

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADIL HIRAMANЕК, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
L. MICHAEL CLARK, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-00228-RMW](#)


**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S EX
PARTE MOTION FOR MULTIPLE
RELIEF**

(Re: Docket No. 381)

The court has ordered that any further discovery motions from any party to this case are to be filed as noticed motions and will be briefed on the court's regular schedule pursuant to Civ. L.R. 7-2(a).¹ Plaintiff Adil Hirananeк nevertheless has filed another ex parte motion for discovery relief.² In part, Hirananeк asks the court to issue a third-party subpoena on Sylvia Sanchez as a way to obtain documents from Defendant Beth Miller. This belongs in a noticed motion to compel production from Miller. Similarly, Hirananeк can pursue the other relief he seeks in a motion to compel. Consistent with its previous order, the court DENIES the instant motion.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 18, 2015


PAUL S. GREWAL
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ See Docket No. 340.

² See Docket No. 381.