1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 TANNER TROSPER, on behalf of himself, Case No. 13-CV-00607 LHK 12 individually, and all others similarly situated. ORDER GRANTING FINAL 13 APPROVAL TO CLASS ACTION Plaintiffs, SETTLEMENT AND GRANTING 14 MOTION FOR REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF AWARD v. 15 STRYKER CORPORATION, et al., Dkt. Nos. 85 & 86 16 Defendants. 17 18 This matter came before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of 19 Settlement ("Final Approval Motion"). 20 WHEREAS, a class action is pending before the Court in Trosper, et al. vs. Howmedica 21 Osteonics Corporation, et al., 13-CV-00607 LHK; 22 WHEREAS, the Court has received and reviewed the Settlement Agreement entered into 23 between the Representative Plaintiff and the Class Members, on the one hand, and Defendants, 24 on the other hand, and has considered the terms of the proposed settlement set forth therein (the 25 "Settlement"); 26 WHEREAS, all terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the 27 28 Case No. CV-13-0607 LHK

ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL TO CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND GRANTING MOTION

FOR REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF AWARD

Settlement Agreement, unless otherwise defined herein;

WHEREAS, on June 25, 2015, the Court entered an order granting preliminary approval of the Settlement, approving the form and method of notice, and setting a date and time for a fairness hearing to consider whether the Settlement should be finally approved by the Court pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as fair, adequate, and reasonable (the "Preliminary Approval Order");

WHEREAS, the Preliminary Approval Order further directed that all members of the Settlement Class be given notice of the Settlement and of the date for the final fairness hearing;

WHEREAS, the Court has received the declaration of Abigail Schwartz attesting to the mailing of the Notice in substantial accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order;

WHEREAS, the Court notes that there have been no objections to the Settlement; and WHEREAS, the Court having conducted a final fairness hearing on October 8, 2015 (the "Fairness Hearing"), and having considered the arguments presented, all papers filed, and all proceedings had therein;

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, all members of the Settlement Class, and Defendants.
- 2. In accordance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process, all members of the Settlement Class have been given proper and adequate notice of the Settlement. Based upon the evidence submitted by the parties; the Settlement Agreement; the arguments of counsel; and all the files, records, and proceedings in this case, the Court finds that the Notice and notice methodology implemented pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and the Court's Preliminary Approval Order (a) constituted the best practicable notice under the circumstances; (b) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of the litigation, their right to object to the Settlement, and their right to appear at the Fairness Hearing;

- (c) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to notice; and (d) met all applicable requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any other applicable law.
- 3. The Settlement Agreement in this action warrants final approval pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because it is fair, adequate, and reasonable; resulted from vigorously contested litigation, including meaningful discovery, motions practice and additional merits discovery; and is the product of extensive good-faith arm's length negotiations between the parties. The Court finds that the following factors, which the Court must consider in evaluating whether to grant final approval of a class action settlement, weigh in favor of approval:
  - (a) the strength of the plaintiffs' case;
  - (b) the risk, expense, complexity, and likely duration of further litigation;
- (c) the risk of maintaining class action status throughout the trial;
- 4 (d) the amount offered in settlement;
  - (e) the extent of discovery completed, and the stage of the proceedings;
- 6 (f) the experience and views of counsel;
- (g) the presence of a governmental participant; and
- (h) the reaction of the class members to the proposed settlement.

Torrisi v. Tucson Elec. Power Co., 8 F.3d 1370, 1375 (9th Cir. 1993).

- 4. The Final Approval Motion is hereby GRANTED, and the Settlement Agreement is hereby APPROVED as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Class Members. The Parties are directed to consummate the Settlement Agreement in accordance with its terms.
- 5. The Court APPROVES payment of the Class Settlement Amount in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 6. The Court APPROVES payment of Attorney's Fees in the amount of \$750,000.00, and Costs in the amount of \$70,032.31 to Class Counsel, as detailed in the Order

Granting Motion for Attorney's Fees issued contemporaneously herewith.

- 7. The Court APPROVES payment of an incentive award to the Representative Plaintiff in the amount of \$7,500. The Court finds that Representative Plaintiff took actions to preserve the interests of the class, that the class benefitted, that Representative Plaintiff spent time and effort in litigating the case, and that Representative Plaintiff states reasonable fears of workplace retaliation. *Staton v. Boeing Co.*, 327 F.3d 938, 977 (9th Cir. 2003). On the basis of the length of this case, the volume of discovery, and the fact that Representative Plaintiff was deposed on multiple occasions, Representative Plaintiff spent a significant amount of time and effort in helping counsel litigate this case.
- 8. The allocation plan is hereby APPROVED as being fair, adequate, and reasonable. The Class Settlement Amount, Representative Plaintiff Incentive Award, and Attorney's Fees and Costs Amount shall be distributed in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and any further orders of this Court.
- 9. The litigation is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE and without costs to any Party, other than as specified in the Settlement Agreement and this Order.
- 10. In consideration of the Class Settlement Amount, and for other good and valuable consideration, each of the Releasing Class Members shall, by operation of this Judgment, have fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Class Member Released Claims against Defendants in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and as Released Claims are defined in the Settlement, shall have covenanted not to sue Defendants with respect to all such Released Claims, and shall be permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, prosecuting, or asserting any such Class Member Released Claim against Defendants.
- 11. This Judgment is the Final Judgment in the suit as to all Class Member Released Claims.
  - 12. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court retains

5

28