\*E-Filed: October 16, 2013\*

1	David S. Markun (SBN 108067)	
2	Daria Dub Carlson (SBN 150628) MARKUN ZUSMAN FRENIERE & CO	OMPTON LLP
3	17383 Sunset Boulevard, Suite A380 Pacific Palisades, California 90272	
4	Telephone: (310) 454-5900 Facsimile: (310) 454-5970	
5	Email: dmarkun@mzclaw.com Email: dcarlson@mzclaw.com	
6	Edward S. Zusman (SBN 154366)	
7	Oriet Cohen-Supple (SBN 206781) MARKUN ZUSMAN FRENIERE & CO	OMPTONLLP
8	465 California Street, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94104	
o 9	Telephone: (415) 438-4515	
	Facsimile: (415) 434-4505 Email: <u>ezusman@mzclaw.com</u>	
10	Email: <u>ocohen@mzclaw.com</u>	
11	Jon R. Giffen (SBN 142158) KENNEDY ARCHER & HARRAY	
12	24591 Silver Cloud Ct. #200 Monterey, California 93940	
13	Telephone: (831) 373-7500 Facsimile: (831) 373-7555	
14	Email: jgiffen@kahlaw.net	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs LPL Financial, L William Hastie	LC and
16		
17	UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT
18	NORTHERN DISTR	NICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE
19		
20	LPL FINANCIAL, LLC, a California	Case No. C-13 1207 HRL
21	limited liability company; and WILLIAM HASTIE, an individual,	
22	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO REMAND REMOVED ACTION
23	v.	
24	MIKE T. BRILEY, et al.,	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED b	y and between the plaintiffs LPL Financial, LLC, and
28	The second se	d, and defendants Michael T. Briley, Steve Shearn, F.
	,	
*	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	TO REMAND REMOVED ACTION — CASE NO. C-13 1207 HRL

1	Warren Wayland, Hayashi & Wayland Accounting and Consulting, LLP, Hayashi & Wayland
2	Retirement Plan Services, Hayashi & Wayland Administrative and Insurance Services, Inc.,
3	Hayashi & Wayland Investment Services, LLC, and Leigh Jeter ("Defendants") on the other
4	hand, (collectively "Parties"), through their respective attorneys of record as follows:
5	STIPULATION
6	1. On December 31, 2012, Plaintiffs commenced an action in the Superior Court of
7	the State of California in and for the County of Monterey entitled LPL Financial, LLC, and
8	William Hastie vs. Mike Briley, et al., case number M121336 (the "Action").
9	2. On January 11, 2013, the Defendants accepted service of the Complaint.
10	3. On or about January 30, 2013, the Defendants accepted service of the First
11	Amended Complaint, to which the Defendants filed an Answer on March 15, 2013.
12	4. On March 19, 2013, the Defendants filed a Notice of Removal of the Action to this
13	Court, pursuant to U.S.C. §1441(b) based on Plaintiffs' claim of computer fraud under 18 U.S.C.
14	§1030(a).
15	5. On March 21, 2013, the Defendants completed the removal process by filing a
16	conformed copy of the Notice of Removal with Monterey County Superior Court.
17	6. On September 23, 2013, the parties filed a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order with
18	this Court pursuant to which the Defendants stipulated that Plaintiffs may file a Second Amended
19	Complaint that omitted Plaintiffs' claim for computer fraud under 18 U.S.C. §1030(a) ("SAC").
20	7. On October 8, 2013 honorable magistrate judge Howard R. Lloyd entered an
21	Order permitting Plaintiffs to file the SAC.
22	8. On October 8, 2013, Plaintiffs filed the SAC with this Court, which omitted the 18
23	U.S.C. § 1030(a) claim and, in turn, the basis for this Court's jurisdiction over the Action.
24	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BETWEEN THE PARTIES THAT:
25	9. The Action should immediately be remanded to the Monterey County Superior
26	Court.
27	10. Each Party shall bear his/her/its/their own attorneys' fees and costs with respect to
28	2
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO REMAND REMOVED ACTION — CASE NO. C-13 1207 HRL

1	the removal and subsequent remand of the Action pursuant to this stipulation.
2	11. This Stipulation moots all pending deadlines, and hearings in this case should be
3	taken off the Court's calendar.
4	12. This Stipulation shall not be construed as an admission or statement that the
5	Action was improperly removed to federal court.
6	$\sim$
7	Dated: 10/3/2013 Day Marlon
8	DAVID S. MARKUN
9	MARKUN ZUSMAN FRENIERE & COMPTON LLP
10	Counsel for Plaintiffs
11	$\bigcap 2 I I I$
12	Dated: 10/3/2013 /unt. Mu /.
13	FARLEY J. NEUMAN GOODMAN NEUMAN HAMILTON LLP
14	Counsel for Defendants
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3

	ORDER	
THE COURT, HAVING REVIEWED THE STIPULATION AND HAVING		
DETERMINED THAT GOOD C	CAUSE EXISTS, ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:	
1. The Parties' Stipu	lation is approved;	
2. Northern District of	of California case number C-13 1207 HRL; titled LPL	
FINANCIAL LLC AND WILLIAM HASTIE V. MIKE T. BRILEY, et al.; is hereby remanded to t		
Superior Court of California, Cou	anty of Monterey.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Dated: October 16, 2013	1 Zan	
2	HON. HOWARD R. LLOYD	
	UNITED DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
	4	