Doc. 13

1	no prejudice in remanding this matter to the Superior Court of California, and all				
2	of his rights in litigation are preserved in relation to the date of the filing of the				
3	Complaint in this action.				
4	IT :	IS SO STIPULATED			
5		FOR PLAINTIFF			
6	DATED:	September 16, 2013	By:	//s//Huy Tran//s//	
7			·	TOMAS MARGAIN HUY TRAN	
8				For Plaintiff	
9					
10		FOR DEFENDANTS			
11	DATED:	September 20, 2013	By:	//s// GAUTAM DUTTA	
12				For Defendants	
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

- 2 -

1					
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
	FOR NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
3	SAN JOSE	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
4	NAHUM HERNANDEZ SANCHEZ,	Case No.: CV 13-02107 RMW-HRL			
5	·				
6	Plaintiff,	[] ORDER GRANTING THE PARTIES' STIPULATION TO			
7	V.	REMAND CASE TO STATE COURT			
8	PEACOCK CUPERTINO, INC.; JAY AND RAM; RAMCHANDER GOPALSWAMY; and				
9	VEERAVENKATA DURGAPRASAD VASIREDDY,				
10	Defendants.				
11					
12	Based on the stipulation of counsel and good cause shown, this matter is remanded to the				
13	Superior Court of California. All applicable statute of limitations are tolled and Plaintiff's rights				
14	in litigation are preserved as of May 8, 2013, the date the Complaint in this action was filed.				
15					
16	IT IC CO ODDEDED				
17	IT IS SO ORDERED	By: Konald M. Whyte RONALD M. WHYTE			
18	DATED: E	RONALD M. WHYTE			
19		United States Magistrate Judge			
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					