IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ENRIQUE DIAZ,	No. C 13-00621 EJD (PR)
Plaintiff, v. M. PEREZ, et al., Defendants.	ORDER AFTER REMAND REOPENING CASE; OF SERVICE; DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTION OR NOTICE REGARDING SUCH MOTION; INSTRUCTIONS TO CLERK CONTROL OF SERVICE; CLERK CONTROL OF SERVICE; CLERK CONTROL OF SERVICE; C

Plaintiff, a state prisoner at Salinas Valley State Prison ("SVSP"), filed the instant civil rights action in <u>pro se</u> pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, against SVSP prison officials. On May 20, 2013, the district court dismissed the amended complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). (See Docket No. 7.) Plaintiff appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, which found that Plaintiff had stated sufficient facts to support his retaliation claims against Defendants M. Perez and L. M. Pennisi, Jr. (See Docket No. 16.) Therefore, the Court of Appeals found that dismissal was inappropriate with respect to those claims. The Ninth Circuit vacated

¹The Ninth Circuit affirmed dismissal of the claims against other Defendants.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the district court's judgment in part and remanded. (<u>Id.</u>)

In view of the remand, the Clerk shall reopen the file. This action shall proceed on the retaliation claims found cognizable in the Ninth Circuit's order of remand filed March 18, 2014, against Defendants M. Perez and L. M. Pennisi, Jr., specifically identified as follows: (1) "that Perez issued a third false rules violation report, threatened to issue additional write-ups, and redistributed his property to other inmates; and (2) "that Pennisi required that he withdraw an informal complaint or be subject to a cell move or administrative segregation." (Docket No. 16 at 2-3.)

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Court orders as follows:

- 1. The Clerk shall reopen the file, and terminate all defendants except Defendants M. Perez and L. M. Pennisi, Jr., from this action
- 2. The Clerk of the Court shall mail a Notice of Lawsuit and Request for Waiver of Service of Summons, two copies of the Waiver of Service of Summons, a copy of the amended complaint, (Docket No. 6), all attachments thereto, and a copy of this order upon **Defendants Correctional Officer M. Perez** and **Sgt. L. M.** Pennisi, Jr., at the Salinas Valley State Prison (P.O. Box 1020, Soledad, CA 93960-1020). The Clerk shall also mail a copy of this Order to Plaintiff.
- 3. Defendants are cautioned that Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires them to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and the complaint. Pursuant to Rule 4, if Defendants, after being notified of this action and asked by the Court, on behalf of Plaintiff, to waive service of the summons, fail to do so, they will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause shown for their failure to sign and return the waiver form. If service is waived, this action will proceed as if Defendants had been served on the date that the waiver is filed, except that pursuant to Rule 12(a)(1)(B), Defendants will not be required to serve and file an answer before sixty (60) days from the day on which

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the request for waiver was sent. (This allows a longer time to respond than would be required if formal service of summons is necessary.) Defendants are asked to read the statement set forth at the foot of the waiver form that more completely describes the duties of the parties with regard to waiver of service of the summons. If service is waived after the date provided in the Notice but before Defendants have been personally served, the Answer shall be due sixty (60) days from the date on which the request for waiver was sent or **twenty** (20) days from the date the waiver form is filed, whichever is later.

- 3. No later than **ninety** (90) days from the date of this order, Defendants shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion with respect to the claims in the complaint found to be cognizable above.
- Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by a. adequate factual documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Defendants are advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If any Defendant is of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, he shall so inform the Court prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.
- h. In the event Defendants file a motion for summary judgment, the Ninth Circuit has held that Plaintiff must be concurrently provided the appropriate warnings under Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). See Woods v. Carey, Nos. 09-15548 & 09-16113, slip op. 7871, 7874 (9th Cir. July 6, 2012).

Plaintiff is also advised to read Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317 (1986) (holding party opposing summary judgment must come forward with evidence showing triable issues of material fact on every essential element of his claim). Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to file an opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment may

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

be deemed to be a consent by Plaintiff to the granting of the motion, and granting of judgment against Plaintiff without a trial. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam); Brydges v. Lewis, 18 F.3d 651, 653 (9th Cir. 1994).

- 4. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the Court and served on Defendants no later than twenty-eight (28) days from the date Defendants' motion is filed.
- 5. Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than fourteen (14) days after Plaintiff's opposition is filed.
- 6. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date.
- 7. All communications by the Plaintiff with the Court must be served on Defendants, or Defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the document to Defendants or Defendants' counsel.
- 8. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or Local Rule 16-1 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.
- 9. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the court informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).
- 10. Extensions of time must be filed no later than the deadline sought to be extended and must be accompanied by a showing of good cause.

4/14/2014 DATED: EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge

27 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ENRIQUE DIAZ,		Case Number: CV13-00621 EJD
Plainti	ff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
v.		
M. PEREZ, et al.,		
Defend	lants.	
I, the undersigned, he Court, Northern Distr		am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
hereinafter listed, by	aid copy(les) in a p depositing said env	, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) relope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into the din the Clerk's office.
Enrique Diaz K-7026 Salinas Valley State F P. O. Box 1050 Soledad, CA 93960		
Dated: 4/	15/2014	_
		Richard W. Wieking, Clerk /s/By: Elizabeth Garcia, Deputy Clerk