UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

SAN JOSE DIVISION		
ADAPTIX, INC.,	Plaintiff,) Case No. 5:13-cv-01774-PSG) ORDER DENYING MOTION) TO SEAL
CELLCO PARTNER VERIZON WIRELES) (Re: Docket No. 170)
	Defendants.)
ADADTIV INC) Case No. 5:13-cv-01776-PSG
ADAPTIX, INC.,	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SEAL
APPLE INC., et al.,) (Re: Docket No. 200)
, ,	Defendants.)
) Case No. 5:13-cv-01777-PSG
ADAPTIX, INC., v.	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SEAL
APPLE INC., et al.	Defendants.	(Re: Docket Nos. 202)
ADAPTIX, INC.,) Case No. 5:13-cv-01778-PSG
v.	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SEAL
AT&T, Inc., et al.,		(Re: Docket No. 198)
	Defendants.)

Case Nos. 5:13-cv-1774; -1776; -1777; -1778; -1844; -2023 ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO SEAL

ADAPTIX, INC.,) Case No. 5:13-cv-01844-PSG
Plaintiff, v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SEAL
CELLCO PARTNERSHIP d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS, et al.,) (Re: Docket Nos. 189)
Defendants.)
ADAPTIX, INC.,	Case No. 5:13-cv-02023-PSG
Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO SEAL
v.) (Re: Docket No. 183)
APPLE INC., et al.) (Re. Docket No. 103)
Defendants.)

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5, a party looking to seal documents is required to submit a declaration establishing that the documents are in fact sealable. In addition, the request must be narrowly tailored. A declaration from outside counsel simply saying it is so does not meet this standard. In addition, the request must be narrowly tailored. A cursory review of the materials at issue in the pending motions shows portions that plainly are not sealable. Indeed, the requesting party is urging sealing even as it claims that the same material is publicly available prior art: "Defendants' investigatory efforts recently uncovered a prior art OFDM-based wireless communications system call "Project Angel." Project Angel was developed by AT&T Wireless and was known and in public use prior to the filing dates of the applications leading to the Asserted Patents."

The court simply cannot square that one. The motions are DENIED

24 | IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 9, 2014

PAUL S. GREWAL

United States Magistrate Judge

Case Nos. 5:13-cv-1//4; -1//6; -1///; -1//8; -1844; ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO SEAL

¹ See, e.g., Case No. 5:13-cv-02023-PSG, Docket No. 183-4 at 2. Case Nos. 5:13-cv-1774; -1776; -1777; -1778; -1844; -2023