

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

s

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADIL HIRAMANNEK, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
L. MICHAEL CLARK, et al.,  
Defendants.


Case No. [13-cv-00228-RMW](#)  
**ORDER RE: MOTION FOR  
PROTECTIVE ORDER**  
**(Re: Docket Nos. 272, 311)**

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 7-1(b), the court finds that Defendants' motion for a protective order<sup>1</sup> is suitable for determination without oral argument. Accordingly, the court takes the hearing for the motion off the court's calendar. The deadline for Plaintiff Adil HirananeK to file any opposition to Defendant's motion remains 6:00 PM on October 9, 2015, as set by the court's previous order granting HirananeK's ex parte motion to enlarge time.<sup>2</sup>

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated: October 9, 2015

  
PAUL S. GREWAL  
United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup> See Docket No. 272.

<sup>2</sup> See Docket No. 301.