COX, WOOTTON, GRIFFIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP

190 THE EMBARCADERO SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 TEL: 415-438-4600 FAX: 415-438-4601

FDS.Hucom/3236

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	COX, WOOTTON, GRIFFIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP Marc T. Cefalu (SBN 203324) Courtney M. Crawford (SBN 242567) 190 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone No.: 415-438-4600 Facsimile No.: 415-438-4601 Attorneys for Plaintiff IMMERSA MARKETING, INC. SUCCESSOR IN INTERST TO THE CHASE GROUP LLC D/B/A IMMERSA MARKETIN	G
8	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
10	IMMERSA MARKETING, INC.,	Case No.: CV-13-1859-MEJ
11	SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO THE) CHASE GROUP LLC D/B/A IMMERSA) MARKETING)	PLAINTIFF'S SEPARATE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
12 13	Plaintiff,	STATEMENT AND REQUEST FOR CONTINUACE OF INITIAL
13 14	V.)	CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
15	HUCOM COMMUNICATIONS, LTD,	Date: July 25, 2013
16	BORNA ALIKHANI, TAYLOR HUCOM) DESIGN, TAYLOR MANUFACTURING) INDUSTRIES, INC., GLOBACORE,)	Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: Courtroom B, 15 th Floor
17	INC., AND ENVIRONMENT AGENCY –) ABU DHABI,	
18) Defendants.	
19)	
20	Plaintiff Immersa Marketing, Inc., succ	essor in interest to the Chase Group LLC
21	D/B/A Immersa Marketing, submits this Separa	te Case Management Conference Statement
22	pursuant to the Standing Order for All Judges of	f the Northern District of California dated
23	July 1, 2011 and Civil Local Rule 16-9. Plainti	ff is submitting this Separate Case
24	Management Conference Statement because the	e defendants have not yet been served with
25	the summons and complaint in this matter. The	parties are currently attempting to resolve
26 27	this matter without the need for further litigatio	n. As a result, Plaintiff requests that the
27 28	Case Management Conference be continued ap	proximately thirty (30) to sixty (60) days to
28	allow the parties to continue with settlement ne	gotiations.
		Case No.: CV-13-1859-

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1.

Jurisdiction & Service

Plaintiff submits that this Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 by reason of diversity of citizenship of the parties and because the matter in dispute exceeds the sum of seventy-five thousand (\$75,000) dollars, exclusive of interest and costs. Plaintiff contends that venue is proper in this District because the contract sued upon was entered into within this District, the contract sued upon selects California law as governing the contract, and the plaintiff maintains its principal place of business within this District.

None of the defendants, all of whom are either foreign sovereigns, foreign corporations or foreign individuals have yet been served. Plaintiff has begun the process for effecting service abroad while the parties continue to attempt to resolve this matter without further litigation. In light of the fact that Plaintiff has little control over the timing of effecting service abroad, Plaintiff requests that the Court consider imposing an initial deadline of December 2013, to effect service upon the foreign defendants. Plaintiff does not know whether any of the defendants will object to jurisdiction or venue within this District.

2. <u>Facts</u>

Plaintiff and Defendant Hucom Communications Ltd., through its principal Defendant Borna Alikhani, executed a Standard Service Agreement effective March 31, 2011, relating to certain services to be provided by Plaintiff for the benefit of all Defendants relative to the "Eye On Earth Summit" located in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, for an event (including move-in and move-out dates) that was scheduled to take place between about December 5, 2011 through December 16, 2011.

Plaintiff contends that in exchange for performing the base services pursuant to the contract, Defendants Hucom Communications, Ltd., Borna Alikhani, Taylor Hucom Design, The Taylor Group, Globacore, and/or any of them, agreed to pay Plaintiff the base sum of no less than \$899,558.00. Plaintiff, however, was also asked to provide additional services on behalf of the defendants. The total amount of Plaintiff's billings for the work it

-2-

	performed at the request of the Defendants and for their benefit was \$1,543,828.58. To				
	date, only \$700,586.29 has been paid and there is currently due an outstanding amount of at				
least \$843,242.29, exclusive of costs, fees, and interest. Plaintiff is demanding, at a					
	minimum, that that amount be repaid.				
	Plaintiff contends that there should be no factual dispute that it performed the work				

Plaintiff contends that there should be no factual dispute that it performed the work requested of it, that it did so properly, that its billings are appropriate, that it has not been paid for the value of the services it has provided and that a significant amount remains due and payable.

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COX, WOOTTON,

GRIFFIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP

THE EMBARCADERO

N FRANCISCO, C/ 94105 TEL: 415-438-4600 FAX: 415-438-4601

FDS.Hucom/3236

Legal Issues

Plaintiff contends that there should be no legal issues in dispute The parties entered into a binding agreement, Plaintiff provided services pursuant to contract and the specific request of the defendants and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to be fully compensated for the services that it provided.

4.

5.

3.

<u>Motions</u>

There are no currently pending motions. Plaintiff anticipates filing a motion for summary judgment and/or partial summary judgment.

Amendment of Pleadings

Plaintiff does not currently anticipate amending the pleadings.

6. <u>Evidence Preservation</u>

There has been no conference amongst the parties relating to the preservation of electronic evidence because the defendants have not yet been served or appeared.

7. <u>Disclosures</u>

No Rule 26 Disclosures have yet been made because none of the defendants have been served or appeared.

8. <u>Discovery</u>

No discovery has taken place.

9. <u>Class Actions</u>

This is not a class action.

	1		
	2	10.	Related Cases
	3		There are no related cases.
	4	11.	<u>Relief</u>
	4 5		Plaintiff contends that it is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount of
		\$843,2	242.29 which is the amount that Plaintiff contends defendants agreed to pay pursuant
	6	to oral	l and written contract and/or comprises the reasonable value of the services provided
	7	by Pla	intiff at defendants' request.
	8		Plaintiff has also prayed for an award of \$750,000 in punitive damages for its fraud
	9	cause	of action.
	10	12.	Settlement and ADR
	11		The parties are currently attempting to reach a business resolution as to the matters
	12	raised	in this action. Counsel for the parties is not currently involved in the settlement
	13	discus	ssions.
	14	13.	Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes
	15		At the time of filing this Separate Case Management Conference Statement,
	16 17	Plaint	iff's counsel has not been given authority to consent to or decline having a magistrate
	17	judge	conduct all further proceedings in this matter. Plaintiff's counsel anticipates
	18	provic	ling a consent or declination shortly.
	19	14.	Other References
	20		Not applicable.
	21	15.	Narrowing of Issues
	22		Not applicable at this time since none of the defendants have been served or
	23	appear	red.
	24	16.	Expedited Trial Procedure
COX, WOOTTON, RIFFIN, HANSEN	25		It is premature at this time to determine whether this mater can be handled under the
& POULOS, LLP THE EMBARCADERO AN FRANCISCO, CA 94105		Exped	lited Trial Procedure of General Order 64, Attachment A at this time.
TEL: 415-438-4600 FAX: 415-438-4601	27	17.	Scheduling
FDS.Hucom/3236	28		
			-4- Case No.: CV-13-1859-MEJ

	1	Plaintiff contends that it is premature to suggest	scheduling since the defendants
	2	have neither been served nor appeared.	
	3	18. <u>Trial</u>	
	4	Plaintiff has requested a jury trial. Plaintiff curre	ently anticipates a five to seven day
	5	trial.	
	6	19. <u>Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or</u>	Persons
	7	Plaintiff has not yet filed the Certification of Inte	erested Entities or Persons. The
	8	identities of the persons, firms, partnerships or corporati	ons currently known by plaintiff to
	9	have either a financial interest in the subject matter of th	is controversy or in a party to the
	10	proceeding or any other kind of interest that could be su	bstantially affected by the outcome
	11	of the proceeding includes Freeman Decorating Services	
	12	20. <u>Other</u>	
	13	Plaintiff requests that this Case Management Co	nference be continued
	14	approximately thirty (30) to sixty (60) days to allow the	
15 discussions and to allow Plaintiff to inform the Court of the st		-	
	16	process in advance of any continued Case Management	
	17	process in advance of any continued case tranagement	
	18		
	19		OOTTON, GRIFFIN,
	20		& POULOS, LLP For Plaintiff
	21		MARKETING, INC., OR IN INTEREST TO THE
	22	CHASE GRANTED	ROUP, LLC D/B/A IMMERSA
	23	GRANTED A MARKET	
	24	Zi sin Elena James Z By: <u>/S/</u> Mar	Marc T. Cefalu
COX, WOOTTON,	25	A log Maria Di Ivia	rc T. Cefalu request to continue the
GRIFFIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP	26	CMC is GR.	ANTED. Case Management e is continued to August
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 TEL: 415-438-4600 FAX: 415-438-4601	27	29, 2013	at 10:00 a.m., Courtroom
FDS.Hucom/3236	28		loor. ly 17, 2013
		-5-	Case No.: CV-13-1859-
		DI A INTERIO CEDADATE CACE MANIACEMENTE CONFEDENCE OTATEMEN	Case NO.: CV-13-1839