

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY SMITH,	)	No. C 13-1057 LHK (PR)
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
UNKNOWN,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

On March 7, 2013, Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, filed a letter which commenced this action. The same day, the Clerk notified Plaintiff that he had not paid the filing fee, nor had he filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis (“IFP”). The Clerk also notified Plaintiff that he failed to submit a complaint. Along with the deficiency notices, Plaintiff was provided with a new IFP application and instructions for completing it. Plaintiff was further cautioned that his failure to either file a completed IFP application or pay the filing fee within twenty-eight days would result in the dismissal of this action. In addition, Plaintiff was advised that his failure to file a complaint within twenty-eight days would result in the dismissal of this action.

On April 22, 2013, the Court re-sent the notices and *sua sponte* granted Plaintiff an extension of time after the original notices were returned from the prison because the prison could not identify Plaintiff. To date, Plaintiff has not communicated with the Court.

Thus, the instant action is DISMISSED without prejudice. The Clerk shall enter judgment and close the file. The Clerk is also directed to send an electronic copy of Plaintiff’s

1 original letter (Doc. No. 1), and a copy of this order to the courtroom deputy of Judge Thelton  
2 Henderson.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: 6/5/13

  
LUCY H. KOH  
United States District Judge

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28