACH THE IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND

FAIR DEALING?

SO MOST OF THE DOCUMENTS WE REQUESTED BEFORE REGARDING WHETHER OR NOT IT ACTUALLY HAPPENED WILL STILL BE RELEVANT SO THERE'S A SIGNIFICANT CHUNK OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT WE REQUESTED BEFORE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD THAT ARE STILL RELEVANT.

WE OFFERED TO MEET AND CONFER LAST WEEK WITH MATT

REGARDING THE SCOPE OF THOSE DOCUMENT REQUESTS, WHICH ONE WE

CAN CUT OUT. MATT SUGGESTED IT WOULD BE MORE EFFICIENT TO HAVE

THAT MEET AND CONFER AFTER TODAY'S HEARING AND SO WE DEFERRED

THAT CONVERSATION FURTHER. SO I KNOW NOTHING FURTHER SPECIFIC

10:29AM	1
10:29AM	2
10:29AM	3
10:29AM	4
10:29AM	5
10:29AM	6
10:30AM	7
10:30AM	8
10:30AM	9
10:30AM	10
10:30AM	11
10:30AM	12
10:30AM	13
10:30AM	14
10:30AM	15
10:30AM	16
10:30AM	17
10:30AM	18
10:30AM	19
10:30AM	20
10:30AM	21
10:30AM	22
10:30AM	23
10:30AM	24
10:30AM	25

BECAUSE WE WERE NOT ABLE TO MEET AND CONFER LAST WEEK.

MR. BROWN: YEAH, WE HAVE NOT REFUSED TO DO

ANYTHING. WE HAVE -- WE RESPONDED TO THEIR RFP'S WITH SOME

OBJECTIONS AS WELL AS COMMITMENTS TO PRODUCE CERTAIN CATEGORIES

OF THINGS. THEY DIDN'T ALWAYS SEE EYE TO EYE WITH OUR

RESPONSES. WE HAD EXTENSIVE MEET AND CONFERS, AND THAT

RESULTED THEN IN THE MOTION TO COMPEL THAT THEY FILED.

SO ALL OF THE ISSUES THERE HAVE BEEN CRYSTALLIZED. IT'S NOT AS THOUGH WE HAVE BEEN REFUSING TO DO ANYTHING. IT'S JUST THAT THE PARTIES WERE AT LOGGERHEADS ON WHETHER WE WOULD HAVE ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS OR NOT.

AND I WOULD TELL YOU, FOR INSTANCE, THAT WE WERE WILLING
TO COLLECT FROM ADDITIONAL CUSTODIANS IF THEY HAD BEEN
REASONABLE IN MEET AND CONFERS, BUT THEY INSTEAD TOOK A VERY
HARDLINE POSITION THAT THERE WERE THESE 20-PLUS ADDITIONAL
CUSTODIANS THAT WE HAD TO COLLECT FROM WHICH WAS JUST A
NONSTARTER.

SO THERE'S A WHOLE HISTORY HERE, AND I FEEL LIKE WE'RE LITIGATING A MOTION TO COMPEL IN AN ODD WAY AT THIS CMC, BUT THERE'S A LONG HISTORY HERE. AND I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE RECORD IS CLEAR THAT WE HAVE NOT BEEN SORT OF REFUSING TO DO ANYTHING. IT'S JUST THAT ALL OF THE ISSUES HAVE ALREADY BEEN CRYSTALLIZED.

MY CONCERN IS THAT, I MEAN, THERE'S GOING TO BE NO WAY

THAT WE CAN GET MOTIONS TO COMPEL BRIEFED AND HEARD AND IF THE

1 10:31AM 2 10:31AM 3 10:31AM 10:31AM 10:31AM 10:31AM 6 10:31AM 7 10:31AM 8 10:31AM 9 10:31AM 10 10:31AM 11 10:31AM 12 10:31AM 13 10:31AM 14 10:31AM 15 10:31AM 16 10:31AM 17 10:31AM 18 10:31AM 19 10:31AM 20 10:31AM 21 10:32AM 22 10:32AM 23 10:32AM 24 10:32AM 25

MOTION TO COMPEL IS GRANTED IN PART AND IN OUR FAVOR, ACTUALLY COLLECT AND REVIEW AND PRODUCE DOCUMENTS BEFORE AUGUST 25TH WHICH IS WHEN THEIR COMPLAINT IS DUE.

SO ALL I'M SAYING IS THAT I HEAR YOUR HONOR ON THE HELP

CENTER PAGE ISSUE. THAT WOULD GIVE THEM WHAT THEY SAY THEY

NEED IN ORDER TO FILE THEIR COMPLAINT, BUT THERE'S REALLY VERY

LITTLE BURDEN ON THE PLAINTIFFS TO SIMPLY WAIT UNTIL YOUR HONOR

RULES ON THE NEXT ROUND OF MOTION TO DISMISS AND SEE IF

ANYTHING ACTUALLY GETS THROUGH BEFORE WE UNDERTAKE ALL OF THIS

OTHER BURDEN AND DOCUMENT PRODUCTION.

MR. STRAITE: AND, YOUR HONOR, I THINK MATT HIT ON A VERY IMPORTANT POINT. THE IDEA OF BRIEFING TWO BRIEFS IN PARALLEL IS THE BURDEN THAT HE'S REFERRING TO, AND I THINK HE'S RIGHT.

AND IF IT WOULD HELP YOUR HONOR, WE ARE WILLING TO NOT FILE A MOTION TO COMPEL UNTIL SEPTEMBER 8TH, AND THAT WAY HE'S NOT BRIEFING DOCUMENTS IN PARALLEL WITH THAT. WOULD THAT BE ACCEPTABLE?

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MR.}}$$ Brown: No, that was not quite what i was getting at.

THE COURT: A BIG SIGH OF RELIEF.

MR. STRAITE: IT WAS A BIG SIGH OF RELIEF. I'M
GUESSING ABOUT THE RELIEF PART.

THE COURT: WELL, I APPRECIATE THE POSITIONS THAT YOU'RE BOTH IN, AND I HOPE YOU APPRECIATE MINE. I'M TRYING TO

1 10:32AM 2 10:32AM 3 10:32AM 10:32AM 4 10:32AM 10:32AM 6 10:32AM 7 10:32AM 8 10:32AM 9 10:32AM 10 10:32AM 11 10:32AM 12 10:32AM 13 10:32AM 14 10:33AM 15 10:33AM 16 17 10:33AM 10:33AM 18 10:33AM 19 10:33AM 20 10:33AM 21 10:33AM 22 10:33AM 23 10:33AM 24 10:33AM 25

ASSIST YOU IN GETTING SOME CLARITY ON THE CASE, AND I THINK WE HAVE DONE THIS OVER THE LAST FOUR YEARS. THE CASE IS DOWN TO AT LEAST TWO DISCRETE ISSUES.

YOU'RE GOING TO CONTINUE TO MEET AND CONFER AS TO THE INFORMATION ON THE HELP CENTER PAGES THAT I THINK COULD ASSIST YOU AND INFORM YOU AS TO HOW AND HOW YOU SHOULD FILE YOUR THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE.

I THINK YOU KNOW MY POSITION. I'D LIKE YOU BOTH TO MEET AND CONFER AND TO PROVIDE AS MUCH AS YOU CAN, ASK FOR WHAT YOU NEED, AND I'M HOPING THAT FACEBOOK CAN PROVIDE AS MUCH AS YOU FEEL YOU CAN PROVIDE WITHOUT GOING TO JUDGE COUSINS AND TRYING TO GET ADDITIONAL DISCOVERIES.

I'M NOT GOING TO DISTURB THE TIMING THAT I JUST GAVE YOU.

I HOPE YOU CAN GET THIS DONE IN THAT TIME. AND I KNOW YOU'VE

BEEN COLLEAGUE OPPOSITES HERE FOR QUITE SOME TIME AND WORKING

TOGETHER ON THIS CASE, AND I APPRECIATE YOUR CONTINUED EFFORTS

IN THAT REGARD.

MR. STRAITE: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. STRAITE: IS THERE IS ONE -- A COUPLE OF HOUSEKEEPING ISSUES.

THE COURT: YES.

MR. STRAITE: IN THE DRAFT CMC STATEMENT THAT WE CIRCULATED TO FACEBOOK, I NEGLECTED TO NOTIFY YOU OF TWO SMALL ADDITIONAL MOTIONS THAT WILL BE COMING YOUR WAY. YOU KNOW THAT

10:33AM	1	THEY'RE UPWARDS OF 20 OR 30 INDIVIDUAL CASES THAT WERE
10:33AM	2	CONSOLIDATED HERE. COUNSEL WERE APPOINTED TO LEADERSHIP ROLES,
10:33AM	3	AND WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH THEM, BUT THERE ARE TWO PLAINTIFFS,
10:33AM	4	I UNDERSTAND, IN TWO CONSOLIDATED CASES REPRESENTED BY TWO
10:33AM	5	DIFFERENT FIRMS THAT WE'VE NEVER WORKED WITH, AND WE HAVE NEVER
10:33AM	6	GIVEN THEM WORK FOR REASONS UNRELATED TO THE MERITS OF THE
10:33AM	7	CASE. THEY'VE ASKED ME IF IT WOULD BE OKAY TO WITHDRAW AND
10:33AM	8	I'LL FACILITATE THAT. AND I JUST WANTED TO GIVE YOU A HEADS UP
10:33AM	9	THAT THESE MIGHT BE COMING IN THE NEXT WEEK OR TWO OR THREE,
10:33AM	10	BUT THEY'RE NOT RELATED TO THE MERITS.
10:33AM	11	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE THAT.
10:34AM	12	ANYTHING FURTHER?
10:34AM	13	MR. BROWN: NOTHING FROM ME.
10:34AM	14	THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. GOOD SEEING YOU
10:34AM	15	BOTH.
10:34AM	16	MR. STRAITE: THANK YOU.
10:34AM	17	MR. BROWN: THANK YOU.
10:34AM	18	THE CLERK: COURT IS ADJOURNED.
10:34AM	19	(COURT CONCLUDED AT 10:34 A.M.)
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY: THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER. IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, RMR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074 DATED: JULY 31, 2017 2.0