

1 TERRENCE P. MCMAHON (SBN 71910)  
tmcmahon@mwe.com

2 FABIO E. MARINO (SBN 183825)  
fmarino@mwe.com

3 JUDITH S.H. HOM (SBN 203482)  
jhom@mwe.com

4 NITIN GAMBHIR (SBN 259906)  
ngambhir@mwe.com

5 BARRINGTON DYER (SBN 264762)  
bdyer@mwe.com

6 TERI H.P. NGUYEN (SBN 267498)  
thpnguyen@mwe.com

7 McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP  
275 Middlefield Road, Suite 100  
8 Menlo Park, CA 94025-4004  
Telephone: 650 815 7400  
9 Facsimile: 650 815 7401

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterclaim-Defendants  
RADWARE, LTD. AND RADWARE, INC.

11 || UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 SAN JOSE DIVISION

## SAN JOSE DIVISION

14 RADWARE, LTD., an Israeli company;  
15 RADWARE, INC., a New Jersey  
corporation,

## Plaintiffs,

1

17 F5 NETWORKS, INC., a Washington  
18 corporation.

Defendant.

20 F5 NETWORKS, INC., a Washington  
corporation,  
21 Defendant.

### Counterclaim-Plaintiff,

V.

23 RADWARE, LTD., an Israeli company;  
24 RADWARE, INC., a New Jersey  
corporation,  
Counterclaim-Defendants.

CASE NO. 5:13-cv-02024 RMW  
(Related Case No. 5:13-cv-02021 RMW)

**JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND  
TIME TO RESPOND TO  
COUNTERCLAIMS**

**Complaint Filed: May 1, 2013**

**Complaint Served: May 3, 2013**

**Complaint Answered: July 25, 2013**

**F5 Amended Answer with Counterclaims:  
August 29, 2013**

## Current Radware Response to Counterclaims: September 19, 2013

## New Radware Response to Counterclaims: October 21, 2013

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned parties,  
2 pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that the time for Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants Radware Ltd. and  
3 Radware, Inc. (hereinafter "Radware") to answer, move or otherwise respond to the  
4 Counterclaims in F5 Networks, Inc.'s First Amended Answer and Counterclaims, has been  
5 extended through and including October 21, 2013, subject to the approval of this Court in  
6 consideration of the following facts:

- 7 1. WHEREAS, F5 Networks, Inc. (hereinafter "F5") was served with Radware's  
8 complaint on May 3, 2013;
- 9 2. WHEREAS, F5 answered Radware's complaint on July 25, 2013;
- 10 3. WHEREAS, F5 filed an Amended Answer with Counterclaims on August 29, 2013;
- 11 4. WHEREAS, F5 has agreed to provide Radware an extension of time until October 21,  
12 2013 to respond to Counterclaims;
- 13 5. WHEREAS, this extension is not sought for the purpose of unnecessarily delaying this  
14 action;
- 15 6. WHEREAS, the parties have conferred and agreed on September 4, 2013, within which  
16 Radware is to file its Response to F5's counterclaims;

17 NOW, THEREFORE, Radware and F5, by and through their respective counsel of record,  
18 hereby stipulate and agree that Radware shall have an extension up to and including October 19,  
19 2013 to answer, move or otherwise respond to F5's counterclaims.

20 SO STIPULATED this 4th day of September, 2013.

21 Dated: September 4, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
PERKINS COIE LLP

By: s/ Christopher Kao  
Christopher Kao

Attorneys for Defendant  
F5 NETWORKS, INC.

Dated: September 4, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

By:/s/ Fabio E Marino

Fabio E. Marino

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

RADWARE, LTD. AND RADWARE,  
INC.

I, Fabio E. Marino, hereby attest, pursuant to N.D. Cal. Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), that the concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.

Dated: September 4, 2013

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

By:/s/ Fabio E Marino

Fabio E. Marino

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

RADWARE, LTD. AND RADWARE,  
INC.

1 TERRENCE P. MCMAHON (SBN 71910)  
tmcmahon@mwe.com  
2 FABIO E. MARINO (SBN 183825)  
fmarino@mwe.com  
3 JUDITH S.H. HOM (SBN 203482)  
jhom@mwe.com  
4 NITIN GAMBHIR (SBN 259906)  
ngambhir@mwe.com  
5 BARRINGTON DYER (SBN 264762)  
bdyer@mwe.com  
6 TERI H.P. NGUYEN (SBN 267498)  
thpnguyen@mwe.com  
7 McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP  
275 Middlefield Road, Suite 100  
8 Menlo Park, CA 94025-4004  
Telephone: 650 815 7400  
9 Facsimile: 650 815 7401

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterclaim-Defendants  
RADWARE, LTD. AND RADWARE, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## SAN JOSE DIVISION

RADWARE, LTD., an Israeli company;  
RADWARE, INC., a New Jersey  
corporation,

CASE NO. 5:13-cv-02024 RMW  
(Related Case No. 5:13-cv-02021 RMW)

## Plaintiffs.

2

F5 NETWORKS, INC., a Washington corporation.

Defendant.

**[ ] ORDER GRANTING  
JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND  
TIME TO RESPOND TO  
COUNTERCLAIMS**

**Complaint Filed: May 1, 2013**

**Complaint Served: May 3, 2013**

**Complaint Answered: July 25, 2013**

**F5 Amended Answer with Counterclaims:  
August 29, 2013**

## Current Radware Response to Counterclaims: September 19, 2013

## New Radware Response to Counterclaims: October 21, 2013

F5 NETWORKS, INC., a Washington corporation,  
Defendant.

### Counterclaim-Plaintiff,

V.

RADWARE, LTD., an Israeli company;  
RADWARE, INC., a New Jersey  
corporation,  
Counterclaim-Defendants.

[ ] ORDER GRANTING JOINT  
STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME  
CASE NO. 5:13-CV-02024 RMW

1 Having considered the stipulation between the parties, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, that the  
2 time for Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants Radware Ltd. and Radware, Inc. (hereinafter  
3 "Radware") to answer, move or otherwise respond to the Counterclaims in F5 Networks, Inc.'s  
4 First Amended Answer and Counterclaims, has been extended through and including October 21,  
5 2013, the Court GRANTS the following:

- 6 1. WHEREAS, F5 Networks, Inc. (hereinafter "F5") was served with Radware's  
7 complaint on May 3, 2013;
- 8 2. WHEREAS, F5 answered Radware's complaint on July 25, 2013;
- 9 3. WHEREAS, F5 filed an Amended Answer with Counterclaims on August 29, 2013;
- 10 4. WHEREAS, F5 has agreed to provide Radware an extension of time until October 21,  
11 2013 to respond to Counterclaims;
- 12 5. WHEREAS, this extension is not sought for the purpose of unnecessarily delaying this  
13 action;
- 14 6. WHEREAS, the parties have conferred and agreed on September 4, 2013, within which  
15 Radware is to file its Response to F5's counterclaims;

16 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS SO ORDERED.

17  
18 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

*Ronald M. Whyte*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE RONALD M. WHYTE  
UNITED STATES SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28