1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 RADWARE, LTD., et al., Case No. 13-cv-02021-RMW 7 Plaintiffs, 8 ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO v. FILE A PROPOSED REDACTED 9 VERSION OF COURT'S ORDER **DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS** A10 NETWORKS, INC., 10 Defendant. Re: Dkt. No. 268 11 12 On January 23, 2015 the court filed an order denying plaintiff Radware's motion to 13 dismiss. See Dkt. No. 268. The court's order was filed under seal, and will remain under seal for 5 days. Should the parties file within 5 days a joint proposed redacted version of the court's order, 14 15 the court's order will remain sealed and the court will enter the redacted version on the public docket. The court suggests that the parties meet and confer to coordinate the filing of such a joint 16 proposed redacted version of the court's order. 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 nald M. Whyte 19 Dated: January 23, 2015 20 21 United States District Judge 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1

13-cv-02021-RMW EDB