1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"compelling reasons" that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure.³

However, "while protecting the public's interest in access to the courts, we must remain mindful of the parties' right to access those same courts upon terms which will not unduly harm their competitive interest." Records attached to nondispositive motions therefore are not subject to the strong presumption of access.⁵ Because the documents attached to nondispositive motions "are often unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action," parties moving to seal must meet the lower "good cause" standard of Rule 26(c). As with dispositive motions, the standard applicable to nondispositive motions requires a "particularized showing" that "specific prejudice or harm will result" if the information is disclosed. Broad allegations of harm, unsubstantiated by specific examples of articulated reasoning" will not suffice. 9 A protective order sealing the documents during discovery may reflect the court's previous determination that good cause exists to keep the documents sealed, 10 but a blanket protective order that allows the parties to designate confidential documents does not provide sufficient judicial scrutiny to determine whether each particular document should remain sealed. 11

Case No.: 5:13-cv-01081-PSG ORDER RE: MOTION TO SEAL 2

³ *Id.* at 1178-79.

⁴ Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 727 F.3d 1214, 1228-29 (Fed. Cir. 2013).

⁵ *See id.* at 1180.

⁶ *Id.* at 1179 (internal quotations and citations omitted).

⁷ *Id*.

⁸ Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1210-11 (9th Cir. 2002); see Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

⁹ Beckman Indus., Inc. v. Int'l Ins. Co., 966 F.2d 470, 476 (9th Cir. 1992).

¹⁰ See Kamakana, 447 F.3d at 1179-80.

¹¹ See Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A) ("Reference to a stipulation or protective order that allows a party to designate certain documents as confidential is not sufficient to establish that a document, or portions thereof, are sealable.").

For the Northern District of Office 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

In addition to making particularized showings of good cause, parties moving to seal documents must comply with the procedures established by Civ. L.R. 79-5. Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(b), a sealing order is appropriate only upon a request that establishes the document is "sealable," or "privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law." "The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material, and must conform with Civil L.R. 79-5(d)." "Within 4 days of the filing of the Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, the Designating Party must file a declaration as required by subsection 79-5(d)(1)(A) establishing that all of the designated material is sealable." "13

With these standards in mind, the courts rules on the instant motions as follows:

Motion	Document to be Sealed	Result	Reason/Explanation
Docket No.	ISSI's Motion to	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential
375-4	Enforce.		business information.
Docket No.	Exhibit B to	3:5-7:28 SEALED;	Only sealed portions narrowly
375-6	ISSI's Motion to	remainder UNSEALED.	tailored to confidential business
	Enforce.		information.
Docket No.	Exhibit C to	3:26-4:8, 5:15-23:14,	Only sealed portions narrowly
375-7	ISSI's Motion to	25:3-9 SEALED;	tailored to confidential business
	Enforce.	remainder UNSEALED.	information.
Docket No.	Exhibit F to	UNSEALED.	No supporting declaration filed.
381-4	ISSI's Opposition.		
Docket No.	GSI's Opposition.	UNSEALED.	Designating party does not
384-4			request sealing at Docket No. 393.
Docket No.	Exhibit A to	UNSEALED.	Designating party does not
384-6	GSI's Opposition.		request sealing at Docket No. 393.
Docket No.	GSI's Letter Brief	UNSEALED.	Designating party does not
389-4	to Compel UMI.		request sealing at Docket No. 397.
Docket No.	Exhibit N to	UNSEALED.	Designating party does not
389-5	GSI's Letter Brief		request sealing at Docket No. 397.
	to Compel UMI.		
Docket No.	Exhibit O to	UNSEALED.	Designating party does not
389-6	GSI's Letter Brief		request sealing at Docket No. 397.

¹² Civ. L.R. 79-5(b). In part, Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) requires the submitting party to attach a "proposed order that is narrowly tailored to seal only the sealable material" which "lists in table format each document or portion thereof that is sought to be sealed," Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(b), and an "unreadacted version of the document" that indicates "by highlighting or other clear method, the portions of the document that have been omitted from the redacted version." Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(d).

Case No.: 5:13-cv-01081-PSG ORDER RE: MOTION TO SEAL

¹³ Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

28

	to Compel UMI.		
Docket No.	Exhibit H to	3:9-10:21 SEALED;	Only sealed portions narrowly
391-8	GSI's	remainder UNSEALED.	tailored to confidential business
	Supplemental		information.
	Responses.		
Docket No.	Exhibit I to GSI's	3:7-7:28 SEALED;	Only sealed portions narrowly
391-9	Supplemental	remainder UNSEALED.	tailored to confidential business
	Responses.		information.
Docket No.	Exhibit J to GSI's	3:7-7:28 SEALED;	Only sealed portions narrowly
391-10	Supplemental	remainder UNSEALED.	tailored to confidential business
	Responses.		information.
Docket No.	Exhibit K to	3:7-4:21 SEALED;	Only sealed portions narrowly
391-11	GSI's	remainder UNSEALED.	tailored to confidential business
	Supplemental		information.
	Responses.		
Docket No.	Exhibit L (part 1)	2, 6, 34-45, 47-49, 51-74	Only sealed portions narrowly
391-12	to GSI's	SEALED; remainder	tailored to confidential business
	Supplemental	UNSEALED.	information.
	Responses.		
Docket No.	Exhibit L (part 2)	2, 4, 15-50 SEALED;	Only sealed portions narrowly
391-13	to GSI's	remainder UNSEALED.	tailored to confidential business
	Supplemental		information.
	Responses.		
Docket No.	Exhibit H to the	UNSEALED.	Request is not narrowly tailored
403-3	Declaration of		to confidential business
	Constance F.		information.
	Ramos.		

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 12, 2015

Paul S. GREWAL

United States Magistrate Judge

Case No.: 5:13-cv-01081-PSG ORDER RE: MOTION TO SEAL