Hiramanek et al v. Clark et al 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION 10 11 ADIL HIRAMANEK, et al., 12 Case No. 5:13-cv-00228-RMW Northern District of California United States District Court Plaintiffs, 13 v. ORDER REGARDING PAPERS FILED 14 AFTER SUMMARY JUDGMENT L. MICHAEL CLARK, et al., **DEADLINES** 15 Defendants. 16 17 On February 5, 2016, this court held a hearing on cross-motions for summary judgment in 18 this matter that were filed on December 30 and 31, 2015. Dkt. Nos. 430, 435, 436, 438. Since 19 February 5, 2016, plaintiff Adil Hiramanek has filed, among other papers, at least three "declarations" or "requests for judicial notice" that purport to be in support of plaintiffs' motion 20 for summary judgment. Dkt. Nos. 494, 501, 502. The January 21, 2016 deadline for replies in 21 22 support of summary judgment passed weeks ago. The court will not consider untimely filings 23 regarding the parties' summary judgment motions. See Civ. L.R. 7-3(d). IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 Dated: February 12, 2016 26 Ronald M. Whyte United States District Judge 27 28

mald M. Whyte 1

5:13-cv-00228-RMW ORDER REGARDING PAPERS FILED AFTER SUMMARY JUDGMENT DEADLINES Doc. 505