1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

relating to dispositive motions bear the burden of overcoming the presumption with "compelling reasons" that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure.⁴

However, "while protecting the public's interest in access to the courts, we must remain mindful of the parties' right to access those same courts upon terms which will not unduly harm their competitive interest." Records attached to nondispositive motions therefore are not subject to the strong presumption of access.⁶ Because the documents attached to nondispositive motions "are often unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action," parties moving to seal must meet the lower "good cause" standard of Rule 26(c). As with dispositive motions, the standard applicable to nondispositive motions requires a "particularized showing" that "specific prejudice or harm will result" if the information is disclosed. Broad allegations of harm, unsubstantiated by specific examples of articulated reasoning" will not suffice. 10 A protective order sealing the documents during discovery may reflect the court's previous determination that good cause exists to keep the documents sealed, 11 but a blanket protective order that allows the parties to designate confidential documents does not provide sufficient judicial scrutiny to determine whether each particular document should remain sealed. 12

⁴ Id. at 1178-79.

⁵ Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 727 F.3d 1214, 1228-29 (Fed. Cir. 2013).

⁶ See id. at 1180.

⁷ Id. at 1179 (internal quotations and citations omitted).

⁸ Id.

⁹ Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1210-11 (9th Cir. 2002); see Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

¹⁰ Beckman Indus., Inc. v. Int'l Ins. Co., 966 F.2d 470, 476 (9th Cir. 1992).

¹¹ See Kamakana, 447 F.3d at 1179-80.

¹² See Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A) ("Reference to a stipulation or protective order that allows a party to designate certain documents as confidential is not sufficient to establish that a document, or portions thereof, are sealable.").

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In addition to making particularized showings of good cause, parties moving to seal documents must comply with the procedures established by Civ. L.R. 79-5. Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(b), a sealing order is appropriate only upon a request that establishes the document is "sealable," or "privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law." "The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material, and must conform with Civil L.R. 79-5(d)." "Within 4 days of the filing of the Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, the Designating Party must file a declaration as required by subsection 79-5(d)(1)(A) establishing that all of the designated material is sealable."¹⁴

With these standards in mind, the courts rules on the instant motions as follows:

Motion	Document to be Sealed	Result	Reason/Explanation
Docket	GSI's Motion to Strike	Designation highlighted at	Only sealed portion
No. 928-4	Supplemental Cox Expert	2:4-5	narrowly tailored to
	Report	SEALED; remainder	confidential business
		UNSEALED.	information and
			supported by a
			declaration.
Docket	Ex. A to Dharnidharka	Designations requested at	Only sealed portions
No. 928-5	Decl. In Support Of GSI's	¶ 3 from the words "Page 4,	narrowly tailored to
	Motion to Strike	Paragraph 9" to the words "I	confidential business
	(Supplemental Cox Expert	hereby declare" on page 2; and	information and
	Report)	Updated Exhibit 7	supported by a
		SEALED; remainder	declaration.
		UNSEALED.	

SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 23, 2015

United States Magistrate Judge

3

¹³ Civ. L.R. 79-5(b). In part, Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) requires the submitting party to attach a "proposed order that is narrowly tailored to seal only the sealable material" which "lists in table format each document or portion thereof that is sought to be sealed," Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(b), and an "unreadacted version of the document" that indicates "by highlighting or other clear method, the portions of the document that have been omitted from the redacted version." Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(d).

¹⁴ Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).