Reynaga	ı v. City o	Seaside Police Department et al	Doc	. 16
<b>United States District Court</b> For the Northern District of California				
	1			
	2			
	3			
	4			
	5			
	6	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
	7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	8	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
	9			
	10	SALVADOR REYNAGA,	Case No. C-13-01674-RMW	
	11	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO	
	12	v.	DISMISS	
	13	CITY OF SEASIDE POLICE DEPARTMENT, MONTEREY COUNTY		
	14	DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, VICKI MEYERS, LOUIS LUMPKIN and RYAN	[Re Docket No. 8]	
	15	MCGIRK,		
	16	Defendants.		
	17	On August 2, 2013 defendants Monterey	County District Attorney's Office and Ryan	
	18	McGirk filed a motion to dismiss. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-3(a) Plaintiff's opposition or statement		
	19	of non-opposition was due by August 16, 2013. Plaintiff has failed to file any response. Failure to		
	20	file an opposition consistent with the court's rules is grounds for granting the motion. See Justo v.		
	21	Charter Capital Corp., No. C-11-00670-EJD, 2011 WL 2559811 (N.D. Cal. June 28, 2011).		
	22	Therefore, the court GRANTS the motion to dismiss. Since plaintiff filed no opposition or request		
	23	for leave to amend, it appears that plaintiff has no facts on which to base a claim or has chosen not		
	24	to pursue the case. Judgment in favor of defendants will be entered. The non-moving defendants		
	25	and plaintiff have previously stipulated to dismissal.		
	26		R	
	27	Dated: August 29, 2013	Konald M. Whyte	
	28		Ronald M. Whyte United States District Judge	
		ORDER Case No. C-13-01674-RMW - 1 SW - 1	-	

I

Dockets.Justia.com