. Duug	on a como, mo.,	Boo
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11	DAVID MORDAUNT, Ph.D.,	Case No. C-13-02026-RMW
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO TRANSFER
14	BAUSCH & LOMB, INC., and DOES 1-25,	
15	Defendants.	[Re Docket No. 16]
16		
17		
18	Defendant Bausch & Lomb moves to transfer this action to the Central District of California	
19	for convenience, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). As explained at the hearing on November 15,	
20	2013, the court finds that the convenience to the witnesses favors transfer and outweighs plaintiff's	
21	choice of forum. See Clark v. Sprint Spectrum L.P., Case No. C-10-03625 SI, 2010 WL 5173872	
22	(N.D. Cal. Dec. 15, 2010) (convenience to the witnesses, especially to non-party witnesses, is often	
23	the most important factor). Accordingly, the court grants Bausch & Lomb's motion to transfer the	
24	case to the Central District of California.	
25	Discovery may commence as agreed by the parties.	
26		Royald ml. the to
27 28	Dated: November 15, 2013	Konald M. Whyte Ronald M. Whyte United States District Judge
	ORDER Case No. C-13-02026-RMW - 1	_

Dockets.Justia.com

Case No. C-13-02026-RMW AAB/SW

United States District Court For the Northern District of California