# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT <br> NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 

LPL Financial, et al
$\underbrace{}_{\text {Plaintiff( } \mathrm{s})}$ v.

Michael T. Briley, et al
$\qquad$ .
Defendant(s).
$\qquad$ !

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following $A D R$ process:

## Court Processes:

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR must participate in an $A D R$ phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

## Private Process:

1 $\quad$ Private ADR (please identify process and provider) The parties have
scheduled a mediation with Hon, William Cahill (Ret.) at JAMS for June 24, 2013.

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:
(ब) the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
$\square$ other requested deadline $\qquad$
Dated: $6 / 4 / 13$
Dated: $6 / 5 / 2013$

CASE NO. 13-1207 HRL

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

## [PROPOSED ORDER

$\mathbf{X}$ The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 5, 2013


[^0]
[^0]:    When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."

