1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION
4	
5	IN RE: FACEBOOK ) C-10-00824-EJD
6	INTERNET TRACKING ) MARCH 30, 2012
7	LITIGATION. )
8	) ) PAGES 1 - 32
9	) )
10	) )
12	THE PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD BEFORE
13	THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
14	JUDGE EDWARD J. DAVILA
15	APPEARANCES:
16	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: HILLS, CLARK, MARTIN & PETERSON
17	BY: MICHAEL R. SCOTT 1221 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 500
18	SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 9101
19	TOSTRUD LAW GROUP BY: JON A. TOSTRUD
20	AVENUE OF THE STARS, SUITE 200 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067
21	GLANCY, BINKOW & GOLDBERG
22	BY: MARC L. GODINO 1925 CENTURY PARK EAST
23	SUITE 2100 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067
24	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)
25	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074
	1

1	APPEARANCES:	(CONT'D)
2		
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	
4		BRONSTER HOSHIBATA BY: MARGERY S. BRONSTER
5		2300 PAUAHI TOWER 1003 BISHOP STREET
6		HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813
7		KIESEL, BOUCHER & LARSON BY: PAUL R. KIESEL
8		8648 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA
9		90211
10		WILLOUGHBY DOYLE
11		BY: CONAL DOYLE 433 NORTH CAMDEN DRIVE
12		SUITE 730
13		BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA 90210
14		OTANNI 6 OEDATED
15		SIANNI & STRAITE BY: DAVID A. STRAITE 1201 N. ORANGE STREET
16		SUITE 740 WILM, DETROIT 19801
17		WILM, DEIROII 19001
18		BARTIMUS, FRICKELTON, ROBERTSON & GORNY
19		BY: MARY D. WINTER THOMAS FRICKELTON
20		EDWARD D. ROBERTSON, III
21		EDWARD D. ROBERTSON, JR. STEPHEN M. GORNY
22		11150 OVERBROOK ROAD SUITE 200
23		LEAWOOD, KANSAS 66211
24	(APPEARANCES CONTI	NUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)
25		
		2

1	APPEARANCES:	(CONT'D)
2		
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	BERGMANIS LAW FIRM BY: ANDREW S. LYSKOWSKI
4		380 W. U.S. HIGHWAY 54 SUITE 201
5		P.O. BOX 229 CAMDENTON, MISSOURI 65020
6		,
7		BARNES & ASSOCIATES BY: JAY O. BARNES
8		RANDALL O. BARNES 219 E. DUNKLIN STREET
9		SUITE A
10		JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65101
11		
12		BRYANT LAW CENTER BY: MARK P. BRYANT
13		601 WASHINGTON STREET P.O. BOX 1876
14		PADUCAH, KENTUCKY 42002
15		GOLDENBERG, HELLER,
16		ANTOGNOLI & ROWLAND BY: MARK C. GOLDENBERG
17		2227 SOUTH STATE ROUTE 157 P.O. BOX 959
18		EDWARDSVILLE, ILLINOIS 62025
19		
20		ELIZABETH CUNNINGHAM THOMAS BY: ELIZABETH THOMAS P.O. BOX 8946
21		MISSOULA, MONTANA 59807
22		
23		BISHOP, LONDON & DODDS BY: ALICE LONDON
24		3701 BEE CAVE ROAD SUITE 200
25	(1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	AUSTIN, TEXAS 78746
	(APPEARANCES CONTI	NUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)

1	APPEARANCES:	(CONT'D)
2		
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	MANDELL, SCHWARTZ & BOISCLAIR
4		BY: HEATHER BONNET-HEBERT ONE PARK ROW
5		PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND 02903
6		
7		BRONSTER HOSHIBATA BY: ROBERT M. HATCH
8		2300 PAUGHI TOWER 1003 BISHOP STREET
9		HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813
10		BURNS, CUNNINGHAM & MACKEY
11		BY: PETER S. MACKEY WILLIAM M. CUNNINGHAM, JR.
12		50 SAINT EMANUEL STREET P.O. BOX 1583
13		MOBILE, ALABAMA 36633
14		MURPHY, FALCON, KUYKENDALL,
15		RAVENELL & MURPHY BY: WILLIAM H. MURPHY, JR.
16		ONE SOUTH STREET, 23RD FLOOR
17		BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21202
18		MEYER & LEONARD BY: HENRY A. MEYER, III
19		116 E. SHERIDAN, SUITE 207 OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA
20		73104
21		INM OFFICE OF DAVID CHEIMON
22		LAW OFFICE OF DAVID SHELTON BY: DAVID SHELTON
23		P.O. BOX 2541 1223 JACKSON AVENUE E
24		SUITE 202 OXFORD, MISSISSIPPI 38655
25	(APPEARANCES CONTI	NUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)
		A

1	
2	APPEARANCES: (CONT'D)
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
4	EICHEN, CRUTCHLOW, ZASLOW &
5	MCELROY BY: BARRY R. EICHEN
6	40 ETHEL ROAD EDISON, NEW JERSEY 08817
7	
8	KEEFE BARTELS BY: STEPHEN G. GRYGIEL 170 MONMOUTH STREET
9	RED BANK, NEW JERSEY 07701
10	HYMEL, DAVIS & PETERSEN
11	BY: JOSEPH E. BLACKWELL 10602 COURSEY BOULEVARD
12	BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70816
13	METZ, BAILEY & MCLOUGHLIN
14	BY: MICHAEL K. FULTZ 33 EAST SCHROCK ROAD
15	WESTERVILLE, OHIO 43081
16	CEDONC CADNED DALLED
17	STRONG-GARNER-BAUER BY: GRANT RAHMEYER
18	415 E. CHESTNUT EXPRESSWAY SPRINGFIELD, MISSOURI 65802
19	DDIAN I CAMPDELL
20	BRIAN L. CAMPBELL BY: BRIAN L. CAMPBELL
21	P.O. BOX 189 PEA RIDGE, ARIZONA 72751
22	/
23	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)
24	
25	
	_

1	APPEARANCES: (0	CONT'D)
2		
3	FOR DEFENDANT FACEBOOK:	
4 5		COOLEY, GODWARD & KRONISH BY: JEFFREY GUTKIN MATTHEW D. BROWN
5		MATTHEW D. BROWN  101 CALIFORNIA STREET  5TH FLOOR
7		SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA MARCH 30, 2012
2	
3	PROCEEEDINGS
4	(WHEREUPON, COURT CONVENED AND THE
5	FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)
13:48:36 6	THE CLERK: CALLING MDL ACTION 12-2314, IN
13:48:40 7	RE: FACEBOOK INTERNET TRACKING LITIGATION.
13:48:46 8	THE COURT: WHY DON'T I START WITH
13:48:48 9	WELCOMING YOU ALL HERE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
13:48:50 10	I'M GLAD YOU GOT THROUGH OUR METAL
13:48:53 11	DETECTOR PROPERLY.
13:48:54 12	WE'RE NOT WAITING FOR ANYONE THAT WAS
13:48:56 13	DELAYED AT THE METAL DETECTOR I TRUST? GOOD.
13:48:59 14	WELL, WHY DON'T WE BEGIN BY HAVING YOUR
13:49:02 15	APPEARANCES, PLEASE. WHY DON'T WE DO THAT FOR THE
13:49:05 16	RECORD.
13:49:05 17	SO LET ME AGAIN WELCOME YOU TO COURT.
13:49:09 18	AND WHO IS GOING TO BE BRAVE AND GO FIRST?
13:49:13 19	MR. ROBERTSON: MAY IT PLEASE THE COURT,
13:49:15 20	CHIP ROBERTSON ON BEHALF OF THE THOMPSON
13:49:17 21	PLAINTIFFS.
13:49:17 22	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
13:49:18 23	MR. ROBERTSON: THANK YOU. GOOD
13:49:20 24	AFTERNOON.
13:49:20 25	THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON.

13:49:22 1	MR. FRICKLETON: YOUR HONOR, JIM
13:49:25 2	FRICKLETON ALSO FOR THE THOMPSON PLAINTIFFS.
13:49:28 3	MR. STRAITE: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
13:49:30 4	DAVID STRAITE ON BEHALF OF THE DAVIS PLAINTIFFS.
13:49:35 5	MR. KIESEL: AND GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR
13:49:36 6	HONOR. PAUL KIESEL FOR THE DAVIS PLAINTIFFS.
13:49:39 7	MR. GRYGIEL: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
13:49:40 8	STEVE GRYGIEL ON BEHALF OF THE DAVIS PLAINTIFFS.
13:49:42 9	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:49:47 10	MR. EICHEN: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
13:49:48 11	BARRY EICHEN ON BEHALF OF THE DAVIS PLAINTIFFS.
13:49:50 12	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:49:53 13	MR. EICHEN: THANK YOU.
13:49:54 14	MR. CUNNINGHAM: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR
13:49:54 15	HONOR. WILLIAM H. MURPHY, JR., ON DAVIS
13:49:58 16	PLAINTIFFS.
13:49:58 17	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:50:00 18	MR. CUNNINGHAM: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR
13:50:01 19	HONOR. BILL CUNNINGHAM ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS.
13:50:04 20	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:50:09 21	MR. GORNY: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
13:50:09 22	I'M STEVE GORNY, AND I REPRESENT PLAINTIFF JOHN
13:50:12 23	GRAHAM.
13:50:12 24	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:50:13 25	MS. BONNET-HEBERT: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR

13:50:19 1	HONOR. HEATHER BONNET-HEBERT, AND I REPRESENT THE
13:50:23 2	STRAVATO PLAINTIFFS.
13:50:24 3	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:50:28 4	MS. WINTER: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
13:50:29 5	MARY WINTER ON BEHALF OF THE THOMPSON PLAINTIFFS.
13:50:32 6	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:50:37 7	MR. MEYER: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
13:50:39 8	HANK MEYER ON BEHALF OF THE THOMPSON PLAINTIFFS.
13:50:40 9	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:50:43 10	MS. BRONSTER: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR
13:50:43 11	HONOR. MARGERY BRONSTER ON BEHALF OF THE QUINN
13:50:46 12	PLAINTIFFS.
13:50:46 13	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:50:49 14	MR. BRYANT: HELLO, YOUR HONOR. I'M MARK
13:50:51 15	BRYANT ON BEHALF OF THE HOFFMAN PLAINTIFFS.
13:50:55 16	MR. DOYLE: CONAL DOYLE ON BEHALF OF LANA
13:50:57 17	BRKIC.
13:50:57 18	THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:50:58 19	MR. ROBERTSON: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR
13:50:59 20	HONOR. EDWARD ROBERTSON ON BEHALF OF JOHN GRAHAM.
13:51:01 21	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
13:51:03 22	MR. GOLDENBERG: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR
13:51:03 23	HONOR. MARK GOLDENBERG ON BEHALF OF THE
13:51:06 24	PLAINTIFFS.
13:51:06 25	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.

13:51:08 1	MS. LONDON: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
13:51:09 2	ALICE LONDON. I'M CURRENTLY HERE ON BEHALF OF MIKE
13:51:13 3	SINGLEY WHO HAS A MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE IN SO THAT I
13:51:15 4	WILL BE REPRESENTING JANE PEDDICORD.
13:51:18 5	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:51:21 6	MR. HATCH: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
13:51:22 7	ROBERT HATCH ON BEHALF OF THE QUINN PLAINTIFFS.
13:51:25 8	MR. STRAITE: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
13:51:26 9	DAVID SHELTON FROM MISSISSIPPI AND HERE FOR
13:51:30 10	PLAINTIFF QUINN.
13:51:31 11	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:51:34 12	MR. LYSKOWSKI: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR
13:51:35 13	HONOR. ANDREW LYSKOWSKI ON BEHALF OF THE THOMPSON
13:51:37 14	PLAINTIFFS.
13:51:37 15	THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:51:39 16	MR. BARNES: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
13:51:40 17	RANDALL BARNES ON BEHALF OF THE THOMPSON
13:51:42 18	PLAINTIFFS.
13:51:43 19	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:51:43 20	MR. SCOTT: GOOD AFTERNOON. MICHAEL
13:51:46 21	SCOTT FOR THE PLAINTIFFS.
13:51:49 22	MR. MACKEY: PETER MACKEY AND I'M HERE
13:51:50 23	REPRESENTING PARRISH.
13:51:52 24	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:51:53 25	MS. THOMAS: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.

13:51:53 1	ELIZABETH THOMAS.
13:52:00 2	MR. BARNES: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
13:52:00 3	JAY BARNES ON BEHALF OF THE THOMPSON PLAINTIFFS.
13:52:02 4	THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:52:06 5	MR. GODINO: MARC GODINO ON BEHALF OF THE
13:52:06 6	PLAINTIFF.
13:52:10 7	MR. TOSTRUD: JOHN TOSTRUD ON BEHALF OF
13:52:11 8	THE KHANG PLAINTIFFS.
13:52:12 9	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:52:13 10	WHO REPRESENTS THE DEFENSE?
13:52:16 11	MR. BROWN: IT'S FINALLY MY TURN.
13:52:17 12	MATTHEW BROWN WITH COOLEY FOR FACEBOOK.
13:52:21 13	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
13:52:23 14	MR. BROWN: AND I MIGHT ADD BEFORE WE
13:52:25 15	MAKE OUR APPEARANCE, WE ALSO HAVE SANDEEP,
13:52:30 16	S-A-N-D-E-E-P, SOLANKI, S-O-L-A-N-K-I, FOR THE
13:52:35 17	COMPANY AND HE'S NOT MAKING AN APPEARANCE.
13:52:37 18	THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE.
13:52:40 19	MR. GUTKIN: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
13:52:41 20	JEFF GUTKIN ALSO FROM COOLEY AND ALSO APPEARING FOR
13:52:45 21	FACEBOOK.
13:52:45 22	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:52:47 23	AND WE HAVE SOME APPEARANCES
13:52:48 24	TELEPHONICALLY.
13:52:51 25	DO WE HAVE ANY APPEARANCES

13:52:52 1	TELEPHONICALLY?
13:52:55 2	MR. RAHMEYER: GRANT RAHMEYER ON BEHALF
13:52:56 3	OF PLAINTIFF STEPHANIE CAMPBELL.
13:52:58 4	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:53:01 5	MR. BLACKWELL: JOSEPH BLACKWELL ON
13:53:01 6	BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF JANET SEAMON.
13:53:03 7	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON.
13:53:06 8	MR. CAMPBELL: BRIAN CAMPBELL ON BEHALF
13:53:07 9	OF STEPHANIE CAMPBELL.
13:53:13 10	MR. FULTZ: MICHAEL FULTZ ON BEHALF OF
13:53:15 11	PATRICK MALONEY.
13:53:16 12	THE COURT: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON
13:53:17 13	EVERYONE. I THINK THAT'S EVERYONE TELEPHONICALLY.
13:53:19 14	GREAT. ARE THERE ANY LET ME ASK, ARE
13:53:22 15	THERE ANY PRO SE PLAINTIFFS PRESENT IN THE
13:53:25 16	COURTROOM? I SEE OR HEAR NO RESPONSE.
13:53:33 17	LET ME TALK JUST FOR A MOMENT ABOUT WHAT
13:53:36 18	I WOULD LIKE TO ACCOMPLISH WITH ALL OF YOU AND WITH
13:53:38 19	ALL OF YOUR ASSISTANCE.
13:53:39 20	WHAT I THOUGHT I WOULD DO THIS AFTERNOON
13:53:42 21	IS TO TREAT THE TWO GROUPS OF CASES SEPARATELY,
13:53:44 22	THAT IS, THE MDL AND THE RELATED TAG-ALONG CASES
13:53:51 23	AND THE PRO SE CASES. I WANT TO TREAT THOSE
13:53:53 24	SEPARATELY FOR PURPOSES OF THIS AFTERNOON.
13:53:55 25	WHAT I INTEND TO DO IS, AS IS NECESSARY,

WE'LL COORDINATE PROCEEDINGS BETWEEN THESE TWO 13:53:58 1 GROUPS OF CASES SO AS TO, I'M SURE YOU'RE ALL 13:54:01 2 INTERESTED IN TO PROMOTE EFFICIENCY FOR ALL OF YOUR 13:54:04 3 13:54:07 4 CLIENTS AND THE PARTIES IN THE COURTROOM. NOW, FIRST, FOR OUR CMC THIS AFTERNOON, 13:54:09 5 WHICH IS WHAT I CONSIDER THIS TO BE, I'D LIKE TO 13:54:12 6 13:54:16 7 ADDRESS THE MDL CASES AND THEN I'LL LOOK SECONDARILY TO THE PRO SE CASES AND WE'LL TALK 13:54:19 8 ABOUT WHAT WE'LL DO WITH THOSE. 13:54:25 9 THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT, HOWEVER, IT IS 13:54:26 10 13:54:28 11 1:52 IN THE AFTERNOON AND THIS MATTER WAS 13:54:31 12 CALENDARED FOR 1:30. ALL PARTIES HAVE MADE THEIR 13:54:35 13 APPEARANCES. AGAIN, ARE THERE ANY PRO SE PARTIES, 13:54:35 14 PLAINTIFFS PRESENT IN THE COURTROOM? I SEE OR HEAR 13:54:40 15 13:54:42 16 NO RESPONSE. 13:55:00 17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. I'D LIKE TO HANDLE THIS MDL CASE IN PERHAPS FOUR PHASES 13:55:02 18 13:55:05 19 INITIALLY, AND I'D LIKE TO ARTICULATE THOSE FOR 13:55:08 20 YOU. 13:55:09 21 FIRST OF ALL, I'D LIKE TO DEAL WITH THE ISSUES OF CONSOLIDATION, APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM 13:55:10 22 13:55:14 23 LEAD COUNSEL FOR THE CLASS; NEXT I'D LIKE TO MOVE TO PHASE 2, WHICH 13:55:19 24 WOULD INVOLVE INITIAL CASE SCHEDULE; AND, 13:55:22 25

WE WOULD MOVE TO PHASE 3 INVOLVING MOTION 13:55:24 1 PRACTICE AND DISCOVERY; 13:55:27 2 AND THEN PHASE 4, WHICH WOULD INVOLVE 13:55:28 3 PRETRIAL AND ANY TRIAL SCHEDULING ISSUES THAT ARE 13:55:31 4 ATTENDANT THERETO. 13:55:37 5 NOW, THIS AFTERNOON, I WOULD LIKE TO 13:55:38 6 DISCUSS THE PHASE 1, THE PHASE 1 ISSUE AND THIS IS 13:55:41 7 REGARDING SPECIFICALLY THE CONSOLIDATION MOTION. 13:55:45 8 13:55:47 9 I BELIEVE THE PLAINTIFFS IN THE DAVIS CASE FILED A MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE, AND I DO HAVE 13:55:49 10 THAT IN MY FILE AND I'M ASSUMING THAT ALL PARTIES 13:55:54 11 13:55:57 12 HAVE RECEIVED THAT AS WELL. LET ME ASK AT THIS TIME ARE THERE ANY 13:55:58 13 OBJECTIONS TO THE MOTION REGARDING CONSOLIDATION? 13:56:00 14 DOES ANYONE HAVE ANY MOTION I SHOULD HEAR FROM YOU 13:56:07 15 13:56:09 16 NOW? I SEE OR HEAR NO RESPONSE. WHAT I INTEND TO DO THEN IS TO 13:56:16 17 13:56:18 18 CONSOLIDATE THE CASES AND THE ORDER THAT I WILL 13:56:21 19 ISSUE INVOLVED IN THE DAVIS MOTION. 13:56:24 20 NOW, THE SECOND ISSUE I'D LIKE TO TALK 13:56:26 21 ABOUT IS ONE THAT MIGHT BE OF INTEREST TO ALL OF 13:56:31 22 YOU AND THAT IS THE APPOINTMENT OF AN INTERIM LEAD 13:56:34 23 COUNSEL. NOW, I BELIEVE THAT PLAINTIFFS IN THE 13:56:34 24 DAVIS CASE FILED A PROPOSAL FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF 13:56:36 25

13:56:41 1	INTERIM LEAD COUNSEL AND A RELATED STRUCTURE OF
13:56:44 2	THAT PROCESS.
13:56:45 3	I THINK THERE WAS REFERENCE TO THE
13:56:50 4	PLAINTIFFS IN THE KHANG AND THAT'S AT 12-825 AND
13:56:55 5	POSSIBLY PLAINTIFFS IN 12-37, MAY NOT CONCUR IN
13:57:01 6	THAT PROCESS.
13:57:02 7	ARE THOSE PARTIES HERE?
13:57:02 8	MR. GODINO: YES, YOUR HONOR. MARC
13:57:07 9	GODINO, AND WE DO NOT OPPOSE THE LEADERSHIP
13:57:09 10	STRUCTURE.
13:57:10 11	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. ANYONE
13:57:11 12	ELSE? I'M SORRY, YOU WERE WITH WHAT CASE, SIR? I
13:57:14 13	BEG YOUR PARDON?
13:57:21 14	ANYONE SPEAKING FOR THAT?
13:57:26 15	MR. STRAITE: COUNSEL FOR CAROL, HE SAID
13:57:28 16	HE DID NOT OPPOSE THE STRUCTURE AND I SPOKE WITH
13:57:30 17	HIM AND HE SAID HE WOULD BE HERE THIS AFTERNOON,
13:57:32 18	AND I DON'T KNOW WHY HE IS NOT HERE AND BUT HE
13:57:36 19	AUTHORIZED US TO SAY THAT HE DID NOT OPPOSE THE
13:57:38 20	STRUCTURE.
13:57:39 21	THE COURT: CAN YOU IDENTIFY HIM?
13:57:40 22	MR. STRAITE: HIS NAME WAS CAROL.
13:57:48 23	MR. KIESEL: AND THAT WAS DAVID STRAITE.
13:57:49 24	MR. BROWN: MATTHEW BROWN FOR FACEBOOK.
13:57:52 25	WE DO NOT TAKE ANY POSITION ON STRUCTURE, BUT WE DO

SUPPORT CONSOLIDATION, HOWEVER. 13:57:56 1 THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR THAT. I 13:57:58 2 APPRECIATE THAT AND I THANK ALL OF YOU THEN. 13:57:59 3 13:58:01 4 I WILL ISSUE AN ORDER GRANTING THE RELIEF REOUESTED AND THAT IS CONSOLIDATING THE CASES AND 13:58:05 5 YOU'LL RECEIVE THAT. 13:58:08 6 THE NEXT QUESTION THAT COMES UP IS I'D 13:58:10 7 LIKE TO TALK ABOUT THE FILING OF A CONSOLIDATED 13:58:14 8 13:58:17 9 COMPLAINT. MR. STRAITE: YOUR HONOR, I'M SORRY TO 13:58:19 10 13:58:20 11 INTERRUPT. MAY I -- WE FILED -- YOUR HONOR, DAVID STRAITE, COUNSEL FOR THE DAVIS PLAINTIFFS AND 13:58:32 12 PROPOSED INTERIM LEAD COUNSEL. 13:58:34 13 WE FILED THE MOTION A FEW DAYS AGO WITH 13:58:35 14 THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE, WHICH INCLUDES THREE FORMER 13:58:38 15 STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL. WE NOW BELIEVE THAT THE 13:58:42 16 STRUCTURE IS BEST MODIFIED TO INCLUDE A FOURTH 13:58:45 17 FORMER STATE AG, AND I DON'T BELIEVE THAT YOU WILL 13:58:49 18 13:58:52 19 HAVE ANY OBJECTION FROM ANYONE IN THE ROOM IF THAT'S OKAY WE WOULD LIKE TO MODIFY THE ORDER. 13:58:54 20 13:58:56 21 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DO YOU WANT TO 13:58:57 22 IDENTIFY THAT INDIVIDUAL? MR. STRAITE: YES, PLEASE STAND. 13:58:59 23 MS. BRONSTER: I'M MARGERY BRONSTER. 13:59:01 24 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 13:59:04 25

MS. BRONSTER: AND THAT'S SPELLED 13:59:05 1 13:59:07 2 B-R-O-N-S-T-E-R. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. AND 13:59:08 3 IS THAT THE ONLY MODIFICATION THEN TO YOUR PROPOSED 13:59:12 4 13:59:15 5 STRUCTURE? MS. BRONSTER: CORRECT. OF COURSE WE 13:59:16 6 HAVE THE DATES THAT WE LEFT BLANK IN THE PROPOSED 13:59:16 7 13:59:19 8 ORDER BUT OTHERWISE THE STRUCTURE IS AS WE PROPOSE. 13:59:22 9 THE COURT: AND LET ME ASK THE REPRESENTATIVE BODY HERE, IS THERE ANY OBJECTION TO 13:59:23 10 13:59:25 11 THAT ADDITION? I SEE OR HEAR NONE. SO ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. 13:59:29 12 LET ME ASK ABOUT THE FILING OF A 13:59:33 13 CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT THEN AND MAY I HAVE YOUR 13:59:35 14 THOUGHTS ON THAT? 13:59:37 15 MR. KIESEL: WE DO, YOUR HONOR. PAUL 13:59:40 16 KIESEL FOR THE DAVIS PLAINTIFFS. WE HAVE AN 13:59:45 17 13:59:47 18 AGGRESSIVE SCHEDULE AND THE LESS AGGRESSIVE 13:59:50 19 SCHEDULE. THE LESS AGGRESSIVE SCHEDULE WOULD BE IN 30 DAYS WE WOULD PROPOSE TO FILE A CONSOLIDATED 13:59:53 20 COMPLAINT AND THAT WOULD BE AN APRIL 27TH DATE FOR 13:59:56 21 THE FILING OF THAT. 13:59:59 22 IF THE COURT WANTED TO GO ON A LESS 14:00:00 23 AGGRESSIVE SCHEDULE WE COULD DO MAY 29TH. I THINK 14:00:02 24 OUR PREFERENCE WOULD BE TO DO AN EARLIER SCHEDULE. 14:00:05 25

14:00:07 1 14:00:10 2 14:00:12 3 14:00:14 4 14:00:15 5 14:00:21 6 14:00:23 7 14:00:26 8 14:00:28 9 14:00:31 10 14:00:35 11 14:00:37 12 14:00:40 13 14:00:43 14 14:00:46 15 14:00:48 16 14:00:50 17 14:00:52 18 14:00:55 19 14:00:58 20 14:01:01 21 14:01:03 22 14:01:06 23 14:01:09 24

14:01:12 25

SO IF YOU ASKED WHEN WE COULD DO IT, WE COULD HAVE IT DONE WITHIN 30 DAYS, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: I SEE. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

MR. BROWN: MATTHEW BROWN FOR FACEBOOK.

SO THAT COMES AS A LITTLE BIT OF A SURPRISE TO ME
BECAUSE WE HAD MET AND CONFERRED IN THE COURSE OF
PREPARING THE JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND I
THOUGHT THAT THE POSITION THAT THE PARTIES HAD ALL
UNIFORMLY COME TO IS THAT THE PROPOSAL WOULD BE
60 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THE APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM
LEAD COUNSEL WOULD BE THE DEADLINE FOR THE FILING
OF THE CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT AND THEN THERE WOULD
BE A 60-DAY PERIOD FOR FACEBOOK TO FILE ITS
RESPONSE TO THE COMPLAINT AFTER THAT.

THE COURT: AND YOU SHOULD ASK YOUR COLLEAGUE OPPOSITE ABOUT THAT.

MR. KIESEL: YOUR HONOR, PAUL KIESEL.

THE 60 DAYS WAS MORE -- IT SEEMED LIKE IT COULD BE

DONE MUCH SOONER THAN 60 DAYS TO GET OUR

CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT ON FILE. WE CERTAINLY

DIDN'T WANT TO TAKE MORE TIME FROM YOU TO RESPOND

TO IT THOUGH WE FELT WE COULD GET IT DONE FASTER,

THOUGH WE'RE HAPPY TO TAKE 60 DAYS. I THOUGHT WE

COULD GET IT DONE SOONER, THAT'S ALL.

14:01:14 1 14:01:15 2 14:01:19 3 14:01:20 4 14:01:24 5 14:01:26 6 14:01:27 7 14:01:29 8 14:01:31 9 14:01:33 10 14:01:35 11 14:01:38 12 14:01:40 13 14:01:42 14 14:01:44 15 14:01:47 16 14:01:50 17 14:01:59 18 14:02:02 19 14:02:04 20 14:02:07 21 14:02:09 22 14:02:11 23 14:02:13 24

14:02:15 25

THE COURT: WAS THERE ANY OBJECTION TO

MR. BROWN: NO. IF THEY FEEL LIKE THEY
CAN GET IT ON FILE WITHIN 30 DAYS, AS LONG AS WE
CAN STILL HAVE THE 60 DAYS TO FILE THE RESPONSE,
THAT WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE TO US.

THE COURT: IS THERE ANY OBJECTION TO THE 60-DAY RESPONSIVE TIME?

MR. STRAITE: WE WOULD OBJECT TO THAT.

WE DISCUSSED WITH COUNSEL FOR FACEBOOK WHAT THE

SCHEDULE WOULD BE AND THE 60 DAYS WAS CONTEMPLATED

AS THE OUTSIDE LIMIT AND YOU'LL SEE THAT'S IN THE

JOINT CMC STATEMENT. THERE'S NOTHING WRONG WITH

THE 60 DAYS FOR EACH SIDE.

HOWEVER, IF WE WERE TO BE HELD TO OR A

MORE AGGRESSIVE SCHEDULE, WHICH WE HAVE NO PROBLEM

WITH, THAT WOULD IMPLY THAT THEY WOULD HAVE TO FILE

THEIR RESPONSE IN 30 DAYS AS WELL. IT WOULD BE

IMPROPER FOR US TO FILE THE AMENDED COMPLAINT

WITHIN 30 DAYS BUT THEN THEY WOULD HAVE TO HAVE

60 DAYS TO FILE THEIR MOTION TO DISMISS.

MR. BROWN: THIS IS OBVIOUSLY UP TO YOU,
YOUR HONOR. I WAS A LITTLE BIT TAKEN BY SURPRISE
SINCE WE HAD A SPECIFIC CONVERSATION ABOUT THIS AND
THE LANGUAGE IN THE JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

14:02:18 1 WAS DISCUSSED AND REVISED AND THAT'S WHAT I THOUGHT WE AGREED TO, BUT IT'S OBVIOUSLY UP TO YOU, YOUR 14:02:20 2 14:02:23 3 HONOR. 14:02:23 4 THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT YOU'RE PREPARED 14:02:24 5 14:02:28 6 TO FILE THIS COMPLAINT WITHIN 30 DAYS, AND I ALSO APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT YOU WOULD LIKE YOUR 14:02:32 7 COLLEAGUE OPPOSITES TO RESPOND IN THE SAME TIME 14:02:35 8 14:02:37 9 PERIOD. I'D LIKE TO GET THIS CASE STARTED OFF SO WE HAVE EVERYTHING WE NEED AT LEAST AS BEST AS 14:02:44 10 14:02:46 11 POSSIBLE IN THE INITIAL PLEADINGS. 14:02:47 12 SO I'M INCLINED TO ALLOW THE 60-DAY FILING. HOWEVER, YOU CAN FILE YOURS SOONER. YOU 14:02:51 13 14:02:55 14 CAN FILE THIS CONSOLIDATED SOONER. MR. STRAITE: YES, YOUR HONOR, WE CAN IN 14:02:58 15 14:02:59 16 THE SENSE THAT WE'RE ABLE TO. IS IT THE COURT'S PREFERENCE THAT WE FILE 14:03:00 17 14:03:02 18 IT SOONER? IF WE HAVE A 60-DAY SCHEDULE, WE WOULD 14:03:06 19 PROBABLY FILE ON OR ABOUT THE 60TH DAY TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE THE MOST COMPLETE COMPLAINT THAT WE 14:03:11 20 14:03:14 21 CAN. 14:03:21 22 THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE FROM THE DEFENSE ABOUT THIS? 14:03:23 23 MR. BROWN: NO, YOUR HONOR. 14:03:24 24 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO THANK YOU VERY 14:03:34 25

14:03:37 1 14:03:38 2 14:03:43 3 14:03:44 4 14:03:58 5 14:04:00 6 14:04:01 7 14:04:03 8 14:04:06 9 14:04:09 10 14:04:14 11 14:04:18 12 14:04:21 13 14:04:23 14 14:04:26 15 14:04:29 16 14:04:35 17 14:04:38 18 14:04:41 19 14:04:42 20 14:04:44 21 14:04:51 22 14:04:53 23 14:04:55 24

14:04:57 25

MUCH. WELL, I WOULD LIKE YOU TO FILE THIS

CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT WITHIN 45 DAYS. YOU KNEW

THAT WAS COMING, DIDN'T YOU?

MR. KIESEL: YEAH.

THE COURT: AND THERE WILL BE A 45-DAY OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND.

AND WHAT I WILL DO NEXT IS I WILL ISSUE

AN ORDER THAT HAS ANOTHER CMC SCHEDULE WHERE WE'LL

TAKE UP THE PHASE 2 ISSUES THAT I TALKED ABOUT,

WHICH WOULD INCLUDE THE INITIAL CASE SCHEDULING AND

THOSE ISSUES ATTENDANT.

BEFORE ANYONE LEAVES, I DO WANT TO TAKE UP THE PRO SE CASES BECAUSE I THINK THAT HAS SOME IMPACT ON WHAT ALL OF YOU ARE DOING AS WELL.

AGAIN, LET ME ASK, ARE THERE ANY PRO SE INDIVIDUALS PRESENT IN THIS LITIGATION IN THE COURTROOM? I SEE OR HEAR NO RESPONSE. IT'S NOW TWO MINUTES AFTER 2:00 AND THIS IS THE 1:30 CALLING OF THIS CALENDAR.

COUNSEL, DO YOU WISH TO BE HEARD?

MR. BROWN: WELL, ON THE PRO SE CASES, I

THINK ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT WAS TEED UP IN THE

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT THAT WE FILED WAS JUST A

TIMING OF THE RESPONSE TO THE VARIOUS COMPLAINTS.

I GUESS WHAT I WOULD PROPOSE IS THAT WE

14:05:03 1 14:05:12 2 14:05:16 3 14:05:18 4 14:05:21 5 14:05:24 6 14:05:28 7 14:05:30 8 14:05:34 9 14:05:37 10 14:05:40 11 14:05:43 12 14:05:44 13 14:05:46 14 14:05:49 15 14:05:52 16 14:05:57 17 14:05:59 18 14:06:01 19 14:06:05 20 14:06:08 21 14:06:11 22 14:06:13 23 14:06:16 24

14:06:20 25

BE ALLOWED TO FILE ONE RESPONSE TO ALL OF THE PRO SE COMPLAINTS, ALL OF THE OPERATIVE COMPLAINTS.

AND IT SEEMS TO ME WHAT PROBABLY MAKES

SENSE, AND I'LL BE HAPPY TO EXPLAIN MY THINKING

MORE ON THIS, BUT IT SEEMS TO ME TO MAKE MORE SENSE

IF WE FILE A RESPONSE TO THE CONSOLIDATED MDL

COMPLAINT FIRST AND THEN HAVE OUR RESPONSE TO THE

PRO SE COMPLAINTS JUST TRAIL THAT BY A LITTLE BIT,

WHETHER IT'S TWO WEEKS OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. I

JUST -- IT SEEMS TO ME TO KIND OF MAKE LOGICAL

SENSE AND FROM AN EFFICIENCY STANDPOINT MAKE THE

MOST SENSE.

THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR THAT. I SHOULD OBSERVE THAT ONE OF THE PRO SE CASES WAS PREVIOUSLY VENUED WITH MY COLLEAGUE IN SAN FRANCISCO, JUDGE WHITE, AND HE HAD ISSUED AN ORDER. I DON'T HAVE THE NAME OF THAT PERSON IN FRONT OF ME JUST NOW.

HE HAD ISSUED AN ORDER WHEN THE CASE WAS
IN FRONT OF HIM ORDERING THAT PLAINTIFF TO FILE
PLEADINGS BY A DATE CERTAIN AND IN ABSENCE OF THAT
HE INDICATED IN HIS ORDER THAT HE WAS GOING TO
DISMISS THAT COMPLAINT.

THE MATTER WAS TRANSFERRED TO ME

YESTERDAY I BELIEVE IT WAS. I FILED AND SIGNED THE

ORDER DISMISSING THE COMPLAINT FOR LACK OF

14:06:21 1 14:06:23 2 14:06:26 3 14:06:31 4 14:06:34 5 14:06:36 6 14:06:41 7 14:06:43 8 14:06:46 9 14:06:48 10 14:06:52 11 14:06:55 12 14:06:57 13 14:07:00 14 14:07:04 15 14:07:05 16 14:07:07 17 14:07:10 18 14:07:12 19 14:07:15 20 14:07:17 21 14:07:19 22 14:07:22 23 14:07:25 24

14:07:28 25

COMPLIANCE WITH JUDGE WHITE'S ORDER.

NOW, AS TO THE BALANCE OF THE PRO SES IN THIS MATTER, WHAT I INTEND TO DO, WHEN I LOOK AT THE FILES HERE, IT SEEMS THAT THE PLAINTIFFS, PRO SE PLAINTIFFS HAVE FAILED TO FILE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENTS AS REQUIRED BY LOCAL RULES.

I ALSO NOTE TODAY THAT THEY FAILED TO APPEAR. NONE OF THEM HAVE APPEARED THIS AFTERNOON.

SO WHAT I INTEND TO DO AS TO THOSE

INDIVIDUALS IS TO ISSUE AN OSC IN RE: DISMISSAL FOR

FAILURE TO PROSECUTE ALL OF THOSE CASES IN WHICH

THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE FAILED TO APPEAR AND THEN WE'LL

SET THOSE DATES AND SEND THOSE NOTICES OUT

ACCORDINGLY AND YOU, OF COURSE, WILL BE NOTIFIED

ABOUT THOSE AS WELL.

THAT'S ALL I CAN DO WITH THOSE CASES

TODAY. AS I SAID, I TOOK THAT ACTION ON THE OTHER

CASE -- AND, I'M SORRY, I DON'T HAVE THE NAME IN

FRONT OF ME.

MR. BROWN: I BELIEVE THAT WAS MCCLINTON.

THE COURT: YES. SO THAT'S HOW I INTEND

TO PROCEED AS TO THOSE CASES. WE'LL SEE WHAT

DEVELOPS AND WHETHER OR NOT, FIRST OF ALL, IF THERE

ARE APPEARANCES, AND IF THERE ARE APPEARANCES, WHAT

THOSE PARTIES INTEND TO DO IN RESPONSE TO THEIR

LAWSUITS AND WE'LL TAKE ACTION ACCORDINGLY. 14:07:31 1 MR. BROWN: OKAY. 14:07:34 2 THE COURT: OKAY. 14:07:34 MR. BROWN: SO AT THIS TIME IS IT FAIR TO 14:07:35 4 SAY -- I THINK IT'S FAIR TO SAY THAT WE HAVE A 14:07:37 5 NUMBER OF DEADLINES IN THOSE CASES, MAYBE ALL CASES 14:07:40 6 NOW EXCEPT FOR ONE THAT HAVE BEEN EXTENDED OUT TO 14:07:42 7 APRIL 30TH, IF I'M RECALLING CORRECTLY FOR THE 14:07:45 8 14:07:48 9 RESPONSE DEADLINE. DOES THAT RESPONSE DEADLINE AT THIS 14:07:49 10 14:07:52 11 POINT, IS THAT -- DOES THAT STAND? IS IT VACATED? 14:07:54 12 THE COURT: YOUR RESPONSE DEADLINE? MR. BROWN: YES. 14:07:56 13 THE COURT: RIGHT. WELL, I'M GOING TO 14:07:57 14 SET OSC'S SHORTLY, AND I DON'T KNOW THE TIME 14:07:59 15 REQUIRED JUST YET. I BELIEVE IT WILL BE PROBABLY 14:08:02 16 14:08:04 17 IN A COUPLE OF WEEKS BEFORE YOUR RESPONSE DEADLINE 14:08:07 18 I THINK IS WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO. 14:08:08 19 BUT I'M NOT GOING TO REOUIRE THAT YOU RESPOND TO ANY OF THOSE COMPLAINTS. 14:08:10 20 14:08:12 21 MR. BROWN: OKAY. YEAH, IT'S MY 14:08:15 22 PRAGMATIC CONCERN THAT EVEN IF THE OSC GETS SET EVEN 14 DAYS FROM NOW, THEN WE'RE GETTING ABOUT 14:08:19 23 14 DAYS OUT FROM OUR RESPONSE DEADLINE AND YOU 14:08:22 24 ALMOST HAVE TO START WORKING ON THEM AT THAT POINT, 14:08:24 25

AND IT SEEMS LIKE IT COULD BE A WASTED EFFORT IF 14:08:26 1 PEOPLE ARE NOT GOING TO BE MAKING APPEARANCES IN 14:08:29 2 RESPONSE TO THE OSC. 14:08:31 3 THE COURT: I AGREE. WHAT YOU'LL GET IS 14:08:33 4 AN INDICATION THAT THE COURT IS NOT GOING TO HOLD 14:08:36 5 YOU TO THAT STAY AND RESPONSE TIME PENDING ANY 14:08:38 6 14:08:40 7 FURTHER ACTION. SO YOU WON'T BE REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO THIS PURSUANT TO ANY OTHER PREVIOUS 14:08:43 8 ORDER THAT IS MADE. 14:08:45 MR. BROWN: GOT IT. 14:08:46 10 14:08:47 11 THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. 14:08:48 12 MR. ROBERTSON: JUDGE, MAY I ASK FOR A CLARIFICATION? AND I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT? 14:08:50 13 THE COURT: YES. NO, NOT AT ALL. 14:08:52 14 MR. ROBERTSON: TO BE SURE THAT WE'RE ON 14:08:56 15 THE SAME PAGE, IF WE WERE TO FILE OUR ANSWER OR 14:08:57 16 14:09:01 17 PETITION OR COMPLAINT WITHIN 30 DAYS, IS THE 45 DAYS RUNNING FROM THE FILING OF THE FACEBOOK OR 14:09:04 18 14:09:08 19 DOES IT RUN 90 DAYS FROM TODAY? THE COURT: THAT'S A VERY GOOD QUESTION. 14:09:10 20 14:09:12 21 I INDICATED IT WAS GOING TO BE A 45-DAY PERIOD OF 14:09:15 22 TIME FROM THE DATE OF FILE, THEY WOULD HAVE 45 DAYS 14:09:18 23 TO RESPOND. SO YOU HAVE 45 DAYS TO FILE AND THEY HAVE 45 DAYS TO RESPOND TO THAT. 14:09:21 24 MR. ROBERTSON: BUT IF WE FILE ON THE 14:09:23 25

14:09:25 1 30TH, DOES THE 45 DAYS BEGIN RUNNING ON THE DAY WE FILE OR DOES IT RUN 90 DAYS, IF YOU WILL, FROM THE 14:09:28 2 DAY YOU ENTER THE ORDER? 14:09:31 3 14:09:33 4 MR. BROWN: THIS IS AN ISSUE ABOUT A HARD DEADLINE VERSUS A SOFT DEADLINE. OBVIOUSLY WE 14:09:35 5 WOULD PREFER A HARD DEADLINE BECAUSE IT REDUCES 14:09:38 6 SURPRISE AND ALLOWS US TO PLAN. 14:09:41 7 14:09:42 8 THE COURT: I THINK WE SHOULD START WITH 14:09:44 9 HARD DEADLINES. 14:09:45 10 MR. ROBERTSON: SO I JUST WANTED TO BE 14:09:47 11 SURE. THE COURT: YES, THANK YOU FOR ASKING. I 14:09:47 12 APPRECIATE THE CLARIFICATION. 14:09:49 13 ANYTHING ELSE? 14:09:50 14 MR. KIESEL: YOUR HONOR, PAUL KIESEL. 14:09:52 15 14:09:54 16 JUST A COUPLE OF ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS. IF THE COURT WANTS, AS INTERIM LIAISON COUNSEL FOR THE PRO 14:09:56 17 14:10:00 18 SE PLAINTIFFS, I'M HAPPY TO TAKE ON THE LABORING 14:10:03 19 OAR OF COMMUNICATING WITH THEM, HOWEVER, IF THE COURT DOES WANT US TO SERVE IN THAT CAPACITY. 14:10:04 20 14:10:06 21 THE COURT: THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE THAT OFFER. WE HAVE, I THINK, ADDRESSES FOR FOLKS THAT 14:10:07 22 HAVE FILED WITH THE COURT AND THAT'S, OF COURSE, 14:10:10 23 THE ONLY MEANS OF COMMUNICATION THAT WE HAVE. 14:10:12 24 SO ARE YOU -- YOU'RE SUGGESTING IN 14:10:16 25

14:10:20 1 14:10:22 2 14:10:24 3 14:10:25 4 14:10:26 5 14:10:28 6 14:10:30 7 14:10:33 8 14:10:36 9 14:10:38 10 14:10:41 11 14:10:44 12 14:10:46 13 14:10:48 14 14:10:50 15 14:10:52 16 14:10:56 17 14:10:59 18 14:11:03 19 14:11:05 20 14:11:07 21 14:11:09 22 14:11:13 23 14:11:16 24

14:11:19 25

ADVANCE THAT YOU WOULD FORWARD TO THOSE PLAINTIFFS

THAT YOU HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF THE COURT'S ORDERS IN

THIS REGARD?

MR. KIESEL: THAT'S CORRECT. IN OTHER
WORDS, I DON'T HAVE THE ADDRESSES BUT IF THE COURT
WANTS TO PROVIDE THOSE ADDRESSES TO ME AND MY
OFFICE WILL TAKE ON THE RESPONSIBILITY OF AT LEAST
REACHING OUT ON PAPER, IF YOU SERVE IT UPON US, WE
WILL SERVE IT UPON THE PRO SE PLAINTIFFS TO MAKE
SURE THEY'RE AWARE OF WHAT THE COURT'S ACTIONS ARE.

THE COURT: WE'LL DO THAT. THE CLERK'S

OFFICE, I'LL HAVE THEM SEND IT TO THEM ALSO, BUT I

APPRECIATE THE ADDITIONAL EFFORTS.

MR. KIESEL: GREAT. THEN THE OTHER PIECE OF IT IS THAT THERE ARE 16 FIRMS IN THIS CASE, OR 16 FIRMS THAT DO NOT HAVE CURRENT ACCESS TO ECF, THAT ARE NOT HAVING ACCESS TO ECF. SO AS I'M SERVING NOTICE, I HAVE TO SEPARATELY SERVE 15 FIRMS THAT ARE NOT ON THE SYSTEM.

SO IF THE COURT MIGHT CONSIDER AN ORDER THAT SAYS ANY CASES CURRENTLY IN THIS COURT IN THE MDL MUST COMPLY WITH THE ECF SYSTEM AND TAG-ALONG SUBSEQUENT ACTIONS HAVE TO BE ON THE ECF, IT WILL MAKE SERVICE THAT MUCH EASIER FOR ALL OF US.

THE COURT: I THINK THAT'S AN APPROPRIATE

14:11:20 1	ORDER TO MAKE IN THIS TYPE OF CASE. I'D LIKE TO
14:11:22 2	START OFF WITH EVERYBODY HAVING SUFFICIENT NOTICE
14:11:24 3	ON THAT KIND OF THING. SO THANK YOU FOR THAT
14:11:27 4	SUGGESTION.
14:11:28 5	MR. KIESEL: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
14:11:30 6	THE COURT: ANYONE ELSE WISH TO BE HEARD?
14:11:34 7	MR. STRAITE: ONE MORE THING, YOUR HONOR.
14:11:37 8	AGAIN, DAVID STRAITE FOR THE RECORD. YOU KNOW,
14:11:41 9	SIANNI & STRAITE, ONE OF THE PROPOSED INTERIM
14:11:43 10	CO-LEAD FIRMS, IS PLANNING TO MERGE WITH ANOTHER
14:11:46 11	FIRM ON OR ABOUT MAY 1ST, AND IN THE ORDER WE
14:11:48 12	PROPOSE TO FILE A MOTION FOR SUBSTITUTION OF
14:11:50 13	COUNSEL AS SOON AS PRACTICAL THEREAFTER, AND I JUST
14:11:54 14	WANT TO CONFIRM THAT THAT'S AN OKAY SCHEDULE.
14:11:56 15	THE COURT: YES, I SAW THAT IN THE
14:11:57 16	PLEADINGS AND THAT'S FINE. THANK YOU. I
14:11:59 17	APPRECIATE THAT.
14:12:00 18	MR. STRAITE: OKAY.
14:12:01 19	THE COURT: AND LET ME ASK YOU, GOING
14:12:03 20	BACK TO THE SINGLEY CASE FOR JUST A MOMENT, THERE
14:12:06 21	WAS A MOTION, I THINK, TO SUBSTITUTE A PARTY.
14:12:09 22	LET'S TALK ABOUT THAT FOR JUST A MOMENT.
14:12:19 23	MS. LONDON: JUDGE, WE FILED A MOTION IN
14:12:21 24	THE DISTRICT COURT BEFORE THIS CASE GOT TRANSFERRED
14:12:23 25	OVER. ALICE LONDON.

14:12:27 1	AND FOR REASONS I'M NOT QUITE CLEAR ON,
14:12:29 2	THE MOTION WAS NOT TRANSFERRED TO YOUR COURT. SO
14:12:31 3	WE REFILED IT IN YOUR COURT AT THE REQUEST OF YOUR
14:12:34 4	CLERK.
14:12:35 5	AND WE'RE SEEKING TO REPLACE THE NAMED
14:12:39 6	PLAINTIFF FROM TEXAS WITH A NEW NAMED PLAINTIFF.
14:12:42 7	THE COURT: HAVE YOU MET AND CONFERRED
14:12:43 8	WITH THE PARTIES TO SEE IF ANYONE HAS OBJECTION TO
14:12:45 9	THAT?
14:12:47 10	MS. LONDON: WELL, WE IN THE TEXAS
14:12:50 11	LAWSUIT WE DID NOT EXECUTE SERVICE BECAUSE WE KNEW
14:12:53 12	WE HAD A PLAN FOR WITHDRAWING, AND SO I WAS HOPING
14:12:57 13	TO GET THAT RESOLVED BEFORE WE DID SERVICE BUT,
14:13:00 14	YES, I HAD A PHONE CALL WITH SOMEONE PURPORTING TO
14:13:03 15	REPRESENT FACEBOOK WHO TOLD ME THAT THEY DID NOT
14:13:07 16	SEE A PROBLEM CHANGING OUT THE PLAINTIFF.
14:13:13 17	THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD AS TO
14:13:15 18	THAT THIS AFTERNOON, COUNSEL?
14:13:17 19	MR. BROWN: WE WON'T TAKE ANY POSITION ON
14:13:20 20	THAT ISSUE.
14:13:23 21	THE COURT: AND YOU FILED THE MOTION?
14:13:26 22	MS. LONDON: YES, I DID.
14:13:27 23	THE COURT: I'M SORRY. DO YOU HAVE A
14:13:28 24	MOTION DATE THAT WAS SUPPLIED?
14:13:33 25	MS. LONDON: THE MOTION THIS SAYS

14:13:36 1	FILED MARCH 27H.
14:13:38 2	THE COURT: I SEE. I SEE.
14:13:41 3	IS THERE ANY OBJECTION TO THE COURT
14:13:44 4	GRANTING THE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR A NEW PARTY?
14:13:49 5	LET ME PUT IT THIS WAY, IF ANYONE HAS AN
14:13:52 6	OBJECTION, NOW IS THE TIME TO BE HEARD. AND I HEAR
14:13:55 7	NO ONE OBJECTING TO THIS. SO IF YOU WANT TO
14:13:57 8	PREPARE AN ORDER AND SUBSTITUTING THE PARTY.
14:14:02 9	OH, IS THAT WHAT YOU HAVE?
14:14:05 10	MS. LONDON: AND, YES, AND I ALSO FILED
14:14:07 11	THE PROPOSED ORDER AND BUT I HAVE ONE.
14:14:09 12	THE COURT: THAT'S GREAT.
14:14:33 13	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
14:14:33 14	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU FOR
14:14:35 15	THIS AFTERNOON.
14:14:40 16	ANYTHING ELSE THIS AFTERNOON?
14:14:42 17	ALL RIGHT. WELL, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I
14:14:44 18	APPRECIATE EVERYONE BEING HERE, AND I LOOK FORWARD
14:14:47 19	TO WORKING WITH EVERYONE IN THIS CASE.
14:14:47 20	(WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS IN THIS
14:15:02 21	MATTER WERE CONCLUDED.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

14:15:02 1	
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
5	
6	
7	
8	I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT
9	REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
10	THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH
11	FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY
12	CERTIFY:
13	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT,
14	CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, CONSTITUTES A TRUE, FULL AND
15	CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN AS
16	SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS
17	HEREINBEFORE ENTITLED AND REDUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED
18	TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	/s/
24	IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074
25	DATED: APRIL 23, 2012
	31