

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MARY LOU GONZALES,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF SAN JOSE, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:13-cv-00695-BLF

**CLARIFICATION RE: ORDER  
GRANTING-IN-PART MOTION TO  
COMPEL AND DENYING MOTION  
FOR SANCTIONS**

**(Re: Docket Nos. 95, 98)**

In the court's previous order, its reference to "withheld documents" referred to documents withheld-in-whole as well as those withheld-in-part, i.e. redacted.<sup>1</sup> Defendants shall comply accordingly. To the extent that Defendants maintain that redacted information is subject to privilege, Defendants shall tender a privilege log to that effect within the 21 days previously ordered, or no later than December 16, 2014.

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 9, 2014

  
PAUL S. GREWAL  
United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup> See Docket No. 95 at 3 ("the appropriate course here is to order Defendants to amend their responses within 21 days to conform with their representations by withdrawing any hint that they have withheld documents based on the privilege.").